

Numerous people have asked me various questions about Islam

Because of these verbal interactions with people about the subject called Islam, I compiled some of my computer reference files that were obtained from the internet and then I made a form of a “Reference Library Notebook” about Islam

This “Reference Library Notebook” about Islam includes a lot of information and some of it is very disturbing. However, with “**Holy Ghost Power**”, I know you will gain an understanding about the war that is taking place both on earth and in the heavens.

I hope the contents of this “Reference Library Notebook” about Islam provide protection and understanding for you and your family.

I S L A M

“A RELIGION OF PEACE?”

Revelation 6:4

4. And there went out another horse that was red and power was given to him that sat thereon to take PEACE from the earth, and that they should kill one another: and there was given unto him a great sword.

Revelation 12:3-4

3. And there appeared another wonder in heaven; and behold, a great red dragon, having seven heads and ten horns, and seven crowns upon his heads.

4. And his tail drew the third part of the stars of heaven, and did cast them to the earth:

2 Kings 9:2

... and he answered, What PEACE, so long as the whoredoms of thy mother Jezebel and her witchcrafts are so many?

Jezebel

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jezebel>

Contents: 4. Cultural Symbol: Through the centuries, the name Jezebel came to be associated with false prophets.

<http://www.kcrg.com/content/news/Eastern-Iowa-Muslims-react-to-Donald-Trump's-comments-361101531.html>

By Dave Franzman KCRG-TV | Posted: Tue 5:47 PM, Dec 08, 2015
Updated: Tue 6:40 PM, Dec 08, 2015

CEDAR RAPIDS, Iowa (KCRG-TV)- Members of the Muslim community in Eastern Iowa were quick to condemn Republican Presidential candidate Donald Trump's call for a shutdown of Muslims entering the U.S.



The Mother Mosque building in NW Cedar Rapids. Local Muslims reacted on Tuesday to Donald Trump's comments about banning Muslims from entering the U.S.

Cedar Rapids shares a long history with members of that faith. For instance, Muslims from Lebanon were among the early settlers of Cedar Rapids arriving in the late 1800s.

The “Mother Mosque” in northwest Cedar Rapids, now a museum, was the first building constructed specifically as a mosque in all of North America.

Hassan Igram, chairman of the board of directors of the Islamic Center, said it’s distressing to hear a politician say “close the borders.”

“I’m not really going to be upset about a politician that hopefully has no chance to be elected. Now if he does get elected, as President, it says a lot about our country and that’s unfortunate,” Igram said.

One thing that does concern local Muslims is whether comments like Trump’s would encourage people to target members of that faith.

Someone tossed a severed pig’s head against the door of a mosque in Philadelphia Monday night. The FBI has started an investigation into a possible hate crime.

Over the years, and well before Trump’s comments, there have been cases of both graffiti and vandalism at the main Cedar Rapids mosque.

No hate crime connection was ever made in those cases. But the concern is in a world rattled by recent terror attacks it might not take much to encourage some to act out.

Hassan Selim, the Imam at the Islamic Center, said his message to members about Trump’s comments this weekend will be words of peace.

“I will tell them the hatred ISIS is promoting is similar to the hatred Donald Trump is promoting and that both do not belong in this country,” Imam Selim said.

Members of the Islamic Center said they have always had good relations with neighbors and other religions.

There is also an inter faith organization that includes other faiths and members of the Muslim community.

The last joint event several weeks ago took place at the main mosque in Cedar Rapids.

<http://www.kcrg.com/content/news/Nearly-100-People-Protest-Trump's-Cedar-Rapids-Rally-363034731.html>

Nearly 100 People Protest Trump's Cedar Rapids Rally on Saturday, December 19, 2015



About 100 people protest the Cedar Rapids rally on Saturday, Dec. 19 for Republican presidential candidate Donald Trump after his recent comments on keeping people of Muslim faith from coming to the United States.

***By Chris Earl* | Posted: Sat 2:21 PM, Dec 19, 2015 | Updated: Sat 2:21 PM, Dec 19, 2015**

CEDAR RAPIDS, Iowa (KCRG-TV 9) Noor Azmeh held a sign that summed why she was standing out in the cold on a Saturday afternoon in Cedar Rapids. "I am Muslim. I am American. I am proud." Azmeh, 14, is a ninth-grade student at Kennedy High School in Cedar Rapids and has lived in the area her entire life, "We all have lived here most of our lives and I think we're hurt a little bit in that people don't see us as normal," said Azmeh.

About 100 people protested the Saturday appearance of Republican presidential candidate Donald Trump. The businessman is leading the Republican field in the national polls and trading first place with Texas Senator Ted Cruz in recent Iowa polling. Trump said, weeks ago, he is calling for a "total and complete shutdown of Muslims entering the

United States". This has led to sharp rebuttals from Democrats and other Republicans running for president, even among the more conservative elements of the party.

"We're not the stereotype that Donald Trump has brought us up as," said Azmeh. "We're normal people in this world just trying to live like everyone else and we've been degraded down into something that we're not and I feel like we all came out here to prove him wrong."

The protesters stood peacefully across Second Avenue in downtown Cedar Rapids. Light chants would break out on occasion but the general feeling was to be a show of support over their beliefs for when Trump would arrive.

"Social equality and togetherness with all people, all races, religion, it doesn't matter as far as individuals are concerned," said Grady Daniels of Cedar Rapids, who held a sign in the protest.

Trump's comments have also refocused a light on the influence of people of the Muslim faith in Cedar Rapids as it is a sizable proportion of the population. The Mother Mosque of America is in the city's northwest side and Muslims have been a part of the city going back to the 19th century.

Comment/Observation: Please note that "The Mother Mosque of America" is in Cedar Rapids and all they could get to make comments is a 14 year child/kid. Why isn't their leadership commenting and protesting? A Child protesting is part of the New World Order diversion/delusion plan. - Remember!! - The media (See Protocol Number 12) is controlled by the Luciferian Jew system. Islam is part of their George Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel, thesis, antithesis, synthesis, triad (Problem - Reaction - Solution) plan.

<http://www.kcrg.com/content/news/Mother-Mosque-of-America-Requests-A-Visit-From-Donald-Trump-364332521.html>



Mother Mosque of America Requests Visit from Donald Trump

By Jill Kasparie, KCRG-TV9 | Posted: Tue 10:40 PM, Jan 05, 2016
| Updated: Wed 5:22 AM, Jan 06, 2016

CEDAR RAPIDS, Iowa (KCRG-TV9) - The Mother Mosque of America in Cedar Rapids is reaching out to Donald Trump about his views on Muslims.



The Mother Mosque building in NW Cedar Rapids. (Dave Franzman/KCRG-TV9)

"Mr. Donald Trump come and see your neighbors, come and see your fellow citizens," said Imam & Director of the Mother Mosque of America, Taha Tawil

The Imam, or worship leader, is inviting the GOP presidential front-runner to visit and meet with members of the Muslim congregation. The group wants Trump to share some of his ideas.

One of his plans that has received much criticism is banning all Muslims from entering the country. Trump says the ban would be temporary and would have exceptions. Trump doubled down on this proposal in his first television ad that began airing Tuesday.

That ad and other comments prompted a response from Tawil.

"Tell us what you want from us. What's the problem? We don't know," Tawil said.

Tawil wants to stop the negative comments that are creating fear of anti-Muslim backlash in the community. The Imam said Trump paints all Muslims with one brush, which is creating confusion.

The Imam said he wants to talk to Trump, and the presidential contender can pick whether that's a public event or a private event.

Tawil spends his days at the Mother Mosque of America, which was the first facility created as a mosque in America. It was built back in the 1930s.

He prays, gives tours and talks with anyone willing to listen about the Muslim culture.

"It is a loving culture. It's a culture of peace and tranquility and understanding," Tawil said.

Now, Tawil wants Donald Trump to listen and answer their questions.

"We need to know what's going on with him. He keeps intimidating and talking sometimes hateful speeches against his own fellow citizens and that is not the American way. The American way is to come to the house and speak to us," Tawil said.

Tawil said he is a registered Republican. What the presidential candidate might not realize is that many actually side with him on some issues.

"This ISIS or the people are killing more Muslims than non-Muslims, so certainly we want to get rid of them in any way we can, and that's why we are in line with him on this issue," Tawil said.

If it takes a face-to-face talk and a Middle Eastern pastry to clear it all up, that's what Tawil is ready for.

"We have love to him and to our neighbors, and we want to live in peace and harmony, and we want to do it the American way. Come and visit, have coffee, baklava, the sweetest thing in the Muslim community," Tawil said.

Tawil points out that he and other Muslims are Iowa voters too and that their votes could help with the upcoming first-in-the-nation caucus.

Now Tawil is waiting to see if Trump responds.



Islamic Terrorist Network in America



Jason Harvey (Copied from Facebook “Comments”)

I'm researching and talking listening.

Here is what I got from: Can a Muslim be a good American?

This question was forwarded to a friend who worked in Saudi Arabia for 20 years.

The following is his reply:

Theologically - no, because his allegiance is to Allah.

Religiously - no, because no other religion is accepted by His Allah except Islam (Qur'an 2:256).

Scripturally - no, because his allegiance is to the five Pillars of Islam and the Qur'an.

Geographically - no, because his allegiance is to Mecca, to which he turns in prayer five times a day.

Socially - no, because his allegiance to Islam forbids him to make friends with Christians or Jews.

Politically - no, because he must submit to the mullahs (spiritual leaders) who teach the annihilation of Israel and

**the destruction of America, the great Satan.
Domestically - no, because he is instructed to marry four
Women and beat his wife when she disobeys him (Qur'an
4:34).**

**Intellectually - no, because he cannot accept the American
Constitution since it is based on Biblical principles and he
believes the Bible to be corrupt.**

**Philosophically - no, because Islam, Muhammad, and the
Qur'an does not allow freedom of religion and expression.
Democracy and Islam cannot co-exist! Every Muslim
government is either dictatorial or autocratic.**

**Spiritually - no, because when we declare 'one nation
under God,' we are referring to the Christian's God and not
Allah.**

**Therefore, after much study and deliberation, perhaps we
should be very suspicious of ALL MUSLIMS in this country.
They obviously cannot be both 'good' Muslims and good
Americans/Canadians; they cannot and will not integrate
into the great melting pot of America.**

**The religious war is bigger than we know or understand.
Muslims everywhere have said they will destroy us from
within.**

Bill Galaxi (Copied from Facebook “Comments”)

Haven't you all noticed the Muslims protesting "Jihadi's" across the country?
Haven't you heard of all the money the Muslims have risen to help the victims
and their families affected by Muslim terrorism?

Don't you know of the many Muslim charities that give to non-Muslims?

Haven't you seen the long list of terrorist Jihadi's turned over to the FBI by
patriotic Muslims?

NO! Me neither.

And that says volumes.

Bill Galaxi (Copied from Facebook “Comments”)


The Shoe Bomber was a Muslim
The Beltway Snipers were Muslims
The Fort Hood Shooter was a Muslim
The underwear Bomber was a Muslim
The U-S.S. Cole Bombers were Muslims
The Madrid Train Bombers were Muslims
The Bali Nightclub Bombers were Muslims
The London Subway Bombers were Muslims
The Moscow Theatre Attackers were Muslims
The Boston Marathon Bombers were Muslims
The Pan-Am flight #93 Bombers were Muslims
The Air France Entebbe Hijackers were Muslims
The Iranian Embassy Takeover, was by Muslims
The Beirut U.S. Embassy bombers were Muslims
The Libyan U.S. Embassy Attack was by Muslims
The Buenos Aires Suicide Bombers were Muslims
The Israeli Olympic Team Attackers were Muslims
The Kenyan U.S, Embassy Bombers were Muslims
The Saudi, Khobar Towers Bombers were Muslims
The Beirut Marine Barracks bombers were Muslims
The Besian Russian School Attackers were Muslims
The first World Trade Center Bombers were Muslims
The Bombay & Mumbai India Attackers were Muslims
The Achille Lauro Cruise Ship Hijackers were Muslims
The September 11th 2001 Airline Hijackers were Muslims

Bill Galaxi (Copied from Facebook “Comments”)

Think of it:

Buddhists living with Hindus = No Problem
Hindus living with Christians = No Problem
Hindus living with Jews = No Problem
Christians living with Shintos = No Problem
Shintos living with Confucians = No Problem
Confucians living with Baha'is = No Problem
Baha'is living with Jews = No Problem
Jews living with Atheists = No Problem
Atheists living with Buddhists = No Problem
Buddhists living with Sikhs = No Problem
Sikhs living with Hindus = No Problem
Hindus living with Baha'is = No Problem
Baha'is living with Christians = No Problem
Christians living with Jews = No Problem
Jews living with Buddhists = No Problem
Buddhists living with Shintos = No Problem
Shintos living with Atheists = No Problem
Atheists living with Confucians = No Problem
Confucians living with Hindus = No Problem

Muslims living with Hindus = Problem
Muslims living with Buddhists = Problem
Muslims living with Christians = Problem
Muslims living with Jews = Problem
Muslims living with Sikhs = Problem
Muslims living with Baha'is = Problem
Muslims living with Shintos = Problem
Muslims living with Atheists = Problem
MUSLIMS LIVING WITH MUSLIMS = BIG PROBLEM



Think of it: So - - What are their Major Organizations?

Islamic Jihad: AN ISLAMIC TERROR ORGANIZATION

ISIS/ISIL : AN ISLAMIC TERROR ORGANIZATION

Al-Qaeda: AN ISLAMIC TERROR ORGANIZATION

Taliban: AN ISLAMIC TERROR ORGANIZATION

Hamas: AN ISLAMIC TERROR ORGANIZATION

Hezbollah: AN ISLAMIC TERROR ORGANIZATION

Boko Haram: AN ISLAMIC TERROR ORGANIZATION

Al-Nusra: AN ISLAMIC TERROR ORGANIZATION

Abu Sayyaf: AN ISLAMIC TERROR ORGANIZATION

Al-Badr: AN ISLAMIC TERROR ORGANIZATION

Muslim Brotherhood: AN ISLAMIC TERROR ORGANIZATION


Lashkar-e-Taiba: AN ISLAMIC TERROR ORGANIZATION

Palestine Liberation Front: AN ISLAMIC TERROR ORGANIZATION

Ansaru: AN ISLAMIC TERROR ORGANIZATION

emaah Islamiyah: AN ISLAMIC TERROR ORGANIZATION

Abdullah Azzam Brigades: AN ISLAMIC TERROR ORGANIZATION - - AND A LOT MORE!



(Distribution of Mosques in USA 2015 - Via Islamthreat.com)

The map above shows the current distribution of Mosques in the United States as of April 2015.

California 525; New York 507; TEXAS 302; Illinois 200; Florida 186; Michigan 139; New Jersey 104; Pennsylvania 98; Georgia 95; Ohio 88; Connecticut 88; Virginia 88; Maryland 60; North Carolina 52; Massachusetts 48; Minnesota 47; Missouri 44; Washington 41; Tennessee 40; Indiana 32; Kentucky 30; Louisiana 30; Arizona 29; Alabama 28; Kansas 26; Wisconsin 26; South Carolina 22; Colorado 20; Iowa 19; Mississippi 17; Oklahoma 16; Utah 15; Arkansas 13; Oregon 12; Washington DC 12; Delaware 11; Nevada 10; New Mexico 9; Main 8; Rhode Island 8; Idaho 6; Nebraska 6; West Virginia 6; New Hampshire 5; Montana 3; North Dakota 3; South Dakota 3; Wyoming 3; Hawaii 3; Alaska 2; Vermont 1.

TOTAL 3186

The first thing critics will no doubt start howling is that many "moderate" Muslims go to Mosques to worship, but a look at what is being taught to even those "moderates," should be enough to send chills down your spine, as the website thereligionofpeace.com highlights that in the Quran there are "at least 109 verses that call Muslims to war with nonbelievers for the sake of Islamic rule. Some are quite graphic, with commands to chop off heads and fingers and kill infidels wherever they may be hiding. Muslims who do not join the fight are called 'hypocrites' and warned that Allah will send them to Hell if they do not join the slaughter."

It Says “Thou Shalt Not Murder”
NOT “Thou Shalt Not Defend Yourself”

By Ray Gano - March 25, 2016

<http://raygano.com/it-says-thou-shalt-not-murder-not-thou-shalt-not-defend-yourself/>



...IN FACT, if you want an interesting wake up call,
check out the WIKI page called
“List of Islamist Terrorist Attacks” at
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_Islamist_terrorist_attacks

The Quran's Verses of Violence

TheReligionofPeace.com Home Page

Question:

Does the Quran really contain dozens of verses promoting violence?

Summary Answer:

The Quran contains at least 109 verses that call Muslims to war with nonbelievers for the sake of Islamic rule. Some are quite graphic, with commands to chop off heads and fingers and kill infidels wherever they may be hiding. Muslims who do not join the fight are called 'hypocrites' and warned that Allah will send them to Hell if they do not join the slaughter.

Unlike nearly all of the Old Testament verses of violence, the verses of violence in the Quran are mostly open-ended, meaning that they are not restrained by the historical context of the surrounding text (although many Muslims choose to think of them that way). They are part of the eternal, unchanging word of Allah, and just as relevant or subject to interpretation as anything else in the Quran.

The context of violent passages is more ambiguous than might be expected of a perfect book from a loving God. Most contemporary Muslims exercise a personal choice to interpret their holy book's call to arms according to their own moral preconceptions about justifiable violence. Their apologists cater to these preferences with tenuous arguments that gloss over historical fact and generally do not stand up to scrutiny. Still, it is important to note that the problem is *not* bad people, but bad ideology.

Unfortunately, there are very few verses of tolerance and peace to abrogate or even balance out the many that call for nonbelievers to be fought and subdued until they either accept humiliation, convert to Islam, or are killed. Muhammad's own martial legacy, along with the remarkable stress on violence found in the Quran, have produced a trail of blood and tears across world history.

The Quran:

Quran (2:191-193) - *"And kill them wherever you find them, and turn them out from where they have turned you out. And Al-Fitnah [disbelief or unrest] is worse than killing... but if they desist, then lo! Allah is forgiving and merciful. And*

fight them until there is no more Fitnah [disbelief and worshipping of others along with Allah] and worship is for Allah alone. But if they cease, let there be no transgression except against Az-Zalimun (the polytheists, and wrong-doers, etc.)" (Translation is from the Noble Quran) The verse prior to this (190) refers to "fighting for the cause of Allah those who fight you" leading some to believe that the entire passage refers to a defensive war in which Muslims are defending their homes and families. The historical context of this passage is **not** defensive warfare, however, since Muhammad and his Muslims had just relocated to Medina and were *not* under attack by their Meccan adversaries. In fact, the verses urge *offensive* warfare, in that Muslims are to drive Meccans out of their own city (which they later did). Verse 190 thus means to fight those who offer resistance to Allah's rule (ie. Muslim conquest). The use of the word "persecution" by some Muslim translators is disingenuous (the actual Arabic words for persecution - "idtihad" - and oppression - a variation of "z-l-m" - do not appear in the verse). The word used instead, "fitna", can mean disbelief, or the disorder that results from unbelief or temptation. This is certainly what is meant in this context since the violence is explicitly commissioned "*until religion is for Allah*" - ie. unbelievers desist in their unbelief.

Quran (2:244) - "*Then fight in the cause of Allah, and know that Allah Heareth and knoweth all things.*"

Quran (2:216) - "***Fighting is prescribed for you, and ye dislike it. But it is possible that ye dislike a thing which is good for you, and that ye love a thing which is bad for you. But Allah knoweth, and ye know not.***" Not only does this verse establish that violence can be virtuous, but it also contradicts the myth that fighting is intended only in self-defense, since the audience was obviously not under attack at the time. From the Hadith, we know that this verse was narrated at a time that Muhammad was actually trying to motivate his people into raiding merchant caravans for loot.

Quran (3:56) - "*As to those who reject faith, I will punish them with terrible agony in this world and in the Hereafter, nor will they have anyone to help.*"

Quran (3:151) - "*Soon shall We cast terror into the hearts of the Unbelievers, for that they joined companions with Allah, for which He had sent no authority*". This speaks directly of polytheists, yet it also includes Christians, since they believe in the Trinity (ie. what Muhammad incorrectly believed to be 'joining companions to Allah').

Quran (4:74) - *"Let those fight in the way of Allah who sell the life of this world for the other. Whoso fighteth in the way of Allah, be he slain or be he victorious, on him We shall bestow a vast reward."* The martyrs of Islam are unlike the early Christians, who were led meekly to the slaughter. These Muslims are killed in battle as they attempt to inflict death and destruction for the cause of Allah. This is the theological basis for today's suicide bombers.

Quran (4:76) - *"Those who believe fight in the cause of Allah..."*

Quran (4:89) - *"They but wish that ye should reject Faith, as they do, and thus be on the same footing (as they): But take not friends from their ranks until they flee in the way of Allah (From what is forbidden). But if they turn renegades, seize them and slay them wherever ye find them; and (in any case) take no friends or helpers from their ranks."*

Quran (4:95) - *"Not equal are those of the believers who sit (at home), except those who are disabled (by injury or are blind or lame, etc.), and those who strive hard and fight in the Cause of Allah with their wealth and their lives. Allah has preferred in grades those who strive hard and fight with their wealth and their lives above those who sit (at home). Unto each, Allah has promised good (Paradise), but Allah has preferred those who strive hard and fight, above those who sit (at home) by a huge reward "* This passage criticizes "peaceful" Muslims who do not join in the violence, letting them know that they are less worthy in Allah's eyes. It also demolishes the modern myth that "Jihad" doesn't mean holy war in the Quran, but rather a spiritual struggle. Not only is this Arabic word (mujahiduna) used in this passage, but it is clearly *not* referring to anything spiritual, since the physically disabled are given exemption. (The Hadith reveals the context of the passage to be in response to a blind man's protest that he is unable to engage in Jihad, which would not make sense if it meant an internal struggle).

Quran (4:104) - *"And be not weak hearted in pursuit of the enemy; if you suffer pain, then surely they (too) suffer pain as you suffer pain..."* Is pursuing an injured and retreating enemy really an act of self-defense?

Quran (5:33) - *"The punishment of those who wage war against Allah and His messenger and strive to make mischief in the land is only this, that they should be murdered or crucified or their hands and their feet should be cut off on opposite sides or they should be imprisoned; this shall be as a disgrace for them in this world, and in the hereafter they shall have a grievous chastisement"*

Quran (8:12) - *"I will cast terror into the hearts of those who disbelieve. Therefore strike off their heads and strike off every fingertip of them"* No reasonable person would interpret this to mean a spiritual struggle.

Quran (8:15) - *"O ye who believe! When ye meet those who disbelieve in battle, turn not your backs to them. (16)Whoso on that day turneth his back to them, unless maneuvering for battle or intent to join a company, he truly hath incurred wrath from Allah, and his habitation will be hell, a hapless journey's end."*

Quran (8:39) - *"And fight with them until there is no more fitna (disorder, unbelief) and religion is all for Allah"* Some translations interpret "fitna" as "persecution", but the traditional understanding of this word is not supported by the historical context (See notes for 2:193). The Meccans were simply refusing Muhammad access to their city during Haj. Other Muslims were allowed to travel there - just not as an armed group, since Muhammad had declared war on Mecca prior to his eviction. The Meccans were also acting in defense of their religion, since it was Muhammad's intention to destroy their idols and establish Islam by force (which he later did). Hence the critical part of this verse is to fight until *"religion is only for Allah"*, meaning that the true justification of violence was the unbelief of the opposition. According to the Sira (Ibn Ishaq/Hisham 324) Muhammad further explains that *"Allah must have no rivals."*

Quran (8:57) - *"If thou comest on them in the war, deal with them so as to strike fear in those who are behind them, that haply they may remember."*

Quran (8:67) - *"It is not for a Prophet that he should have prisoners of war until he had made a great slaughter in the land..."*

Quran (8:59-60) - *"And let not those who disbelieve suppose that they can outstrip (Allah's Purpose). Lo! they cannot escape. Make ready for them all thou canst of (armed) force and of horses tethered, that thereby ye may dismay the enemy of Allah and your enemy."*

Quran (8:65) - *"O Prophet, exhort the believers to fight..."*

Quran (9:5) - *"So when the sacred months have passed away, then slay the idolaters wherever you find them, and take them captive and besiege them and lie in wait for them in every ambush, then if they repent and keep up prayer and pay the poor-rate, leave their way free to them."* According to this verse, the best way of staying safe from Muslim violence is to convert to Islam (prayer (*salat*) and the poor tax (*zakat*) are among the religion's Five Pillars). This popular claim that the

Quran only inspires violence within the context of self-defense is seriously challenged by this passage as well, since the Muslims to whom it was written were obviously not under attack. Had they been, then there would have been no waiting period (earlier verses make it a duty for Muslims to fight in self-defense, even during the sacred months). The historical context is Mecca *after* the idolaters were subjugated by Muhammad and posed no threat. Once the Muslims had power, they violently evicted those unbelievers who would not convert.

Quran (9:14) - *"Fight against them so that Allah will punish them by your hands and disgrace them and give you victory over them and heal the breasts of a believing people."* Humiliating and hurting non-believers not only has the blessing of Allah, but it is ordered as a means of carrying out his punishment and even "healing" the hearts of Muslims.

Quran (9:20) - *"Those who believe, and have left their homes and striven with their wealth and their lives in Allah's way are of much greater worth in Allah's sight. These are they who are triumphant."* The Arabic word interpreted as "striving" in this verse is the same root as "Jihad". The context is obviously holy war.

Quran (9:29) - *"Fight those who believe not in Allah nor the Last Day, nor hold that forbidden which hath been forbidden by Allah and His Messenger, nor acknowledge the religion of Truth, (even if they are) of the People of the Book, until they pay the Jizya with willing submission, and feel themselves subdued."* "People of the Book" refers to Christians and Jews. According to this verse, they are to be violently subjugated, with the sole justification being their religious status. Verse 9:33 tells Muslims that Allah has charted them to make Islam *"superior over all religions."* This chapter was one of the final "revelations" from Allah and it set in motion the tenacious military expansion, in which Muhammad's companions managed to conquer two-thirds of the Christian world in the next 100 years. Islam is intended to dominate all other people and faiths.

Quran (9:30) - *"And the Jews say: Ezra is the son of Allah; and the Christians say: The Messiah is the son of Allah; these are the words of their mouths; they imitate the saying of those who disbelieved before; may Allah destroy them; how they are turned away!"*

Quran (9:38-39) - *"O ye who believe! what is the matter with you, that, when ye are asked to go forth in the cause of Allah, ye cling heavily to the earth? Do ye prefer the life of this world to the Hereafter? But little is the comfort of this life, as*

compared with the Hereafter. Unless ye go forth, He will punish you with a grievous penalty, and put others in your place." This is a warning to those who refuse to fight, that they will be punished with Hell.

Quran (9:41) - *"Go forth, light-armed and heavy-armed, and strive with your wealth and your lives in the way of Allah! That is best for you if ye but knew."* See also the verse that follows (9:42) - *"If there had been immediate gain (in sight), and the journey easy, they would (all) without doubt have followed thee, but the distance was long, (and weighed) on them"* This contradicts the myth that Muslims are to fight only in self-defense, since the wording implies that battle will be waged a long distance from home (in another country and on Christian soil, in this case, according to the historians).

Quran (9:73) - *"O Prophet! strive hard against the unbelievers and the hypocrites and be unyielding to them; and their abode is hell, and evil is the destination."* Dehumanizing those who reject Islam, by reminding Muslims that unbelievers are merely firewood for Hell, makes it easier to justify slaughter. It also explains why today's devout Muslims have little regard for those outside the faith.

Quran (9:88) - *"But the Messenger, and those who believe with him, strive and fight with their wealth and their persons: for them are (all) good things: and it is they who will prosper."*

Quran (9:111) - *"Allah hath purchased of the **believers** their persons and their goods; for theirs (in return) is the garden (of Paradise): they **fight in His cause, and slay and are slain**: a promise binding on Him in truth, through the Law, the Gospel, and the Quran: and who is more faithful to his covenant than Allah? then rejoice in the bargain which ye have concluded: that is the achievement supreme."* How does the Quran define a true believer?

Quran (9:123) - *"O you who believe! fight those of the unbelievers who are near to you and let them find in you hardness."*

Quran (17:16) - *"And when We wish to destroy a town, We send Our commandment to the people of it who lead easy lives, but they transgress therein; thus the word proves true against it, so We destroy it with utter destruction."* Note that the crime is moral transgression, and the punishment is "utter destruction." (Before ordering the 9/11 attacks, Osama bin Laden first issued Americans an invitation to Islam).

Quran (18:65-81) - This parable lays the theological groundwork for honor killings, in which a family member is murdered because they brought shame to the family, either through apostasy or perceived moral indiscretion. The story (which is not found in any Jewish or Christian source) tells of Moses encountering a man with "special knowledge" who does things which don't seem to make sense on the surface, but are then justified according to later explanation. One such action is to murder a youth for no apparent reason (74). However, the wise man later explains that it was feared that the boy would "grieve" his parents by "disobedience and ingratitude." He was killed so that Allah could provide them a 'better' son. (Note: This is one reason why honor killing is sanctioned by Sharia. Reliance of the Traveler (Umdat al-Saliq) says that punishment for murder is not applicable when a parent or grandparent kills their offspring (o.1.1-2).)

Quran (21:44) - *"We gave the good things of this life to these men and their fathers until the period grew long for them; See they not that We gradually reduce the land (in their control) from its outlying borders? Is it then they who will win?"*

Quran (25:52) - *"Therefore listen not to the Unbelievers, but strive against them with the utmost strenuousness..."* "Strive against" is Jihad - obviously not in the personal context. It's also significant to point out that this is a Meccan verse.

Quran (33:60-62) - *"If the hypocrites, and those in whose hearts is a disease, and the alarmists in the city do not cease, We verily shall urge thee on against them, then they will be your neighbors in it but a little while. Accursed, they will be seized wherever found and slain with a (fierce) slaughter."* This passage sanctions the slaughter (rendered "merciless" and "horrible murder" in other translations) against three groups: Hypocrites (Muslims who refuse to "fight in the way of Allah" (3:167) and hence don't act as Muslims should), those with "diseased hearts" (which include Jews and Christians 5:51-52), and "alarmists" or "agitators who include those who merely speak out against Islam, according to Muhammad's biographers. It is worth noting that the victims are to be sought out by Muslims, which is what today's terrorists do. If this passage is meant merely to apply to the city of Medina, then it is unclear why it is included in Allah's eternal word to Muslim generations.

Quran (47:3-4) - *"Those who disbelieve follow falsehood, while those who believe follow the truth from their Lord... So, when you meet (in fight Jihad in Allah's Cause), those who disbelieve smite at their necks till when you have killed and wounded many of them, then bind a bond firmly (on them, i.e. take them as captives)... If it had been Allah's Will, He Himself could certainly have punished*

them (without you). But (He lets you fight), in order to test you, some with others. But those who are killed in the Way of Allah, He will never let their deeds be lost." Those who reject Allah are to be killed in Jihad. The wounded are to be held captive for ransom. The only reason Allah doesn't do the dirty work himself is to test the faithfulness of Muslims. Those who kill pass the test.

Quran (47:35) - *"Be not weary and faint-hearted, crying for peace, when ye should be uppermost (Shakir: "have the upper hand") for Allah is with you,"*

Quran (48:17) - *"There is no blame for the blind, nor is there blame for the lame, nor is there blame for the sick (that they go not forth to war). And whoso obeyeth Allah and His messenger, He will make him enter Gardens underneath which rivers flow; and whoso turneth back, him will He punish with a painful doom."* Contemporary apologists sometimes claim that Jihad means 'spiritual struggle.' Is so, then why are the blind, lame and sick exempted? This verse also says that those who do not fight will suffer torment in hell.

Quran (48:29) - *"Muhammad is the messenger of Allah. And those with him are hard (ruthless) against the disbelievers and merciful among themselves"* Islam is **not** about treating everyone equally. This verse tells Muslims that there are two very distinct standards that are applied based on religious status. Also the word used for 'hard' or 'ruthless' in this verse shares the same root as the word translated as 'painful' or severe' to describe Hell in over 25 other verses including 65:10, 40:46 and 50:26..

Quran (61:4) - *"Surely Allah loves those who fight in His way"* Religion of Peace, indeed! The verse explicitly refers to "battle array" meaning that it is speaking of physical conflict. This is followed by (61:9): *"He it is who has sent His Messenger (Mohammed) with guidance and the religion of truth (Islam) to make it **victorious over all religions even though the infidels may resist.**"* (See next verse, below). Infidels who resist Islamic rule are to be fought.

Quran (61:10-12) - *"O You who believe! Shall I guide you to a commerce that will save you from a painful torment. That you believe in Allah and His Messenger (Muhammad), and that you strive hard and fight in the Cause of Allah with your wealth and your lives, that will be better for you, if you but know! (If you do so) He will forgive you your sins, and admit you into Gardens under which rivers flow, and pleasant dwelling in Gardens of 'Adn - Eternity ['Adn (Edn) Paradise], that is indeed the great success."* This verse refers to physical battle in order to make Islam victorious over other religions (see above). It uses the Arabic word, Jihad.

Quran (66:9) - *"O Prophet! Strive against the disbelievers and the hypocrites, and be stern with them. Hell will be their home, a hapless journey's end."* The root word of "Jihad" is used again here. The context is clearly holy war, and the scope of violence is broadened to include "hypocrites" - those who call themselves Muslims but do not act as such.

*Other verses calling Muslims to Jihad can be found at
AnsweringIslam.org*

From the Hadith:

Bukhari (52:177) - *Allah's Apostle said, "The Hour will not be established until you fight with the Jews, and the stone behind which a Jew will be hiding will say, "O Muslim! There is a Jew hiding behind me, so kill him."*

Bukhari (52:256) - *The Prophet... was asked whether it was permissible to attack the pagan warriors at night with the probability of exposing their women and children to danger. The Prophet replied, "They (i.e. women and children) are from them (i.e. pagans)." In this command, Muhammad establishes that it is permissible to kill non-combatants in the process of killing a perceived enemy. This provides justification for the many Islamic terror bombings.*

Bukhari (52:65) - *The Prophet said, 'He who fights that Allah's Word, Islam, should be superior, fights in Allah's Cause. Muhammad's words are the basis for offensive Jihad - spreading Islam by force. This is how it was understood by his companions, and by the terrorists of today.*

Bukhari (52:220) - *Allah's Apostle said... 'I have been made victorious with terror'*

Abu Dawud (14:2526) - *The Prophet (peace_be_upon_him) said: Three things are the roots of faith: to refrain from (killing) a person who utters, "There is no god but Allah" and not to declare him unbeliever whatever sin he commits, and not to excommunicate him from Islam for his any action; and jihad will be performed continuously since the day Allah sent me as a prophet until the day the last member of my community will fight with the Dajjal (Antichrist)*

Abu Dawud (14:2527) - *The Prophet said: Striving in the path of Allah (jihad) is incumbent on you along with every ruler, whether he is pious or impious*

Muslim (1:33) - *the Messenger of Allah said: I have been commanded to fight against people till they testify that there is no god but Allah, that Muhammad is the messenger of Allah*

Bukhari (8:387) - *Allah's Apostle said, "I have been ordered to fight the people till they say: 'None has the right to be worshipped but Allah'. And if they say so, pray like our prayers, face our Qibla and slaughter as we slaughter, then their blood and property will be sacred to us and we will not interfere with them except legally."*

Muslim (1:30) - *"The Messenger of Allah said: I have been commanded to fight against people so long as they do not declare that there is no god but Allah."*

Bukhari (52:73) - *"Allah's Apostle said, 'Know that Paradise is under the shades of swords'."*

Bukhari (11:626) - [Muhammad said:] *"I decided to order a man to lead the prayer and then take a flame to burn all those, who had not left their houses for the prayer, burning them alive inside their homes."*

Muslim (1:149) - *"Abu Dharr reported: I said: Messenger of Allah, which of the deeds is the best? He (the Holy Prophet) replied: Belief in Allah and Jihad in His cause..."*

Muslim (20:4645) - *"...He (the Messenger of Allah) did that and said: There is another act which elevates the position of a man in Paradise to a grade one hundred (higher), and the elevation between one grade and the other is equal to the height of the heaven from the earth. He (Abu Sa'id) said: What is that act? He replied: Jihad in the way of Allah! Jihad in the way of Allah!"*

Muslim (20:4696) - *"the Messenger of Allah (may peace be upon him) said: 'One who died but did not fight in the way of Allah nor did he express any desire (or determination) for Jihad died the death of a hypocrite.'"*

Muslim (19:4321-4323) - Three separate hadith in which Muhammad shrugs over the news that innocent children were killed in a raid by his men against unbelievers. His response: *"They are of them (meaning the enemy)."*

Muslim (19:4294) - *"When the Messenger of Allah (may peace be upon him) appointed anyone as leader of an army or detachment he would especially exhort him... He would say: Fight in the name of Allah and in the way of Allah. Fight*

against those who disbelieve in Allah. Make a holy war... When you meet your enemies who are polytheists, invite them to three courses of action. If they respond to any one of these, you also accept it and withhold yourself from doing them any harm. Invite them to (accept) Islam; if they respond to you, accept it from them and desist from fighting against them... If they refuse to accept Islam, demand from them the Jizya. If they agree to pay, accept it from them and hold off your hands. If they refuse to pay the tax, seek Allah's help and fight them."

Muslim (31:5917) - *"Ali went a bit and then halted and did not look about and then said in a loud voice: 'Allah's Messenger, on what issue should I fight with the people?' Thereupon he (the Prophet) said: 'Fight with them until they bear testimony to the fact that there is no god but Allah and Muhammad is his Messenger'."* The pretext for attacking the peaceful farming community of Khaibar was not obvious to the Muslims. Muhammad's son-in-law Ali asked the prophet of Islam to clarify the reason for their mission to kill, loot and enslave. Muhammad's reply was straightforward. The people should be fought because they are not Muslim.

Muslim (31:5918) - *"I will fight them until they are like us."* Ali's reply to Muhammad, after receiving clarification that the pretext for attack Khaibar was to convert the people (see above verse).

Bukhari 1:35 *"The person who participates in (Holy Battles) in Allah's cause and nothing compels him do so except belief in Allah and His Apostle, will be recompensed by Allah either with a reward, or booty (if he survives) or will be admitted to Paradise (if he is killed)."*

Tabari 7:97 *The morning after the murder of Ashraf, the Prophet declared, "Kill any Jew who falls under your power."* Ashraf was a poet, killed by Muhammad's men because he insulted Islam. Here, Muhammad widens the scope of his orders to kill. An innocent Jewish businessman was then slain by his Muslim partner, merely for being non-Muslim.

Tabari 9:69 *"Killing Unbelievers is a small matter to us"* The words of Muhammad, prophet of Islam.

Tabari 17:187 *"By God, our religion (din) from which we have departed is better and more correct than that which these people follow. Their religion does not stop them from shedding blood, terrifying the roads, and seizing properties.' And they returned to their former religion."* The words of a group of Christians who had converted to Islam, but realized their error after being shocked by the violence and

looting committed in the name of Allah. The price of their decision to return to a religion of peace was that the men were beheaded and the woman and children enslaved by the caliph Ali.

Ibn Ishaq/Hisham 484: - *“Allah said, ‘A prophet must slaughter before collecting captives. A slaughtered enemy is driven from the land. Muhammad, you craved the desires of this world, its goods and the ransom captives would bring. But Allah desires killing them to manifest the religion.’”*

Ibn Ishaq/Hisham 990: - Lest anyone think that cutting off someone's head while screaming 'Allah Akbar!' is a modern creation, here is an account of that very practice under Muhammad, who seems to approve.

Ibn Ishaq/Hisham 992: - *“Fight everyone in the way of Allah and kill those who disbelieve in Allah.”* Muhammad's instructions to his men prior to a military raid.

Saifur Rahman, *The Sealed Nectar* p.227-228 - *“Embrace Islam... If you two accept Islam, you will remain in command of your country; but if you refuse my Call, you’ve got to remember that all of your possessions are perishable. My horsemen will appropriate your land, and my Prophethood will assume preponderance over your kingship.”* One of several letters from Muhammad to rulers of other countries. The significance is that the recipients were not making war or threatening Muslims. Their subsequent defeat and subjugation by Muhammad's armies was justified merely on the basis of their unbelief.

Additional Notes:

Other than the fact that Muslims haven't killed every non-Muslim under their domain, there is very little else that they can point to as proof that theirs is a peaceful, tolerant religion. Where Islam is dominant (as in the Middle East and Pakistan) religious minorities suffer brutal persecution with little resistance. Where Islam is in the minority (as in Thailand, the Philippines and Europe) there is the threat of violence if Muslim demands are not met. Either situation seems to provide a justification for religious terrorism, which is persistent and endemic to Islamic fundamentalism.

The reasons are obvious and begin with the Quran. Few verses of Islam's most sacred text can be construed to fit the contemporary virtues of religious tolerance and universal brotherhood. Those that do are earlier "Meccan" verses which are obviously abrogated by later ones. The example of Muhammad is that Islam is a

religion of peace when Muslims do not have the power and numbers on their side. Once they do, things change.

Many Muslims are peaceful and do not want to believe what the Quran really says. They prefer a more narrow interpretation that is closer to the Judeo-Christian ethic. Some just ignore harsher passages. Others reach for "textual context" across different suras to subjectively mitigate these verses with others so that the message fits their personal moral preferences. Although the Quran itself claims to be clear and complete, these apologists speak of the "risks" of trying to interpret verses without their "assistance."

The violent verses of the Quran have played a key role in very real massacre and genocide. This includes the brutal slaughter of tens of millions of Hindus for five centuries beginning around 1000 AD with Mahmud of Ghazni's bloody conquest. Both he and the later Tamerlane (Islam's Genghis Khan) slaughtered an untold number merely for defending their temples from destruction. Buddhism was very nearly wiped off the Indian subcontinent. Judaism and Christianity met the same fate (albeit more slowly) in areas conquered by Muslim armies, including the Middle East, North Africa and parts of Europe, including today's Turkey. Zoroastrianism, the ancient religion of a proud Persian people is despised by Muslims and barely survives in modern Iran.

Violence is so ingrained in Islam that it has never really stopped being at war, either with other religions or with itself.

Muhammad was a military leader, laying siege to towns, massacring the men, raping their women, enslaving their children, and taking the property of others as his own. On several occasions he rejected offers of surrender from the besieged inhabitants and even butchered captives. He inspired his followers to battle when they did not feel it was right to fight, promising them slaves and booty if they did and threatening them with Hell if they did not. Muhammad allowed his men to rape traumatized women captured in battle, usually on the very day their husbands and family members were slaughtered.

It is important to emphasize that, for the most part, Muslim armies waged *aggressive* campaigns, and the religion's most dramatic military conquests were made by the actual companions of Muhammad in the decades following his death.

The early Islamic principle of warfare was that the civilian population of a town was to be destroyed (ie. men executed, women and children taken as slaves) if they defended themselves and resisted Islamic hegemony. Although modern apologists

often claim that Muslims are only supposed to "attack in self-defense", this oxymoron is flatly contradicted by the accounts of Islamic historians and others that go back to the time of Muhammad.

Some modern-day scholars are more candid than others. One of the most respected Sunni theologians is al-Qaradawi, who justifies terror attacks against Western targets by noting that there is no such thing as a civilian population in a time of war:

"It has been determined by Islamic law that the blood and property of people of Dar Al—Harb [ie. non-Muslim people who resist Islamic conquest] is not protected... In modern war, all of society, with all its classes and ethnic groups, is mobilized to participate in the war, to aid its continuation, and to provide it with the material and human fuel required for it to assure the victory of the state fighting its enemies. Every citizen in society must take upon himself a role in the effort to provide for the battle. The entire domestic front, including professionals, laborers, and industrialists, stands behind the fighting army, even if it does not bear arms."

Consider the example of the Qurayza Jews, who were completely obliterated only five years after Muhammad arrived in Medina. Their leader opted to stay neutral when their town was besieged by a Meccan army that was sent to take revenge for Muhammad's deadly caravan raids. The tribe killed no one from either side and even surrendered peacefully to Muhammad after the Meccans had been turned back. Yet the prophet of Islam had every male member of the Qurayza beheaded, and every woman and child enslaved, even raping one of the captives himself (what Muslim apologists might refer to as "same day marriage").

One of Islam's most revered modern scholars, Sheikh Yusuf al-Qaradawi, openly sanctions offensive Jihad: *"In the Jihad which you are seeking, you look for the enemy and invade him. This type of Jihad takes place only when the Islamic state is invading other [countries] in order to spread the word of Islam and to remove obstacles standing in its way."* Elsewhere, he notes: *"Islam has the right to take the initiative...this is God's religion and it is for the whole world. It has the right to destroy all obstacles in the form of institutions and traditions ... it attacks institutions and traditions to release human beings from their poisonous influences, which distort human nature and curtail human freedom. Those who say that Islamic Jihad was merely for the defense of the 'homeland of Islam' diminish the greatness of the Islamic way of life."*

The widely respected Dictionary of Islam defines Jihad as "*A religious war with those who are unbelievers in the mission of Muhammad. It is an incumbent religious duty, established in the Qur'an and in the Traditions as a divine institution, and enjoined specially for the purpose of advancing Islam and of repelling evil from Muslims...*[Quoting from the Hanafi school, Hedaya, 2:140, 141.], ***The destruction of the sword is incurred by infidels, although they be not the first aggressors, as appears from various passages in the traditions which are generally received to this effect.***"

Dr. Salah al-Sawy, the chief member of the Assembly of Muslim Jurists in America, stated in 2009 that "*the Islamic community does not possess the strength to engage in **offensive jihad at this time**,*" tacitly affirming the legitimacy of violence for the cause of Islamic rule - bound only by the capacity for success. (source)

Muhammad's failure to leave a clear line of succession resulted in perpetual internal war following his death. Those who knew him best first fought afterwards to keep remote tribes from leaving Islam and reverting to their preferred religion (the Ridda or 'Apostasy wars'). Then the violence turned within. Early Meccan converts battled later ones as hostility developed between those immigrants who had traveled with Muhammad to Mecca and the Ansar at Medina who had helped them settle in. Finally there was a violent struggle within Muhammad's own family between his favorite wife and favorite daughter - a jagged schism that has left Shias and Sunnis at each others' throats to this day.

The strangest and most untrue thing that can be said about Islam is that it is a Religion of Peace. If every standard by which the West is judged and condemned (slavery, imperialism, intolerance, misogyny, sexual repression, warfare...) were applied equally to Islam, the verdict would be devastating. Islam never gives up what it conquers, be it religion, culture, language or life. Neither does it make apologies or any real effort at moral progress. It is the least open to dialogue and the most self-absorbed. It is convinced of its own perfection, yet brutally shuns self-examination and represses criticism.

This is what makes the Quran's verses of violence so dangerous. They are given the weight of divine command. While Muslim terrorists take them as literally as anything else in their holy book, and understand that Islam is incomplete without Jihad, moderates offer little to contradict them - outside of personal

opinion. Indeed, what do they have? Speaking of peace and love may win over the ignorant, but when every twelfth verse of Islam's holiest book either speaks to Allah's hatred for non-Muslims or calls for their death, forced conversion, or subjugation, it's little wonder that sympathy for terrorism runs as deeply as it does in the broader community - even if most Muslims personally prefer not to interpret their religion in this way.

Although scholars like Ibn Khaldun, one of Islam's most respected philosophers, understood that *"the holy war is a religious duty, because of the universalism of the Muslim mission and (the obligation to) convert everybody to Islam either by persuasion or by force"*, many other Muslims are either unaware or willfully ignorant of the Quran's near absence of verses that preach universal non-violence. Their understanding of Islam comes from what they are taught by others. In the West, it is typical for believers to think that their religion must be like Christianity - preaching the New Testament virtues of peace, love, and tolerance - because Muslims are taught that Islam is supposed to be superior in every way. They are somewhat surprised and embarrassed to find that this is contradicted by the Quran and the bloody history of Islam's genesis.

Others simply accept the violence. In 1991, a Palestinian couple in America was convicted of stabbing their daughter to death for being too Westernized. A family friend came to their defense, excoriating the jury for not understanding the "culture", claiming that the father was merely following "the religion" and saying that the couple had to "discipline their daughter or lose respect." (source). In 2011, unrepentant Palestinian terrorists, responsible for the brutal murders of civilians, women and children explicitly in the name of Allah were treated to a luxurious "holy pilgrimage" to Mecca by the Saudi king - without a single Muslim voice raised in protest.

For their part, Western liberals would do well not to sacrifice critical thinking to the god of political correctness, or look for reasons to bring other religion down to the level of Islam merely to avoid the existential truth that this it is both different and dangerous.

There are just too many Muslims who take the Quran literally... and too many others who couldn't care less about the violence done in the name of Islam.

The Greatest Murder Machine in History: By Mike Konrad: May 31, 2014

When one thinks of mass murder, Hitler comes to mind. If not Hitler, then Tojo, Stalin, or Mao. Credit is given to the 20th-century totalitarians as the worst species of tyranny to have ever arisen. However, the alarming truth is that Islam has killed more than any of these, and may surpass all of them combined in numbers and cruelty.

The enormity of the slaughters of the "**religion of peace**" are so far beyond comprehension that even honest historians overlook the scale. When one looks beyond our myopic focus, Islam is the greatest killing machine in the history of mankind, bar none.

The Islamic conquest of India is probably the bloodiest story in history. -- Will Durant, as quoted on Daniel Pipes site.

Conservative estimates place the number at 80 million dead Indians.

According to some calculations, the Indian (subcontinent) population decreased by 80 million between 1000 (conquest of Afghanistan) and 1525 (end of Delhi Sultanate). -- Koenrad Elst as quoted on Daniel Pipes site

80 Million?! The conquistadors' crimes pale into insignificance at that number. No wonder Hitler admired Islam as a fighting religion. He stood in awe of Islam, whose butchery even he did not surpass.

Over 110 Million Blacks were killed by Islam.

... a minimum of 28 Million African were enslaved in the Muslim Middle East. Since, at least, 80 percent of those captured by Muslim slave traders were calculated to have died before reaching the slave market, it is believed that the death toll from 1400 years of Arab and Muslim slave raids into Africa could have been as high as 112 Millions. When added to the number of those sold in the slave markets, the total number of African victims of the trans-Saharan and East African slave trade could be significantly higher than 140 Million people. -- John Allembillah Azumah, author of *The Legacy of Arab-Islam in Africa: A Quest for Inter-religious Dialogue*

Add just those two numbers alone together, and Islam has surpassed the victims of 20th-century totalitarianism. However, it does not end there. Add the millions who died at the hand of Muslims in the Sudan in our lifetime.

Much of Islamic slavery was sexual in nature, with a preference for women. Those men who were captured were castrated. The mulatto children of the women were often killed, which explains why Islam was not demographically shifted towards the black race, unlike slaves in the West, who bore children to breed a mestizo class. Add in those dead children; and we arrive at well over 200 million.

Remember that in the 7th century, North Africa was almost totally Christian. What happened to them?

By the year 750, a hundred years after the conquest of Jerusalem, at least 50 percent of the world's Christians found themselves under Muslim hegemony... Today there is no indigenous Christianity in the region [of Northwest Africa], no communities of Christians whose history can be traced to antiquity.-- "Christianity Face to Face with Islam," CERC

What happened to those Christian millions? Some converted. The rest? Lost to history.

We know that over 1 million Europeans were enslaved by Barbary Pirates. How many died is anybody's guess.

...for the 250 years between 1530 and 1780, the figure could easily have been as high as 1,250,000 - BBC

In the Middle Ages...

...many slaves were passed through Armenia and were castrated there to fill the Muslim demand for eunuchs. -- Slavery in Early Medieval Europe.

The same practice ran through Islamic Spain. North Europeans captured from raids up to Iceland, or purchased, were butchered in the castratoriums of Iberia. Many died from the operations that ran for centuries.

The number of dead from the Muslim conquest of the Balkans and Southern Italy is unknown, but again the numbers add up, surely into the millions over the centuries. Don't forget the 1.5 million Armenian Christians killed by the Turks during WWI. We do know that over five centuries, vast numbers of Christian boys were kidnapped to become Islamic Janissary mercenaries for the Turks. Add those in, too.

Muslims prized blonde women for their harems; and so enslaved Slavic women were purchased in the bazaars of the Crimean Caliphate. In Muslim Spain, an annual tribute of 100 Visigothic [blonde] women was required from Spain's Cantabrian coast.

For decades, 100 virgins per year were required by the Muslim rulers of Spain from the conquered population. The tribute was only stopped when the Spaniards began fighting back -- *Jihad: Islam's 1,300 Year War Against Western Civilisation*

Add in the death toll from the Reconquista and the numbers climb higher.

Research has shown that the Dark Ages were not caused by the Goths, who eventually assimilated and Christianized:

...the real destroyers of classical civilization were the Muslims. It was the Arab Invasions... which broke the unity of the Mediterranean world and turned the Middle Sea -- previously one of the world's most important trading highways -- into a battleground. It was only after the appearance of Islam... that the cities of the West, which depended upon the Mediterranean trade for their survival, began to die. -- *Islam Caused the Dark Ages*

Add in those unknown millions who died as a consequence.

How many know the horrors of the conquest of Malaysia? The Buddhists of Thailand and Malaysia were slaughtered *en masse*.

When attacked and massacred by the Muslims, the Buddhists initially did not make any attempt to escape from their murderers. They accepted death with an air of fatalism and destiny. And hence they are not around today to tell their story. -- History of Jihad.org

We may never know the numbers of dead.

After Muslims came to power in the early 15th century, animist hill peoples eventually disappeared due to their enslavement and 'incorporation' into the Muslim population of Malaya, Sumatra, Borneo, and Java via raids, tribute and purchase, especially of children. Java was the largest exporter of slaves around 1500. -- Islam Monitor

In the same manner, Islam arrived in the Philippines. Only the appearance of the Spanish stopped a total collapse, and confined Islam to the southern islands.

The coming of the Spanish saved the Philippines from Islam, except for the Southern tip where the population had been converted to Islam.-- History of Jihad.org

Again, the number of dead is unknown; but add them to the total.

The animist Filipinos were eager to ally with the Spanish against Islam. In fact, much of Southeast Asia welcomed the Spanish and Portuguese as preferable to Islam.

...from the 17th century successive Thai kings allied themselves with the seafaring Western powers – the Portuguese and the Dutch and succeeded in staving off the threat of Islam from the Muslim Malays and their Arab overlords.-- History of Jihad.org

A few galleons and muskets were not enough to conquer Asia. Islam had made the Europeans initially appear as liberators; and to a certain extent they were. Who were the real imperialists?

Even today...

...Malaysian Jihadis are plotting to transform multi-ethnic Malaysia into an Islamic Caliphate, and fomenting trouble in Southern Thailand.-- *History of Jihad.org*

Add this all up. The African victims. The Indian victims. The European victims. Add in the Armenian genocide. Then add in the lesser known, but no doubt quite large number of victims of Eastern Asia. Add in the jihad committed by Muslims against China, which was invaded in 651 AD. Add in the Crimean Khanate predations on the Slavs, especially their women.

Though the numbers are not clear, what is obvious is that Islam is the greatest murder machine in history bar none, possibly exceeding 250 million dead. Possibly one-third to one-half or more of all those killed by war or slavery in history can be traced to Islam; and this is just a cursory examination.

Now consider the over 125 Million women today who have been genitally mutilated for Islamic honor's sake. In spite of what apologists tell you, the practice is almost totally confined to Islamic areas.

New information from Iraqi Kurdistan raises the possibility that the problem is more prevalent in the Middle East than previously believed and that FGM is far more tied to religion than many Western academics and activists admit. – “Is Female Genital Mutilation an Islamic Problem?” *ME Quarterly*

Once thought concentrated in Africa, FGM has now been discovered to be common wherever Islam is found.

There are indications that FGM might be a phenomenon of epidemic proportions in the Arab Middle East. Hosken, for instance, notes that traditionally all women in the Persian Gulf region were mutilated. Arab governments refuse to address the problem. -- "Is Female Genital Mutilation an Islamic Problem?" *ME Quarterly*

Remember that this has gone on for 1400 years; and was imposed on a population that had been formerly Christian or pagan.

FGM is practiced on large scale in Islamic Indonesia; and is increasing.

...far from scaling down, the problem of FGM in Indonesia has escalated sharply. The mass ceremonies in Bandung have grown bigger and more popular every year. --
Guardian

The horrified British author of that *Guardian* article is still deluded that Islam does not support FGM, when in fact it is now settled that FGM is a core Islamic practice. Islamic women have been brainwashed to support their own abuse.

Abu Sahlieh further cited Muhammad as saying, "Circumcision is a sunna (tradition) for the men and makruma (honorable deed) for the women." -- "Is Female Genital Mutilation an Islamic Problem?" ME Quarterly

What other tyranny does this? Not even the Nazis mutilated their own women!

Unlike the 20th-century totalitarians whose killing fury consumed themselves, reducing their longevity, Islam paces itself. In the end, though slower, Islam has killed and tortured far more than any other creed, religious or secular. Unlike secular tyranny, Islam, by virtue of its polygamy and sexual predations, reproduces itself and increases.

Other tyrannies are furious infections, which burn hot, but are soon overcome. Islam is a slow terminal cancer, which metastasizes, and takes over. It never retreats. Its methods are more insidious, often imperceptible at first, driven by demographics. Like cancer, excision may be the only cure.

So whenever you read about this or that Israeli outrage -- and there may be truth to the complaint -- place the news in context. Look whom the Israelis are fighting against.
Islam is like nothing else in history.

Mike Konrad is the pen name of an American who is not Jewish, Latin, or Arab. He runs a website, <http://latinarabia.com>, where he discusses the subculture of Arabs in Latin America. He wishes his Spanish were better.

The Oath of a Shriner!



“Candidates for induction into the Shriners are greeted by a High Priest, who says:

“By the existence of Allah and **the creed of Mohammed**; by the legendary **sanctity of our Tabernacle at Mecca**, we greet you.”

The inductees then swear on the **Bible and the Koran, in the name of Mohammed**, and invoke Masonry’s usual gruesome penalties upon themselves:

“I do hereby, upon this Bible, and on the mysterious legend of the **Koran**, and its dedication to the **Mohammedan faith**, promise and swear and vow ... that

I will never reveal any secret part or portion whatsoever of the ceremonies ... and now **upon this sacred book, by the sincerity of a Moslem's oath** I here register this irrevocable vow ... in willful violation whereof may I incur the fearful penalty of having my **eyeballs pierced to the center with a three-edged blade**, my **feet flayed** and I be forced to walk the hot sands upon the sterile shores of the Red Sea until the flaming sun shall strike me with **livid plague**, and **may Allah, the god of Arab, Moslem and Mohammedan, the god of our fathers**, support me to the entire fulfillment of the same. Amen. Amen. Amen.”

With this oath, Christians swear on the Koran, and declare Allah to be “the god of our fathers.” From the perspective of Christianity and Islam alike, Shriners take the name of God in vain, and mock both faiths.”

Excerpt from *The Origins and Influence of Masonry*
by Lee Penn, SCP Journal Vol. 25:2-25:3 2001
P0 Box 4308, Berkeley, CA 94704

This entry was posted in Freemasonry and tagged 32nd degree Masons, 33rd degree Masons, Alhambra, Islam, Knights Templar, Masonry is incompatible with Christianity., Mohammed, Shriners, the oath of a Shriner, worshipping Lucifer. Bookmark the permalink.


Vintage Mohammed Embroidered Masonic Shriners Fez (ebay)



Shriners Masonic Jeweled Mecca Fez with Case (ebay)




1940s Islam Temple Shrine Luncheon Club Membership Card (ebay)



Islam Temple AAONMS of San Francisco Shrine Club Card (ebay)



1941 Lu Lu Temple AAONMS Shrine Club of Philadelphia (ebay)





“Lu Lu” Fez’s available for sale on ebay

Comment/Observation: Just like the shortened name for Jonathon is Jon so also is the shortened name for Lucifer called Lu. The name Lu Lu is an example of a “Calling” - - - The example in Matt 7 verses 20-23 will illustrate this concept of the calling of the “Double Name”.

KJV Matthew 7:20-23

20 Wherefore by their fruits ye shall know them.

21 Not every one that saith unto me, **Lord, Lord**, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven; but he that doeth the will of my Father which is in heaven.

22 Many will say to me in that day, **Lord, Lord**, have we not prophesied in thy name? and in thy name have cast out devils? and in thy name done many wonderful works?

23 And then will I profess unto them, I never knew you: depart from me, ye that work iniquity.

Comment/Observation: The name Jesus could be substituted for the term Lord.

KJV Matthew 7:20-23

20 Wherefore by their fruits ye shall know them.

21 Not every one that saith unto me, **Jesus, Jesus**, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven; but he that doeth the will of my Father which is in heaven.

22 Many will say to me in that day, **Jesus, Jesus**, have we not prophesied in thy name? and in thy name have cast out devils? and in thy name done many wonderful works?

23 And then will I profess unto them, I never knew you: depart from me, ye that work iniquity.

Comment/Observation: There is a war going on between the forces of Jesus and Lucifer. The Father sent his only begotten son into this dimension and his name is called Christ Jesus. Lucifer is going to have a son as well and that entity will be the antichrist and an opposite of Jesus in origin.

Wherefore by their fruits ye shall know them. Not everyone that saith unto me, **Lu, Lu**, shall enter into the kingdom of hell; but he that doeth the will of my Father which is in hell. Many will say to me in that day, **Lu, Lu**, have we not prophesied in thy name? and in thy name have brought in devils? and in thy name done many wonderful destructive works? And then will I **(LU)** profess unto them, I **(Lu)** never knew you: depart from me **(LU)**, ye that work righteousness, holiness and truthfulness.

Comment/Observation: The lower degrees of Masonry do not understand the concept of the "Double Name" and they are told the name on the fez means something else. Remember, - - - Lucifer is a liar and a deceiver.


<http://enjoyingthejourney.blogspot.com/2009/06/shriners-and-freemasonry.html>

June 06, 2009 - Shriners and Freemasonry - Luther Burbank (1849-1926) with Islam Fez



Horticulturist and naturalist, Luther Burbank moved to Santa Rosa, California, in 1875 where he established Burbank's Experimental Farms. He was raised in Santa Rosa Lodge No. 57 on August 13, 1921 and coroneted an honorary 33 degree Ancient & Accepted Scottish Rite of Freemasonry (AASR) (SJ) on October 20, 1925. Burbank died the following year on April 11th.

The Source for the Luther Burbank Picture is 10,000 Famous Freemasons from A to J Part One



William R. Denslow

Kessinger Publishing, Sep 1, 2004 - Social Science - 672 pages
Part One of Two. These volumes, prepared by the Missouri Lodge of Research, contain the biographies of ten thousand or more Freemasons who have contributed to the history of the Free World. See other titles by this author available from Kessinger Publishing. Other volumes in this set are ISBN(s): 1417975792.

Website for the Book:

https://books.google.com/books?id=ZvBjhJr9Ev0C&pg=PA154&lpg=PA154&dq=shriner+%22luther+burbank%22&source=bl&ots=CosdRnc_A3&sig=nPwDCoNa1ft0Ql0RB9LIArzVcM&hl=en&ei=XPYpSvTwNZaQswPV9dCnCw&sa=X&oi=book_result&ct=result&resnum=9

Men in Obscene Red Fezzes!

<http://www.ao.net/~jmo/john/personal/masons.html>

I am going to tell you things here that you may have never heard, and some which will be hard to believe. If you have thought of Masons and the Shriners in a positive light because they do all those nice things for kiddies and old people, this will definitely burst your bubble. The Bible says that Satan walks to and fro on this earth masquerading as an 'angel of light' that many might be deceived. See if you don't agree.

Many people go into Freemasonry today believing that it is just a philanthropic organization that does a lot of good work. They see advertisements for the Shrine hospitals and the Masonic homes for the elderly; many view it as a fraternal organization, kind of an advanced boy scouts. One survey said that many men get into it out of social reasons; their friends are in it; or they think it is a good place to network and do business.

What Freemasonry is, claimed in their own books, is a revival of the ancient mystery religions of Egypt and Balbak, and from Persia and India. Albert Pike in his "Morals and Dogma" and Albert Mackey in his "Encyclopedia of Freemasonry" say that masonry is a religion and that every Masonic lodge is a temple of religion. It is interesting that many Christians have gotten into this religion not understanding how pagan it is.

For example, in a large church in Texas, the elders went to their pastor when they heard that a guest, who has written against Freemasonry, was to speak and asked that the booking be cancelled. It turned out that almost all of the elders were Masons of local lodges, the Sunday School superintendent was the Supreme Potentate of a Shrine, and many members of the congregation belonged to these organizations. They were given the facts, references to their own 'secret' documents and books, and cross references to Scripture on the subject. They held an emergency meeting which lasted until 4 in the morning. After studying these discrepancies, they returned to the

pastor and told him that every one of them had resigned from their lodges.

This is happening all over America and the world. The Masons, by their own statistics, have gone in the 1980's from 4 million members to under 2 million members in 1996 (according to the Dallas Morning News). As Masons examine their own writings, and look at what Freemasonry is teaching, they realize it is not compatible with being a Biblical follower of Jesus Christ.

Where Lodge Initiations and Rituals Originate

Everyone who joins the local lodge joins what is called the Blue Lodge, the meeting center, and has to go through an initiation ceremony. Every Mason, including those in our churches today, have gone through this initiation; it is the only way you can get into the lodge. The first thing that happens is that a blindfold is put on them, a noose (called a cabletoll) is hung on their neck, their shirt is opened to bare their chest, and they are brought to the outer door of the lodge. There, a sharp point (of a compass or a dagger) is placed on their chest. Someone then asks, "Who comes?" to which candidate states "A poor, blind beggar looking to move from darkness to the light of Freemasonry." They are then brought (pulled by the noose) into the Masonic lodge where they must bow at an altar. Behind the altar stands a man they call the Worshipful Master of the Lodge (the leader of the lodge). Again the candidate makes his plea for Freemasonry. After answering a series of questions, the mason to be takes a series of blood oaths and promises not to reveal the secrets of Freemasonry that he will learn -- upon having his throat cut from ear to ear.

These are some of the actual oaths a Mason must take: In the first degree of the Blue Lodge, "Binding myself under no less a penalty, than having my throat cut across, my tongue torn out from its roots and buried in the rough sands of the sea..." In the second degree, "Binding myself under no less a penalty, than that of having my left breast torn open, my heart plucked out and given as prey to the wild beasts of the field and the foul of the air..." In the third degree (Master Mason Degree), "Binding myself under no less a penalty, than that of

having my body severed in twain, my bowels taken from thence and burned into ashes..."

For a Christian to go through this, you have to ask several questions: How can a follower of Jesus Christ, go to a Masonic Lodge, ask for membership, and say I am lost in darkness and I need the light of Freemasonry? If you read First John chapter One, it says, If you claim that you are lost in darkness the Light (of Jesus Christ) is not in you and you are living a lie.

Secondly: How can a Christian bow at an altar before a man that is called the Worshipful Master? Jesus said, You cannot serve two masters. There is only one Worshipful Master and that is Jesus Christ.

Lastly: How can a Christian take a pagan blood oath, swearing to have your throat cut from ear to ear, your heart given to the beasts of the field, your body cut in two and your bowels thrown into the sea? Those pagan blood oaths were forbidden by Jesus in Matthew chapter 5 and in the book of James.

Going Up?

And these aren't only in the initial initiations; as a Mason goes through the higher degrees of the Scottish and York rites, the oaths are more hideous. Once completing the three degrees of the Blue Lodge, a mason is then allowed to go into either the Scottish rite of Freemasonry which has 32 degrees or the York rite which has 13 degrees. These are advanced degrees where you learn the secrets of Freemasonry. As one progresses through the degree work of these rites, they tell you that you are on a quest to find the lost name of God.

The Masons have this story that somehow in the building of Solomon's Temple, the architect Hiram Abif lost the name of God. Masons claim that they have found this name and only very advanced Masons know the secret name of God. In a Masonic ceremony this name is whispered by three men in the lodge to one another. The name is "J-B-O", or "Jobulin"; three names put together -- Jehovah,

Baal, and Osiris. Jehovah, the God of Israel, Isaac and Jacob; Baal, the fertility god of Balbak that Elijah fought against (and beat); and, Osiris, the Egyptian sun god of phallic worship. The Masons have combined this into a three headed monster which they say is the Trinity. Well, Jesus is not going to share His glory with any other; but the Masons attempt to do this. Is it a demotion of the Messiah or a promotion of the other two gods?

Who's Your God?

As a Mason goes through the 32 degrees of the Scottish rite, he ends up giving worship to every Egyptian pagan god, the gods of Persia, gods of India, Greek gods, Babylonian gods, and others. As you come to the 17th degree, the Masons claim that they will give you the password that will give him entrance at the judgment day to the Masonic deity, the great architect of the universe. It is very interesting that this secret password is "Abaddon".

Now I've heard that name before, so I looked it up in the Bible. Check it out for yourself, in Revelation chapter 9; it says, *"The fifth angel sounded his trumpet, and I saw a star that had fallen from the sky to the earth. The star was given the key to the shaft of the Abyss [the bottomless pit]...and smoke came out of the Abyss like that of a great furnace..."* (It goes on to describe those in the abyss, then continues) *They had a king over them, the angel of the Abyss, whose name in Hebrew is Abaddon..."* The 'angel' of the Abyss (Hell) is really the chief demon whose name is Abaddon. Masons claim then, that the diety they worship is Abaddon! Not God. Not Jesus. But the number one servant of Lucifer (Satan).

Masons have mixed idolatry, paganism, the occult, fertility cults, demonology, and put it into a blender and come up with the Masonic religion. It is not of God; it is a false religion.

Can You Believe This?

There are Christians, all over the world, who even today, suffer greatly and some have even give up their lives because they refuse to

compromise their faith in Christ. And yet, professing Christians who are involved in the highest ranks of Freemasonry honor the persecution of Christians.

When a Mason gets to the highest degree of the Scottish rite or the York rite, he is then allowed to go into what is called the Shrine. You may have seen them in parades, wearing their red fezzes with the sword and crescent emblem on them. You hear about the Shrine circus and the burn centers for children run by the Shriners. People think isn't this a nice fun organization, they do so much good for crippled children and others. But . . .

In order for a person to become a Shriner, he must not only go through all the degrees of Masonry, make all those blood-curdling oaths, worship gods who are not gods except they are of Satan, but he must make a blood both of allegiance to Allah as his god and Mohammed as his prophet.

The Shriner is then given a red fez with an Islamic sword and crescent jeweled on the front of it. This originates from 7th century Arabia when the Moslems, under the leadership of Mohammed, slaughtered all Christians who would not bow down to Allah. Allah, by the way, was not another (generic) name given to God by Mohammed; Allah is the tribal deity --the moon god-- of Mohammed; it was the name of the god in the tribe that Mohammed was born into. That is why every mosque today has a crescent moon on the top of its spire.

Now when Mohammed's army of men, out to slaughter all the 'infidels', came to the city of Fez, in Morocco, they found a community of Christians. After killing all the Christians there with their Islamic-style swords, they took their hats (called a fez) and dipped them in the blood of the Christians, and wore the fezzes throughout the land glorying in their victory over Christianity. Today Shriners put on red fezzes (representing the hats dipped in the blood of Christians) with the Islamic sword and crescent showing their allegiance to Allah and Mohammed (and the defeat of Christianity). If you are a Christian, and you are a Mason or a Shriner reading this, you have no business

being part of a lodge, no business being part of a Shrine. I encourage you, for your own eternal sake to repent of it and get out of it immediately!

Will Building Hospitals Get You Into Heaven?

Many men have gotten involved with Freemasonry and do not (did not) know what they were really getting into. Yet, you have to ask, how could they go through the first initiation, and if they truly are Christians, not know this is wrong and diametrically opposed to God's Word. Are your loyalties with Jesus Christ or are they with the lodge?

One Mason, when asked, "If you were to die tonight, and stand before God, and He were to ask you 'Why should I let you into my Heaven'" said that he guessed he would have to tell God that "I was a good Mason". You see, many Masons believe that Masonry is a system of morality. Masonry teaches that through one's good works Masons can obtain the 'celestial lodge' above, the 'heavenly kingdom'.

Most masons, especially those who are professing Christians, would point us to the fact of all the good works they do. They'd ask, 'How can you say that we are not Christians?' Look at the Shrine homes for the aged, look at the work we do with children, all the good things, don't they count? There's nothing wrong with all of these things, but they are not going to save you. What gives us eternal life is faith in Jesus by His Grace only. Ephesians 2:8-9 says: *"For it is by grace [unmerited favor] you are saved, through faith -- and that, not of yourselves, it is the gift of God -- not by works, so that no man can boast."* Masons pride themselves in all their works, but St. Paul tells us in Romans 10:1-3 *"Brothers, my heart's desire and prayer to God for the Israelites is that they may be saved. For I can testify about them that they are zealous for God, but their zeal is not based on knowledge. Since they did not know the righteousness that comes from God and sought to establish their own, they did not submit to God's righteousness."*

Jesus said on the cross: "It is finished!" There is nothing more that Masons -- or anyone -- can do, to add to what is already done. All one has to do is receive this free gift that Christ purchased for us.

The revealing words added to...

The Masonic Altar Bible

Texe Marrs: www.powerofprophecy.com

"You're so ignorant, intolerant and hateful, Mr. Marrs. Contrary to what you say, the Lodge is a Christian organization. There is a Holy Bible found on the altar of every Masonic Lodge in America!"

This is what a man, identifying himself as a 32nd degree Mason, recently wrote me. The angry fellow insisted he is a Christian and that Freemasonry is also Christian. "But, unlike you," he jibed, "I'm a believer in the *true* God and the true Jesus Christ—the God who answers to many names such as Allah, Krishna, Osiris, and Zoroaster, and the Christ who loves and accepts Buddhists, Hindus, Moslems, and people of every faith and creed." **The Masonic Shriner fez, or cap, shown below demonstrates the heretical doctrines of the Lodge. The Shriners are made up of high- Shriners are made up of high-level Masons who are initiated and take an oath at the altar of Allah and Mohammed. Diabolically, many Shriners insist they are also "Christians.**



Another Jesus and Another Gospel

As you can see, the Jesus this man describes is not at all the Jesus of the Scriptures. Instead, this is "*another Jesus*" (II Corinthians 11:4) and "*another gospel*" (Galatians 1:8)—an "accursed gospel," Paul called it. Nor do Masons worship the same God. They call their deity the "Great Architect of the Universe," but they call out and pray to him under many names.

The gospel of Freemasonry is described very well in the commentary of the very Bible that, as our letter-writer boasted, is found on the altar of every Masonic Lodge in America. He told the truth. The lodges do display the Bible on their altar—their literature even describes the Bible as part of the "furniture" of the Lodge.

But Now...The Whole Story: But now, let me tell you the *whole story*. You see, the Masonic Altar Bible contains *added pages of*

commentary which explain the Lodge's formal doctrine regarding the Holy Scriptures. Here, from the words printed in the Masons' own Altar Bible (page 5), we discover the accursed ecumenical teachings of Masons and the Lodge:

"For Masonry knows, what so many forget, that religions are many, but Religion is one...Therefore, it invites to its altar men of all faiths, knowing that, if they use different names for 'the Nameless One of a hundred names,' they are yet praying to the one god and Father of all; knowing, also that while they read different volumes, they are in fact reading the same vast Book of the Faith of Man as revealed in the struggle and sorrow of the race in its quest of God. So that, great and noble as the Bible is, Masonry sees it as a symbol of that eternal Book of the Will of God.

Much more, the grand old Bible is to us a symbol—that is, a part taken for the whole. It is a sovereign symbol of the Book of Faith, the Will of God as man has learned—that perpetual revelation of himself which God is making mankind in every land and every age.

Thus, by the very honor which Masonry pays to the Bible, it teaches us to revere every book of faith in which men find help for today and hope for the morrow, joining hands with the man of Islam as he takes oath on the Koran, and with the Hindu as he makes covenant with God upon that book he loves best."

All Religions, All Faiths, All Gods, All Scriptures Equal

So, we find that the added words in the commentary of the *Masonic Altar Bible* diminish Christianity by claiming that all religions, all faiths, all gods, are of the same merit. "Religion is one," the leaders of the Lodge conclude.

As for the Christian Bible, it's no more to be honored and revered by the Lodge than the Islamic Koran or the Hindu Vedas, or, for that matter, the ancient Greek writings of Homer. It's up to the individual Mason to choose the "scriptures" and the "God" he "loves best."

The Masonic doctrine is wonderfully ecumenical, open, broad, and tolerant. It is also horrendously demented and demonic! That's my unwavering belief, and that's exactly why our 32nd degree friend brands Texe Marrs "ignorant, intolerant, and hateful." I suspect that most readers of this *Power of Prophecy* newsletter would also be branded as such by the politically correct Masonic fraternity.

Jesus: A Name Above Every Name: The next time you encounter a Mason claiming a belief in "Christianity," or "Jesus," ask him about these *words of commentary printed* on page 5 of his Masonic Altar Bible. Then ask how this doctrine can be squared with these mighty words, contained in the *actual text*; that is, the Scriptures, of the very same Bible which sits on the Masonic Altar:

Wherefore God also hath highly exalted him, and given him a name which is above every name:

That at the name of Jesus every knee should bow, of things in heaven, and things in earth, and things under the earth;

And that every tongue should confess that Jesus Christ is Lord, to the glory of God the Father.

—*Phillipians 2:9-11*

More Information at Texe Marrs website: www.powerofprophecy.com

THE MYTHS OF ISLAM

<http://www.thereligionofpeace.com/pages/myths-of-islam.htm>

Muslims often complain of popular "misconceptions" about their religion in the West.

We took a hard look, however, and found that the most prevalent myths of Islam are the ones held by Muslims and Western apologists. The only glaring exception to this is the misconception that all Muslims are alike (they aren't, of course), but even Muslims often believe this as well, as evidenced by the various contrary factions insisting that they are the *true* Muslims, while those who disagree with them are either infidels, hijackers, or hypocrites.

Don't be fooled! Hear the myths, but know the truth.

Islam Means 'Peace'

The Myth:

Muslim apologists sometimes claim that the root word of Islam is "*al-Salaam*," which is "peace" in Arabic.

The Truth:

An Arabic word has only one root. The root word for Islam is "*al-Silm*," which means "submission" or "surrender." There is no disagreement about this among Arabic or Islamic scholars. *al-Silm* (submission) does not mean the same thing as *al-Salaam* (peace), otherwise they would be the same word.

Submission and peace can be very different concepts, even if a form of peace is often brought about by forcing others into submission. As the modern-day Islamic scholar, Ibrahim Sulaiman, puts it, "*Jihad is not inhumane, despite its necessary violence and bloodshed, its ultimate desire is peace which is protected and enhanced by the rule of law.*"

In truth, the Quran not only calls Muslims to submit to Allah, it also orders them to subdue people of other religions until they are in a full state of

submission to Islamic rule. This has inspired the aggressive history of Islam and its military and demographic success in conquering other cultures.

Islam Respects Women as Equals

The Myth:

The Quran places men and women on equal foundation before Allah. Each person is judged according to his or her own deeds. Women have equal rights under Islamic law.

The Truth:

Merely stating that individuals will be judged as such by Allah does not mean that they have equal rights and roles, or that they are judged by the same standards.

There is no ambiguity in the Quran, the life of Muhammad, or Islamic law as to the inferiority of women to men despite the efforts of modern-day apologists to salvage Western-style feminism from scraps and fragments of verses that have historically held no such progressive interpretation.

After military conquests, Muhammad would dole out captured women as war prizes to his men. In at least one case, he advocated that they be raped in front of their husbands. Captured women were made into sex slaves by the very men who killed their husbands and brothers. There are four Quranic verses in which "Allah" makes clear that a Muslim master has full sexual access to his female slaves, yet there is not one that prohibits rape.

The Quran gives Muslim men permission to beat their wives for disobedience, but nowhere does it command love in marriage (although it is said to exist). The verses plainly say that husbands are "a degree above" wives. The Hadith says that women are intellectually inferior, and that they comprise the majority of Hell's occupants.

Under Islamic law, a man may divorce his wife at his choosing. If he does this twice, then wishes to remarry her, she must first have sex with another man. Men are exempt from such degradations.

Muslim women are not free to marry whom they please, as are Muslim men. Their husband may also bring other wives (and slaves) into the marriage bed. And she must be sexually available to him at any time (as a field ready to be “tilled,” according to the holy book of Islam).

Muslim women do not inherit property in equal portion to males. This is somewhat ironic given that Islam owes its existence to the wealth of Muhammad's first wife, which would not otherwise have been inherited by her given that she had two brothers and her first husband had three sons.

A woman's testimony in court is considered to be worth only half that of a man's, according to the Quran. Unlike a man, she must also cover her head - and often her face.

If a woman wants to prove that she was raped, then there must be four male witnesses to corroborate her account (according to strict Sharia). Otherwise she can be jailed or stoned to death for confessing to “adultery.”

Given all of this, it is quite a stretch to say that men and women have “equality under Islam” based on obscure theological analogies or comparisons. This is an entirely new ploy that is designed for modern tastes and disagrees sharply with the reality of Islamic law and history.

Jihad Means 'Inner Struggle'

The Myth:

Islam's Western apologists sometimes claim that since the Arabic word, Jihad, literally means “fight” or “struggle,” it refers to an “inner struggle” rather than holy war.

The Truth:

In Arabic, "jihad" means struggle. In Islam, it means holy war.

The Quran specifically exempts the disabled and elderly from Jihad (4:95), which would make no sense if the word is being used merely within the context of spiritual struggle. It is also unclear why Muhammad and his Quran would use graphic language, such as smiting fingers and heads from the hands and necks of unbelievers if he were speaking merely of

character development.

With this in mind, Muslim apologists generally admit that there are two meanings to the word, but insist that “inner struggle” is the “greater Jihad,” whereas “holy war” is the “lesser.” In fact, this misconception is based only on an a single hadith that Islamic scholars generally agreed was fabricated.

By contrast, the most reliable of all Hadith collections is that of Bukhari. Jihad is mentioned over 200 times in reference to the words of Muhammad and each one carries a clear connotation to holy war, with only a handful of possible exceptions (dealing with a woman's supporting role during a time of holy war).

Islam is a Religion of Peace

The Myth:

Muhammad was a peaceful man who taught his followers to be the same. Muslims lived peacefully for centuries, fighting only in self-defense, and only when it was necessary. True Muslims would never act aggressively.

The Truth:

There shouldn't be any argument over who the "true Muslim" is because the Quran clearly distinguishes the true Muslim from the pretender in Sura 9 and elsewhere. According to this - one of the last chapters of the Quran - the true believer "*strives and fights with their wealth and persons*" while the hypocrites are those who "*sit at home,*" refusing to join the jihad against unbelievers in foreign lands.

In truth, Muhammad organized 65 military campaigns in the last ten years of his life and personally led 27 of them. The more power that he attained, the smaller the excuse needed to go to battle, until finally he began attacking tribes merely because they were not yet part of his growing empire.

After Muhammad's death, his successor immediately went to war with former allied tribes which wanted to go their own way. Abu Bakr called them 'apostates' and slaughtered anyone who did not want to remain Muslim. Eventually, he was successful in holding the empire together

through blood and violence.

The prophet of Islam's most faithful followers and even his own family soon turned on each other as well. There were four caliphs (leaders) in the first twenty-five years, each of which was a trusted companion of his. Three of these four were murdered. The third caliph was murdered by those allied with the son of the first caliph. The fourth caliph was murdered in the midst of a conflict with the fifth caliph, who began a 100-year dynasty of excess and debauchery that was brought to an end in a gruesome, widespread bloodbath by descendents of Muhammad's uncle (who was not even a Muslim).

Muhammad's own daughter, Fatima, and his son-in-law, Ali, who both survived the pagan hardship during the Meccan years safe and sound, did not survive Islam after the death of Muhammad. Fatima died of stress from persecution within three months, and Ali was later assassinated by Muslim rivals. Their son (Muhammad's grandson) was killed in battle with the faction that became today's Sunnis. His people became Shias. The relatives and personal friends of Muhammad were mixed into both warring groups, which then fractured further into hostile sub-divisions as Islam expanded.

Muslim apologists, who like to say that is impossible for today's terrorists to be Muslim when they kill fellow Muslims, would have a very tough time explaining the war between Fatima's followers and Aisha to a knowledgeable audience. Muhammad explicitly held up both his favorite daughter and his favorite wife as model Muslim women, yet they were invoked respectively by each side in the violent civil war that followed his death. Which one was the prophet of God so horribly wrong about?

Muhammad left his men with instructions to take the battle against Christians, Persians, Jews and polytheists (which came to include millions of unfortunate Hindus). For the next four centuries, Muslim armies steamrolled over unsuspecting neighbors, plundering them of loot and slaves, and forcing the survivors to either convert or pay tribute at the point of a sword.

Companions of Muhammad lived to see Islam declare war on every major religion in the world in just the first few decades following his death - pressing the Jihad against Hindus, Christians, Jews, Zoroastrians, and Buddhists.

By the time of the Crusades (when the Europeans began fighting back),

Muslims had conquered two-thirds of the Christian world by sword, from Syria to Spain, and across North Africa. Millions of Christians were enslaved by Muslims, and tens of millions of Africans. The Arab slave-trading routes would stay open for 1300 years until pressure from Christian-based countries forced Islamic nations to declare the practice illegal (in theory). To this day, the Muslim world has never apologized for the victims of Jihad and slavery. There is not another religion in the world that consistently produces terrorism in the name of God as does Islam. The most dangerous Muslims are nearly always those who interpret the Quran most transparently. They are the fundamentalists or purists of the faith, and believe in Muhammad's mandate to spread Islamic rule by the sword, putting to death those who will not submit. In the absence of true infidels, they will even turn on each other.

The holy texts of Islam are saturated with verses of violence and hatred toward those outside the faith, as well as the aforementioned "hypocrites" (Muslims who don't act like Muslims). In sharp contrast to the Bible, which generally moves from relatively violent episodes to far more peaceful mandates, the Quran travels the exact opposite path (violence is first forbidden, then permitted, then mandatory). The handful of earlier verses that speak of tolerance are overwhelmed by an avalanche of later ones that carry a much different message. While Old Testament verses of blood and guts are generally bound by historical context within the text itself, Quranic imperatives to violence usually appear open-ended and subject to personal interpretation.

From the history of the faith to its most sacred writings, those who want to believe in "peaceful Islam" have a lot more to ignore than do the terrorists. By any objective measure, the "Religion of Peace" has been the harshest, bloodiest religion the world has ever known. In Islam there is no peace unless Muslims have power - and even then...

Islam is Tolerant of Other Religions

The Myth:

Religious minorities have flourished under Islam. Muslims are commanded to protect Jews and Christians (the People of the Book) and do them no harm. The Quran says in Sura 109, *"To you, your religion. To me, mine."*

The Truth:

Religious minorities have not "flourished" under Islam. In fact, they have

dwindled to mere shadows after centuries of persecution and discrimination. Some were converted from their native religion by brute force, others under the agonizing strain of dhimmitude.

What Muslims call “tolerance,” others correctly identify as institutionalized discrimination. The consignment of Jews and Christians to dhimmis under Islamic rule means that they are not allowed the same religious rights and freedoms as Muslims. They cannot share their faith, for example, or build houses of worship without permission. (See this link for rules imposed by the Islamic State)

Historically, dhimmis have often had to wear distinguishing clothing or cut their hair in a particular manner that indicates their position of inferiority and humiliation. They do not share the same legal rights as Muslims, and must even pay a poll tax (the *jizya*). They are to be killed or have their children taken from them if they cannot satisfy the tax collector’s requirements.

For hundreds of years, the Christian population in occupied Europe had their sons taken away and forcibly converted into Muslim warriors (known as Jannisaries) by the Ottoman Turks.

It is under this burden of discrimination and third-class status that so many religious minorities converted to Islam over the centuries. Those who didn’t often faced economic and social hardships that persist to this day and are appalling by Western standards of true religious tolerance and pluralism.

For those who are not “the People of the Book,” such as Hindus and atheists, there is very little tolerance to be found once Islam establishes political superiority. The Quran tells Muslims to “fight in the way of Allah” until “religion is only for Allah.” The conquered populations face death if they do not establish regular prayer and charity in the Islamic tradition (ie. the pillars of Islam).

Tamerlane and other Muslim warriors slaughtered tens of millions of Hindus and Buddhists, and displaced or forcibly converted millions more over the last thousand years. Islamists in Somalia behead Christians. In Iran, they are jailed.

One of the great ironies of Islam is that non-Muslims are to be treated according to the very standards by which Muslims themselves would claim

the right to violent self-defense were the shoe on the other foot. Islam is its own justification. Most Muslims therefore feel no need to explain the ingrained arrogance and double standard.

There are about 500 verses in the Quran that speak of Allah's hatred for non-Muslims and the punishment that he has prepared for their unbelief. There is also a tiny handful that could be construed to say otherwise, but these are mostly earlier verses that many scholars consider to be abrogated by the later, more violent ones.

As for Sura 109, any true Quran scholar will point out that the purpose of the verse was to distinguish Islam from the gods of the Quraysh (one of which was named "Allah") rather than to advocate religious tolerance for non-Muslims. At the time that he narrated this very early verse, Muhammad did not have any power, and thus no choice but to be "tolerant" of others. By contrast, there was no true tolerance shown when he returned to Mecca with power many years later and demanded the eviction or death of anyone who would not convert to Islam. In fact, he physically destroyed the cherished idols of the people to whom he had previously addressed in Sura 109.

If tolerance simply means discouraging the mass slaughter of those of a different faith, then today's Islam generally meets this standard more often than not. But, if tolerance means allowing people of other faiths the same religious liberties that Muslims enjoy, then Islam is fundamentally the most intolerant religion under the sun.

Islam and the “Golden Age” of Scientific Discovery

The Myth:

Muslims often claim that their religion fostered a rich heritage of scientific discovery, “paving the way” for modern advances in technology and medicine. On this topic, they usually refer to the period between the 7th and 13th centuries, when Europe was experiencing its “Dark Ages” and the Muslim world was acquiring new populations and culture through violent conquest.

The Truth:

Although there is no arguing that the Muslim world was relatively more advanced during this Middle Age period than the “Christian” world, the reasons for this have **absolutely nothing** to do with the Islamic religion (other than its mandate for military expansion). In fact, the religion tends to discourage knowledge outside of itself (Quran 5:101-102), which is why the most prolific Muslim scholars are usually students of religion rather than science.

[Note that the country of Spain alone translates more learning material and literature into Spanish each year than the entire Arab world has translated into Arabic since the 9th century. As the Saudi Grand Mufti bluntly put it in 2010, *"The Quran with its stories and knowledge are sufficient for us... we don't need the Torah, or Gospels, or any other book"*].

The many fundamentalists and other devotees who dress as Muhammad did and adopt 7th century lifestyles to some degree or another underscore the importance of tradition in Islam. The religion is highly conservative and resistant to change, which is viewed with suspicion. As scholar Bernard Lewis points out, in Islam an innovation is presumed to be bad unless it can be proven to be good.

Beyond this, there are four basic reasons why Islam has little true claim to scientific achievement:

First, the Muslim world benefited greatly from the Greek sciences, which were translated for them by dhimmi Christians and Jews. To their credit, Muslims did a better job of preserving Greek text than did the Europeans of the time, and this became the foundation for their own knowledge. (One large reason for this, however, was that access by Christians to this part of their world was cut off by Muslim slave ships and coastal raids that dominated the Mediterranean during this period).

Secondly, many of the scientific advances credited to Islam were actually “borrowed” from other cultures conquered by the Muslims. The algebraic concept of “zero”, for example, is erroneously attributed to Islam when, in fact, it was a Hindu discovery that was merely introduced to the West by Muslims.

In truth, conquered populations contributed greatly to the history of “Muslim science” until gradually being decimated by conversion to Islam (under the pressures of dhimmitude). As Mark Steyn puts it, *“When admirers talk up Islam and the great innovations and rich culture of its heyday, they forget that even at its height Muslims were never more than a minority in the Muslim world, and they were in large part living off the energy of others.”*

The Muslim concentration within a population is proportional to the decline of scientific achievement. It is no accident that the Muslim world has had little to show for itself in the last 800 years or so, since running out of new civilizations to cannibalize.

Third, even accomplished Muslim scientists and cultural icons were often considered heretics in their day, sometimes with good reason. One of the greatest achievers to come out of the Muslim world was the Persian scientist and philosopher, al-Razi. His impressive works are often held up today as “proof” of Muslim accomplishment. But what the apologists often leave out is that al-Razi was denounced as a blasphemer, since he followed his own religious beliefs – which were in obvious contradiction to traditional Islam.

Fourth, even the contributions that are attributed to Islam (often inaccurately) are not terribly dramatic. There is the 'invention' of certain words, such as alchemy and elixir (and assassin, by the way), but not much else that survives in modern technology which is of practical significance. Neither is there any reason to believe that such discoveries would not have easily been made by the West following the cultural awakening triggered by the Reformation.

As an example, consider that Muslims claim credit for coffee - in the sense that they popularized existing knowledge of Africans who were caught up in the Arab slave trade. However, it is also true that the red dye used in many food products, from cranberry juice to candy, comes from the abdomen of a particular female beetle found in South America. It is extremely unlikely that the West would not have stumbled across coffee by now.

In fact, the litany of “Muslim” achievement often takes the form of rhapsody, in which the true origins of these discoveries are omitted - along with their comparative significance to Western achievement. One often doesn't hear about the dismal fate of original accomplishments either. Those who brag

about the great observatory of Taqi al-Din in [freshly conquered] Istanbul, for example, often neglect to mention that it was quickly destroyed by the caliphate.

At the end of the day, the record of scientific, medical and technological accomplishment is not something over which Muslim apologists want to get into a contest with the Christian world. Today's Islamic innovators are primarily known for turning Western technology, such as cell phones and airplanes, into instruments of mass murder.

To sum up, although the Islamic religion is not entirely hostile to science, neither should it be confused as a facilitator. The great achievements that are said to have come out of the Islamic world were made either by non-Muslims who happened to be under Islamic rule, or by heretics who usually had little interest in Islam. Scientific discovery tapers off dramatically as Islam asserts dominance, until it eventually peters out altogether.

Islam is Opposed to Slavery

The Myth:

Islam is intolerant of enslaving human beings. The religion eradicated the institution of slavery thanks to the principles set in motion by Muhammad, who was an abolitionist.

The Truth:

There is not the least bit of intolerance for slavery anywhere in the Quran. In fact, the "holy" book of Islam explicitly gives slave-owners the freedom to sexually exploit their slaves – not just in one place, but in at least four separate Suras. Islamic law is littered with rules concerning the treatment of slaves, some of which are relatively humane, but none that prohibit the actual practice by any stretch.

The very presence of these rules condones and legitimizes the institution of slavery. Adding to this is the fact that Muhammad was an avid slave trader. After providing ample evidence of his activities according to the most reliable Muslim biographers, the Center of the Study of Political Islam summarizes its findings as such:

Muhammad captured slaves, sold slaves, bought slaves as gifts of

pleasure, received slaves as gifts, and used slaves for work. The Sira is exquisitely clear on the issue of slavery. (Muhammad and the Unbelievers: a Political Life)

Even the very pulpit from which Muhammad preached Islam was built by slave labor on his command!

The Quran tells Muslims to emulate the example of Muhammad, who has the most "exalted character". As such, the deeply dehumanizing horror of slavery has been a ubiquitous tradition of Islam for 14 centuries, including the modern plight of non-Muslim slaves in the Sudan, Mali, Niger, Mauritania, and other parts of the Muslim world.

There has never been an abolitionary movement within Islam (just as the religion produces no organized resistance to present-day enslavement). The abolition of slavery was imposed on the Islamic world by European countries, along with other political pressures that were entirely unrelated to Islamic law.

Although horrible abuses of slaves in the Muslim world were recorded, there has been little inclination toward the documentation and earnest contrition that one finds in the West. The absence of a guilty Muslim conscience often leads to the mistaken impression that slavery was not as bad under Islam - when it is actually indicative of the explicit tolerance the religion has for the practice

So narcissistic is the effect of Islam on the devoted, that to this day many Muslims believe in their hearts that the women and children carried off in battle, along with their surviving men folk, were actually done a favor by the Muslim warriors who plucked them from their fields and homes and relegated them to lives of demeaning servitude.

Shame and apology, no matter how appropriate, are almost never to be found in Dar al-Islam. Caliphs, the religious equivalent of popes, maintained harems of hundreds, sometimes thousands of young girls and women captured from lands as far away as Europe and consigned to sexual slavery. Hungarians were hunted like animals by the Turks, who carried 3 million into slavery over a 150 year period in the 1500-1600's. In India, 200,000 Hindus were captured and transported to Iranian slave markets in just a two year span (1619-1620) by one of the *kinder* Muslim

rulers.

African slaves were often castrated by their Muslim masters. Few survived to reproduce, which is why there are not many people of African descent living in the Middle East, even though more slaves were taken out of Africa in the 1300 years of Arab slave trading than in the 300 years of European slavery. The 400,000 slaves brought to America, for example, have now become a community of 30 million, with a much higher standard of living than their African peers.

There is no William Wilberforce or Bartoleme de las Casas in Islamic history as there is in Christianity. When asked to produce the name of a Muslim abolitionist, apologists sometimes meekly suggest Muhammad himself. But, if a slave owner and trader, who commanded the capture and sexual exploitation of slaves, and left a 13-century legacy of divinely-sanctioned slavery, is the best that Islam can offer in the way of an abolitionist, then no amount of sophistry will be enough to convince any but the most ignorant.

Islam is Incompatible with Terrorism

The Myth:

Islam is completely incompatible with acts of terrorism. It is against Islam to kill innocent people.

The Truth:

Islam does prohibit killing innocent people. Unfortunately, you don't qualify.

Even though many Muslims earnestly believe that their religion prohibits the killing of innocent people by acts of terrorism, the truth is certainly more complicated. This is why Muslims on both sides of the terror debate accuse the other of hijacking Islam while insisting that they are the true believers. It is also why organizations that commit horrible atrocities in the name of Allah, such as Hamas and Hezbollah, receive a significant amount of moral and financial support from the mainstream.

In fact, the definition of "terrorism" in Islam is ambiguous at best. And the definition of an "innocent person" in Islam isn't something that Muslim apologists advertise when they say that such persons aren't to be harmed.

The reason for this is that anyone who rejects Islam by refusing to convert is not considered to be innocent according to Islamic teaching.

Consider that a great deal of the Quran is devoted to describing the horrible punishment that awaits those who refuse to believe Muhammad. How then can Muslims say that the subjects of divine wrath are innocent people?

The most protected and respected of all non-Muslims are the *dhimma*, the "people of the book." Specifically, these would be Jews and Christians who agree to Islamic rule and pay the *jizya* (tribute to Muslims). Yet, the word "*dhimmi*" is derived from an Arabic root that means "guilt" or "blame." ["...the *dhimmi* parent and sister words mean both 'to blame' as well as safeguards that can be extended to protect the blameworthy" Amitav Ghosh, "In an Antique Land"].

So, if even the *dhimma* have a measure of guilt attached to their status (by virtue of having rejected Allah's full truth), how can non-Muslims who oppose Islamic rule or refuse to pay the *jizya* be considered "innocent?"

Even within the Islamic community there is a category of Muslims who are also said to bear guilt – greater, even, than the average non-believer. These are the hypocrites, or "Munafiqin," whom Muhammad referred to in the most derogatory terms. A hypocrite is considered to be a Muslim in name only. They are distinguished from true Muslims, according to the 9th Sura, by an unwillingness to wage (v.81, 86) or fund (v.121) holy war. True believers fight and are harsh to unbelievers (v.123).

The Muslim terrorists who frequently kill "other Muslims" in the name of Allah do so believing that their victims are Munafiqin or kafir (unbelievers). This is a part of Sharia known as *takfir*, in which a Muslim can be declared an apostate and then executed for their role in hindering the expansion of Islamic authority. (A true Muslim would go to paradise anyway, in which case he or she could hardly be expected to nurse a grudge amidst the orgy of sex and wine).

In addition to the murky definition of innocence, there is also the problem of distinguishing terrorism from holy war. Islamic terrorists rarely refer to themselves as terrorists, but usually say that they are holy warriors (Mujahideen, Shahid, or Fedayeen). They consider their acts to be a form

of Jihad.

Holy war is commanded in the Quran and Hadith. In Sura 9:29, Muhammad establishes the principle that unbelievers should be fought until they either convert to Islam or accept a state of humiliation under Islamic subjugation. This is confirmed in the Hadith by both Sahih Muslim and Bukhari.

In many places, the prophet of Islam says that Jihad is the ideal path for a Muslim, and that believers should “fight in the way of Allah.” There are dozens of open-ended passages in the Quran that exhort killing and fighting – far more than there are of peace and tolerance. It is somewhat naïve to think that their inclusion in this "eternal discourse between God and Man" was of historical value only and not intended to be relevant to present-day believers, particularly when there is little to nothing within the text to distinguish them in such fashion.

Combine the Quran's exhortation to holy war with the ambiguity of innocence and a monumental problem develops that cannot be patched over by mere semantics. Not only is there a deep tolerance for violence in Islam, but also a sharp disagreement and lack of clarity over the conditions that justify this violence - and just whom the targets may be.

Even many of those Muslims who claim to be against terrorism still support the “insurgency” in Iraq, for example, and often entertain the allegation that there is a broader “war against Islam.” Although American troops in Iraq were trying to protect innocent life and help the country rebuild, Muslims around the world and in the West believe that it was legitimate for true believers to try and kill them.

Enjoying the sanction of holy war, the Mujahid thus reasoned that it is permissible to attack fellow Iraqis – the ones helping the Americans - even if they are part of a democratically-elected Iraqi government. These non-combatants and combatants alike are believed to be the “Munafiqin” or “Takfir” assisting the enemy “Crusaders.”

Although we use Iraq as an example here, this is the same rationale that is ultimately behind all Islamic terror, from the Philippines to Thailand. Wherever the Muslim religion is a minority, there are always radicals who believe that violence is justified in bringing Islam to dominance - just as

Muhammad taught and set by example in Mecca and other places, such as the land of al-Harith.

And what of the so-called “innocents” who suffer from the bombings and shootings? Even in Muhammad’s time they were unavoidable. The much-touted hadith in which Muhammad forbade the killing of women, for example, also indicates that there were such casualties in his attacks on other tribes.

If there is any doubt that he believed that the forbidden is sometimes necessary, it should be put to rest by an incident in which Muhammad's men warned him that a planned night raid against an enemy camp would mean that women and children would be killed. He merely replied “they are of them,” meaning the men.

This is the slippery slope opened by the sanction of holy war. What starts out as the perception of a noble cause of self-defense against a supposed threat gradually devolves into a "let Allah sort them out" campaign through a series of logical steps that are ultimately justified by the sublime goal of Islamic rule.

Islam is not intended to co-exist as an equal with other religions. It is to be the dominant religion with Sharia as the supreme law. Islamic rule is to be extended to the ends of the earth and resistance is to be dealt with by any means necessary.

Apologists in the West often shrug off the Quran's many verses of violence by saying that they are relevant only in a “time of war.”

To this, Islamic terrorists would agree. They are at war.

Islam is a Democracy

The Myth:

Islam is compatible with democratic principles. The religion itself is a democracy.

The Truth:

A democracy is a system in which all people are judged as equals before

the law, regardless of race, religion or gender. The vote of every individual counts as much as the vote of any other. The collective will of the people then determines the rules of society.

Under Islamic law, only Muslim males are entitled to full rights. The standing of a woman is often half that of a man's - sometimes even less. Non-Muslims have no standing with a Muslim. In fact, a Muslim can never be put to death for killing an unbeliever.

The Islamic state is guided by Islamic law, derived from the Quran and Sunnah. A body of clerics interprets the law and applies it to all circumstances social, cultural and political. The people are never to be placed above the Quran and Sunnah any more than man should be above Allah.

It is somewhat debatable as to whether there are any states in the Muslim world that qualify as actual democracies. There is no denying, however, that the tiny handful that are often held up as democratic nations are ones in which deep tension exists between the government and religious leaders, as the latter often complain that democracy is an idolatrous system imposed on them.

Islam does not facilitate democracy.

The Quran is the Muslim Counterpart to the Bible

The Myth:

The Quran is to Muslims what the Bible is to Christians (and the Torah to Jews).

The Truth:

The Quran only contains what is presented as the literal words of Allah - as relayed by Muhammad. It can be compared to a manufactured text that includes only the words of Jesus (the so-called "red-letter" verses) extracted from their New Testament historical context and then randomly mixed together (the chapters of the Quran are arranged by size and themes are rarely consistent even within each chapter). - - - - - By

contrast, the Bible contains history and biographical detail. For example, there is nothing in the Quran that details Muhammad's life, whereas the Bible contains four books that present all that is known about the biography of Jesus. Another distinction is that when the Bible commands violence - as it does in a handful of Old Testament verses - the intended target is explicitly defined within the passage, leaving little doubt that it is a recounting of history and not an open-ended command for anyone else to do the same.

Despite the rhapsody with which Muslims sing the Quran's praises, there is an obvious reason why only a minority have actually bothered to delve deeper than an occasional sporadic perusal through its pages. The random arrangement of verses and near absence of context makes it difficult to understand. For this reason the Quran is rarely printed without the incorporation of voluminous commentary (that usually expresses the personal preferences of the translator). In fact, the Muslim counterpart to the Bible is the Quran, Hadith and Sira combined.

The Hadith is a collection of anecdotes and historical snippets of Muhammad's life based on the relayed narrations of those who lived with him. Unfortunately, authenticity varies. But the most dependable compilers are agreed by Muslims scholars to be Bukhari and Sahih Muslim, followed by Abu Dawud. It is on the Hadith that Islamic law (Sharia) is based.

The Sira is the biography of Muhammad's life. Again, there are reliability issues which would appear somewhat bewildering to Christians, given that the gospels were well in place within the first few decades following the crucifixion - which *preceded* Muslim history by over 600 years. Still, the most reliable biography of Muhammad was compiled by Ibn Ishaq, who wrote about 150 years after his death. His original work survives only in what was "edited" by a later translator (Ibn Hisham, who admitted that he filtered out several accounts that were of a distasteful nature). A failure to recognize that the Bible is only comparable to the Quran, Hadith and Sira together often leads to faulty accusation and misplaced analysis.

Information Source:

http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/vatican/esp_vatican33.htm

Comment/Observation: There is evidence that Islam was started by the Roman Catholic Church. The following is from Dr. Alberto Rivera in 1988. Eric Jon Phelps, Michael Collins Piper and Walter Veith have done research and they have written about this subject as well.

What I'm going to tell you is what I, **Dr. Alberto Rivera**, learned in secret briefings in the Vatican when I was a Jesuit priest, **under oath and induction**.

Comment/Observation: In this notebook, you can read about the Jesuit Oath in one of the following sections called "The Jesuit Oath of Induction".

A Jesuit cardinal named Augustine Bea showed us how desperately the Roman Catholics wanted Jerusalem at the end of the third century. Because of its religious history and its strategic location, the Holy City was considered a priceless treasure.

A scheme had to be developed to make Jerusalem a Roman Catholic city. The great untapped source of manpower that could do this job was the children of Ishmael. The poor Arabs fell victim to one of the most clever plans ever devised by the Powers of Darkness.

Early Christians went everywhere with the gospel, setting up small churches, but they met heavy opposition. Both the Jews and the Roman government persecuted the believers in Christ to stop their spread. But the Jews rebelled against Rome, and in 70 A.D. Roman armies under General Titus smashed Jerusalem and destroyed the great Jewish temple which was the heart of Jewish worship - in fulfillment of *Christ's prophecy* in Matthew 24:2. On this holy place, where the temple once stood, the *Dome of the Rock Mosque* stands today as Islam's second most holy place.

Sweeping changes were in the wind. Corruption, apathy, greed, cruelty, perversion, and rebellion were eating at the Roman Empire, and it was ready to collapse. The persecution against Christians was useless, as they continued to

lay down their lives for the gospel of *Christ*. The only way Satan could stop this thrust was to create a counterfeit "Christian" religion to destroy the work of God. The solution was in Rome. Their religion had come from ancient Babylon, and all it needed was a face-lift. This didn't happen overnight, but began in the writings of the "early church fathers".

It was through their writings that a new religion would take shape. The statue of Jupiter in Rome was eventually called St. Peter, and the statue of Venus was changed to the Virgin Mary. The site chosen for its headquarters was on one of the seven hills called "Vaticanus" - the place of diving serpent where the satanic Temple of Janus stood. The great counterfeit religion was *Roman Catholicism*, called "Mystery, Babylon the Great, the Mother of Harlots and Abominations of the Earth" (Revelation 17:5). She was raised up to block the gospel, slaughter the believers in *Christ*, establish religions, create wars, and make the nations drunk with the wine of her fornication as we will see.

Three major religions have one thing in common - each has a holy place where they look for guidance. Roman Catholicism looks to the Vatican as the *Holy City*. The Jews look to the wailing wall in Jerusalem, and the Muslims look to Mecca as their Holy City. Each group believes that *they receive certain types of blessings for the rest of their lives for visiting their holy place*.

In the beginning, Arab visitors would bring gifts to the "House of God" and the keepers of the Kaaba were gracious to all who came. Some brought their idols and, not wanting to offend these people, their idols were placed inside the sanctuary. It is said that the Jews looked upon the Kaaba as an outlying tabernacle of the *Lord*, with veneration until it became polluted with idols.

In a tribal contention over a well (Zamzam) the treasure of the Kaaba and the offerings that pilgrims had given were dumped down the well and it was filled with sand; it disappeared. Many years later Adb al-Muttalib was given visions telling him where to find the well and its treasure. He became the hero of Mecca, and he was destined to become *the grandfather of Muhammad*.

Before this time, Augustine became the bishop of North Africa and was effective in winning Arabs to Roman Catholicism, including whole tribes. It was among these Arab converts to Catholicism that the concept of looking for an Arab prophet developed. Muhammad's father died from illness, and sons born to great Arab families in places like Mecca were sent into the desert to be suckled and weaned and spend some of their childhood with Bedouin tribes for training and to avoid the plagues in the cities. After his mother and grandfather also died, Muhammad was with his uncle when a Roman Catholic monk learned of his identity and said:

"Take your brother's son back to his country and guard him against the Jews, for by *God*, if they see him and know of him that which I know, they will construe evil against him. Great things are in store for this brother's son of yours." The Roman Catholic monk had fanned the flames for future Jewish persecutions at the hands of the followers of Muhammad.

The Vatican desperately wanted Jerusalem because of its religious significance, but was blocked by the Jews. **Another problem was the "True Christians" in North Africa who preached the gospel.** *Comment/Observation: In this notebook, you can read about the "True Christians" in one of the following sections called "The Vatican and Islam".*

Roman Catholicism was growing in power, but would not tolerate opposition. Somehow the Vatican had to create a weapon to eliminate both the Jews and the true Christian believers who refused to accept Roman Catholicism. Looking to North Africa, they saw the multitudes of Arabs as a source of manpower to do their dirty work.

Some Arabs had become Roman Catholic, and could be used in reporting information to leaders in Rome. Others were used in an underground spy network to carry out Rome's master plan to control the great multitudes of Arabs who rejected Catholicism. When "St. Augustine" appeared on the scene, he knew what was going on. His monasteries served as bases to seek out and destroy Bible manuscripts owned by the true Christians. The Vatican wanted to create a messiah for the Arabs, someone they could raise up as a great leader, a man with charisma whom they could train, and eventually unite all the non-Catholic Arabs behind him, creating a mighty army that would ultimately capture Jerusalem for the pope.

In the *Vatican briefing*, Cardinal Bea told us this story: A wealthy Arabian lady who was a faithful follower of the pope played a tremendous part in this drama. She was a widow named Khadijah. She gave her wealth to the church and retired to a convent, but was given an assignment. She was to find a brilliant young man who could be used by the Vatican to create a new religion and become the *messiah* for the *children of Ishmael*. Khadijah had a cousin named Waraquah, who was also a very faithful Roman Catholic, and the Vatican placed him in a critical role as Muhammad's advisor. He had tremendous influence on Muhammad. Teachers were sent to young Muhammad and he had intensive training. Muhammad studied the works of St. Augustine, which prepared him for his "great calling". The Vatican had Catholic Arabs across North Africa spread *the story of a great one who was about to rise up among the people and be the*

chosen one of their God. While Muhammad was being prepared, he was told that his enemies were the Jews and that the only true Christians were Roman Catholic. He was taught that others calling themselves Christians were actually wicked impostors and should be destroyed. Many Muslims believe this. Muhammad began receiving "divine revelations" and his wife's Catholic cousin Waraquah helped interpret them. From this came *the Koran*. In the fifth year of Muhammad's mission, persecution came against his followers because they refused to worship the idols in the Kaaba. Muhammad instructed some of them to flee to Abyssinia, where Negus, the Roman Catholic king, accepted them because Muhammad's views on the virgin Mary were so close to Roman Catholic doctrine. These Muslims received protection from Catholic kings because of Muhammad's revelations. Muhammad later conquered Mecca and the Kaaba was cleared of idols.

History proves that before Islam came into existence, the Sabeans in Arabia worshiped the moon-god who was married to the sun-god. They gave birth to three goddesses, who were worshipped throughout the Arab world as "*Daughters of Allah*". An idol excavated at Hazor in Palestine in the 1950s shows *Allah* sitting on a throne with the crescent moon on his chest.

Muhammad claimed he had a vision from *Allah* and was told: "You are the messenger of *Allah*."

This began his career as a prophet and he received many messages. By the time Muhammad died, the religion of Islam was exploding. The nomadic Arab tribes were joining forces in the name of *Allah* and his prophet, *Muhammad*. Some of Muhammad's writings were placed in the Koran, others were never published. They are now in the hands of high ranking *holy men* (Ayatollahs) in the Islamic faith.

When Cardinal Bea shared this information with us in the Vatican, he said: "These writings are guarded because they contain information that links the Vatican to the creation of Islam." Both sides have so much information on each other that, if exposed, it could create such a scandal that it would be a disaster for both religions. In their "holy" book, the Koran, *Christ* is regarded as only a prophet. If the pope was his representative on Earth, then he also must be a prophet of *God*. This caused the followers of Muhammad to fear and respect the pope as another "holy man". The pope moved quickly and issued bulls granting the Arab generals permission to invade and conquer the nations of North Africa.

The Vatican helped to finance the building of these massive Islamic armies in exchange for three favors: (1) Eliminate the Jews and Christians (the latter were regarded as true believers, which they called infidels) (2) Protect the Augustinian

monks and Roman Catholics Conquer Jerusalem for "His Holiness" in the Vatican
(3) As time went by, the power of Islam became tremendous.

Jews and true Christians were slaughtered, and Jerusalem fell into their hands. Roman Catholics were never attacked, nor were their shrines, during this time. But when the pope asked for Jerusalem, he was surprised at their denial! The Arab generals had such military success that they could not be intimidated by the pope - nothing could stand in the way of their own plan. Under Waraquah's direction, *Muhammad* wrote that *Abraham* offered *Ishmael* as a sacrifice. The Bible says that Isaac was the sacrifice, but Muhammad removed Isaac's name and inserted Ishmael's name. As a result of this and Muhammad's vision, the faithful Muslims built a mosque, the *Dome of the Rock*, in Ishmael's honor, on the site of the Jewish temple that was destroyed in 70 A.D. This made Jerusalem the second most holy place in the Islamic faith. How could they give such a sacred shrine to the pope without causing a revolt?

The pope realized what they had created was out of control when he heard they were calling "His Holiness" an infidel. The Muslim generals were determined to conquer the world for *Allah*, and now they turned toward Europe. Islamic ambassadors approached the pope and asked for papal bulls to give them permission to invade European countries. The Vatican was outraged; war was inevitable. Temporal power and control of the world was considered the basic right of the pope. He wouldn't think of sharing it with those whom he considered heathens. The pope raised up his armies and called them "crusades" to hold back the children of Ishmael from grabbing Catholic Europe.

The crusades lasted for centuries and Jerusalem slipped out of the pope's hands. Turkey fell, and Spain and Portugal were invaded by Islamic forces. In Portugal, they called a mountain village "Fatima" in honor of Muhammad's daughter, never dreaming it would become world famous. Years later, when the Muslim armies were poised on the islands of Sardinia and Corsica, to invade Italy, there was a serious problem. The Islamic generals realized they were too far extended. It was time for peace talks. One of the negotiators was Francis of Assisi. As a result, the Muslims were allowed to occupy Turkey in a "Christian" world, and the Catholics were allowed to occupy Lebanon in the Arab world. It was also agreed that the Muslims could build mosques in Catholic countries without interference, as long as Roman Catholicism could flourish in Arab countries.

Cardinal Bea told us in Vatican briefings that both the Muslims and Roman Catholics agreed to block and destroy the efforts of their common enemy: *Bible-believing Christian missionaries*. Through these concordats, *Satan* blocked the children of Ishmael from a knowledge of scripture and the truth. The Islamic community looks on the Bible-believing missionary as a devil who brings poison

to the children of *Allah*. This explains years of ministry in those countries with little results. The Vatican also engineers a campaign of hatred between the Muslim Arabs and the Jews. Before this, they had co-existed peacefully. A light control was kept on Muslims - from the Ayatollah, down through the Islamic priests, nuns, and monks.

The next plan was to control Islam. In 1910, Portugal was going Socialistic. Red flags were appearing and the Catholic Church was facing a major problem. Increasing numbers were against the Church.

The Jesuits wanted Russia involved, and the location of this vision at Fatima could play a key part in pulling Islam to the Mother Church. In 1917, the Virgin appeared in Fatima. "The Mother of God" was a smashing success, playing to overflow crowds. As a result, the Socialists of Portugal suffered a major defeat. Roman Catholics worldwide began praying for the conversion of Russia, and the Jesuits *invented the novenas to Fatima*, which they could perform throughout North Africa, spreading good public relations to the Muslim world. The Arabs thought they were honoring the daughter of Muhammad, which is what the Jesuits wanted them to believe.

As a result of the vision of Fatima, Pope Pius XII ordered his Nazi army to crush Russia and the Orthodox religion, and make Russia Roman Catholic. A few years after he lost World War II, Pope Pius XII startled the world with his phony "dancing Sun" vision to keep Fatima in the news. It was great religious show biz and the world swallowed it. Not surprisingly, Pope Pius was the only one to see this vision. As a result, a group of followers has grown into a *Blue Army* worldwide, totaling millions of faithful Roman Catholics ready to die for *the Blessed Virgin*. But we haven't seen anything yet. The Jesuits have their Virgin Mary scheduled to appear four or five times in China, Russia, and major appearance in the U.S.

What has this got to do with Islam? - - - - Note Bishop Sheen's statement: - "Our *Lady's appearances* at Fatima marked the turning point in the history of the world's 350 million Muslims. After the death of his daughter, Muhammad wrote that she 'is the most holy of all women in Paradise, next to Mary.'

He believed that the Virgin Mary chose to be known as *Our Lady of Fatima* as a sign and a pledge that the Muslims who believe in Christ's virgin birth, will come to believe in his divinity."

Bishop Sheen pointed out that the pilgrim virgin statues of *Our Lady of Fatima* were enthusiastically received by Muslims in Africa, India, and elsewhere, and that many Muslims are now coming into the *Roman Catholic Church*. - - - - **DR.**

ALBERTO RIVERA - - - 1988

The Jesuit Oath of Induction

<http://www.remnantofgod.org/jes-oth.htm#oath>

The Jesuit Oath of Induction is also recorded in the Congressional Record of the U.S.A. (House Bill 1523, Contested election case of Eugene C. Bonniwell, against Thos. S. Butler, Feb. 15, 1913, pp. 3215-3216) It can also be found in the book entitled, "Subterranean Rome" by Charles Didier translated from the French and published in New York in 1843.

The article below was taken from the book Subterranean Rome by Charles Didier, translated from the French and published in New York in 1843. **Dr. Alberto Rivera** escaped from the Jesuit Order and he describes his Jesuit oath in exactly the same way as it appears in this book. After reading this, ask yourself the question: Is this REALLY the church of Jesus Christ???

"When a Jesuit of the minor rank is to be elevated to command, he is conducted into the Chapel of the Convent of the Order, where there are only three others present, the principal or Superior standing in front of the altar. On either side stands a monk, one of whom holds a banner of yellow and white, which are the Papal colors, and the other a black banner with a dagger and red cross above a skull and crossbones, with the word INRI, and below them the words IUSTUM, NECAR, REGES, IMPIOUS. The meaning of which is: It is just to exterminate or annihilate impious or heretical Kings, Governments, or Rulers. Upon the floor is a red cross at which the postulant or candidate kneels. The Superior hands him a small black crucifix, which he takes in his left hand and presses to his heart, and the Superior at the same time presents to him a dagger, which he grasps by the blade and holds the point against his heart, the Superior still holding it by the hilt, and thus addresses the postulant:"

Superior speaks:

My son, heretofore you have been taught to act the dissembler: among Roman Catholics to be a Roman Catholic, and to be a spy even among your own brethren; to believe no man, to trust no man. Among the Reformers, to be a reformer; among the Huguenots, to be a Huguenot; among the Calvinists, to be a Calvinist; among other Protestants, generally to be a Protestant, and obtaining their confidence, to seek even to preach from their pulpits, and to denounce with all the vehemence in your nature our Holy Religion and the Pope; and even to descend so low as to become a Jew among Jews, that you might be enabled to gather together all information for the benefit of your Order as a faithful soldier of the Pope.

You have been taught to insidiously plant the seeds of jealousy and hatred between communities, provinces, states that were at peace, and incite them to deeds of blood,

involving them in war with each other, and to create revolutions and civil wars in countries that were independent and prosperous, cultivating the arts and the sciences and enjoying the blessings of peace. To take sides with the combatants and to act secretly with your brother Jesuit, who might be engaged on the other side, but openly opposed to that with which you might be connected, only that the Church might be the gainer in the end, in the conditions fixed in the treaties for peace and that the end justifies the means.

You have been taught your duty as a spy, to gather all statistics, facts and information in your power from every source; to ingratiate yourself into the confidence of the family circle of Protestants and heretics of every class and character, as well as that of the merchant, the banker, the lawyer, among the schools and universities, in parliaments and legislatures, and the judiciaries and councils of state, and to be all things to all men, for the Pope's sake, whose servants we are unto death.

You have received all your instructions heretofore as a novice, a neophyte, and have served as co-adjurer, confessor and priest, but you have not yet been invested with all that is necessary to command in the Army of Loyola in the service of the Pope. You must serve the proper time as the instrument and executioner as directed by your superiors; for none can command here who has not consecrated his labors with the blood of the heretic; for "without the shedding of blood no man can be saved." Therefore, to fit yourself for your work and make your own salvation sure, you will, in addition to your former oath of obedience to your order and allegiance to the Pope, repeat after me---

The Extreme Oath of the Jesuits:

"I, _ now, in the presence of Almighty God, the Blessed Virgin Mary, the blessed Michael the Archangel, the blessed St. John the Baptist, the holy Apostles St. Peter and St. Paul and all the saints and sacred hosts of heaven, and to you, my ghostly father, the Superior General of the Society of Jesus, founded by St. Ignatius Loyola in the Pontificate of Paul the Third, and continued to the present, do by the womb of the virgin, the matrix of God, and the rod of Jesus Christ, declare and swear, that his holiness the Pope is Christ's Vice-regent and is the true and only head of the Catholic or Universal Church throughout the earth; and that by virtue of the keys of binding and loosing, given to his Holiness by my Savior, Jesus Christ, he hath power to depose heretical kings, princes, states, commonwealths and governments, all being illegal without his sacred confirmation and that they may safely be destroyed. Therefore, to the utmost of my power I shall and will defend this doctrine of his Holiness' right and custom against all usurpers of the heretical or Protestant authority whatever, especially the Lutheran of Germany, Holland, Denmark, Sweden, Norway, and the now pretended authority and churches of England and Scotland, and branches of the same now established in Ireland and on the Continent of America and elsewhere; and all adherents in regard that they be usurped and heretical, opposing the sacred Mother Church of Rome. I do now

renounce and disown any allegiance as due to any heretical king, prince or state named Protestants or Liberals, or obedience to any of the laws, magistrates or officers.

I do further declare that the doctrine of the churches of England and Scotland, of the Calvinists, Huguenots and others of the name Protestants or Liberals to be damnable and they themselves damned who will not forsake the same.

I do further declare, that I will help, assist, and advise all or any of his Holiness' agents in any place wherever I shall be, in Switzerland, Germany, Holland, Denmark, Sweden, Norway, England, Ireland or America, or in any other Kingdom or territory I shall come to, and do my uttermost to extirpate the heretical Protestants or Liberals' doctrines and to destroy all their pretended powers, regal or otherwise.

I do further promise and declare, that notwithstanding I am dispensed with, to assume my religion heretical, for the propaganda of the Mother Church's interest, to keep secret and private all her agents' counsels from time to time, as they may entrust me and not to divulge, directly or indirectly, by word, writing or circumstance whatever; but to execute all that shall be proposed, given in charge or discovered unto me, by you, my ghostly father, or any of this sacred covenant.

I do further promise and declare, that I will have no opinion or will of my own, or any mental reservation whatever, even as a corpse or cadaver (*perinde ac cadaver*), but will unhesitatingly obey each and every command that I may receive from my superiors in the Militia of the Pope and of Jesus Christ.

That I may go to any part of the world withersoever I may be sent, to the frozen regions of the North, the burning sands of the desert of Africa, or the jungles of India, to the centers of civilization of Europe, or to the wild haunts of the barbarous savages of America, without murmuring or repining, and will be submissive in all things whatsoever communicated to me.

(Keep in mind! Pope Francis took this oath!!)



I furthermore promise and declare that I will, when opportunity present, make and wage relentless war, secretly or openly, against all heretics, Protestants and Liberals, as I am directed to do, to extirpate and exterminate them from the face of the whole earth; and that I will spare neither age, sex or condition; and that I will hang, waste, boil, flay, strangle and bury alive these infamous heretics, rip up the stomachs and wombs of their women and crush their

infants' heads against the walls, in order to annihilate forever their execrable race. That when the same cannot be done openly, I will secretly use the poisoned cup, the strangulating cord, the steel of the poniard or the leaden bullet, regardless of the honor, rank, dignity, or authority of the person or persons, whatever may be their condition in life, either public or private, as I at any time may be directed so to do by any agent of the Pope or Superior of the Brotherhood of the Holy Faith, of the Society of Jesus.

In confirmation of which, I hereby dedicate my life, my soul and all my corporal powers, and with this dagger which I now receive, I will subscribe my name written in my own blood, in testimony thereof; and should I prove false or weaken in my determination, may my brethren and fellow soldiers of the Militia of the Pope cut off my hands and my feet, and my throat from ear to ear, my belly opened and sulphur burned therein, with all the punishment that can be inflicted upon me on earth and my soul be tortured by demons in an eternal hell forever!

All of which, I, __, do swear by the Blessed Trinity and blessed Sacraments, which I am now to receive, to perform and on my part to keep inviolable; and do call all the heavenly and glorious host of heaven to witness the blessed Sacrament of the Eucharist, and witness the same further with my name written and with the point of this dagger dipped in my own blood and sealed in the face of this holy covenant."

(He receives the wafer from the Superior and writes his name with the point of his dagger dipped in his own blood taken from over his heart.)

Superior speaks:

"You will now rise to your feet and I will instruct you in the Catechism necessary to make yourself known to any member of the Society of Jesus belonging to this rank.

In the first place, you, as a Brother Jesuit, will with another mutually make the ordinary sign of the cross as any ordinary Roman Catholic would; then one cross his wrists, the palms of his hands open, and the other in answer crosses his feet, one above the other; the first points with forefinger of the right hand to the center of the palm of the left, the other with the forefinger of the left hand points to the center of the palm of the right; the first then with his right hand makes a circle around his head, touching it; the other then with the forefinger of his left hand touches the left side of his body just below his heart; the first then with his right hand draws it across the throat of the other, and the latter then with a dagger down the stomach and abdomen of the first. The first then says *Iustum*; and the other answers *Necar*; the first *Reges*. The other answers *Impious*." (The meaning of which has already been explained.) "The first will then present a small piece of paper folded in a peculiar manner, four times, which the other will cut longitudinally

and on opening the name Jesu will be found written upon the head and arms of a cross three times. You will then give and receive with him the following questions and answers:

Question —From whither do you come? Answer — The Holy faith.

Q. —Whom do you serve?

A. —The Holy Father at Rome, the Pope, and the Roman Catholic Church Universal throughout the world.

Q. —Who commands you?

A. —The Successor of St. Ignatius Loyola, the founder of the Society of Jesus or the Soldiers of Jesus Christ.

Q. —Who received you? A. —A venerable man in white hair.

Q. —How? - - - A. —With a naked dagger, I kneeling upon the cross beneath the banners of the Pope and of our sacred order.

Q. —Did you take an oath?

A. —I did, to destroy heretics and their governments and rulers, and to spare neither age, sex nor condition. To be as a corpse without any opinion or will of my own, but to implicitly obey my Superiors in all things without hesitation or murmuring.

Q. —Will you do that? A. —I will.

Q. —How do you travel? A. —In the bark of Peter the fisherman.

Q. —Whither do you travel? A. —To the four quarters of the globe.

Q. —For what purpose?

A. —To obey the orders of my general and Superiors and execute the will of the Pope and faithfully fulfill the conditions of my oaths.

Q. —Go ye, then, into all the world and take possession of all lands in the name of the Pope. He who will not accept him as the Vicar of Jesus and his Vice-regent on earth, let him be accursed and exterminated."

The Vatican and Islam

Fire devours before them, and behind them a flame burns. The land is like the garden of Eden before them, but behind them a desolate wilderness, and nothing escapes them (Joel 2:3).

This Scripture is describing the Muslim invasion and destruction of North Africa.

<http://www.reformation.org/vatican-and-islam.html>

By 300 AD, North Africa was the most important province of the Roman Empire and was teeming with cities:

By the third century, there were five or six hundred cities. Two hundred of them were in the rich farmlands of northern Tunisia. In places there were no more than six or eight miles apart, and in the valley of the River Bagradas (Medjerda) there was almost a kind of ribbon development along the main road from Carthage to Theveste (Tebessa). (Raven, *Rome in Africa*, p. 101).

Carthage—the second largest city in the western Roman Empire—was the center of the Roman province of Africa and a major breadbasket of the Empire.



Christian North Africa circa 300 AD.

Christian North Africa had millions of Christians, and Carthage was the most important city, with a population of over 500,000.



Carthage was the main city in Roman North Africa.

By 300 A.D., Christianity was the dominant religion in North Africa as paganism was just about to be completely overthrown:

By this time Christianity had taken a firm hold in North Africa. The Church had survived persecution under Severus, Decius, and Valerian, and was ceasing to be the religion of

a poor minority. In contrast to the emptiness of pagan literature of this period there were the vigorous works of Cyprian, Arnobius, and Lactantius. The Church was making its impact felt on all classes and in the farthest corners of the Roman provinces in Africa. (Frend, *The Donatist Church*, p. 3)

Naturally, the Roman Emperors were insanely jealous that more and more people were not worshipping them as divinities.

In 303, the last great Empire wide pagan persecution began and North African Christians were ordered to hand over the Scriptures to be burned.

This last pagan persecution was in the planning stage for at least 40 years prior to 300 A.D., as a very friendly ecumenical mood prevailed among the pagans:

In the Roman cities the Emperor's orders appear to have been carried out firmly but not without tact. For the previous forty years Christians and pagans had lived side by side in relative peace. The Church had become almost an established institution. At Cirta (modern Constantine), the capital of the province of Numidia Cirtensis, the magistrate told Bishop Paulus to produce the lectors who were responsible for looking after the Scriptures. The reply was 'Everybody knows them'. At Aphungi (modern Henchir Souar, in Tunisia), the chief magistrate, the Duumvir Alfius Caecilianus, and the bishop Felix appear to have been on friendly terms, and indeed the official did not know of the existence of the Emperor's edict until he was told it by the Christians themselves. (Frend, *The Donatist Church*, p. 4).

The main target of the pagans was the New New Covenant . . . and the histories of Christ....All of the great books portraying the Mount of Olives as the site of the Resurrection were systematically destroyed.



Emperor Diocletian (245-311).Reigned from 284 to 305.

Roman Emperors Diocletian and Galerius ordered all the Christians in North Africa to hand over the Scriptures for burning.

They were also ordered to burn incense to the statues of the Caesars.

Many refused to give up the Scriptures and worship Caesar, so Christian blood flowed like a river.



Emperor Galerius 302-311. Reigned from 305-311.

British born Emperor Constantine began his career of conquest from York, England.

Constantinean "Christianity" began in 313 AD

The last great persecution ended when Constantine became Emperor of the West. Together with Emperor Licinius, he issued an edict of toleration called the Edict of Milan.

This edict of toleration did not apply to Christians who would not join his new imperial "Christianity."

This edict excluded many of the North African survivors of the persecution. Those surviving Christians referred to people who handed over the Scriptures as *traditores* (traitors) and insisted on their rebaptism.



Emperor Constantine
(306-337).

Emperor Constantine presided
over the Council of Nicea in
325.

He condemned the surviving
Christians in North Africa
because they refused to join his
new "Christian" Roman Empire.

From then on, North African
Christians were called
DONATISTS after a popular
Christian leader named
Donatus.



Icon of the Council of
Nicea.

The North African Christians were first condemned at the Council of Arles for rebaptizing those who handed over the Scriptures during the persecution.

Later they were also condemned by Emperor Constantine at the Council of Nicea.

Donatus Magnus (circa 273-355) was a GREAT Christian leader who ordered the rebaptism of *traditores* (traitors) who turned over the Holy Scriptures to the pagan magistrates. He was the Saint Martin Luther of North Africa:

Very little is known about this remarkable man, who in Africa came to hold a position not unlike that achieved by his contemporary, Athanasius, in Egypt. In Donatus' case the Catholic *damnatio memoriae* has been particularly effective. His literary works have not survived, we know little of his background, his personal appearance, his friendships, and his way of life. We do know that he was a great orator and leader of men; wherever he went the enthusiasm was such as to be remembered fifty years after his death. In an

age when religious controversy took something of the place occupied by ideological conflict in providing an outlet for popular discontent, Donatus was a dominating figure. (Frend, *The Donatist Church*, pp. 153-154).

Many of the BISHOPS who attended the Council of Nicea were *traditores* and Donatus demanded that they be rebaptized before admittance to the Christian Congregation.

Constantine was FURIOUS that anybody would withstand him, and actually contemplated invading North Africa with his legions.

From then on, the Vatican referred to the Christians of North Africa as DONATISTS. We see this again at the time of the Reformation when the Reformed Christians were called LUTHERANS or Protestants instead of Catholic Christians.

Augustine was appointed bishop to destroy the North African Christians!!

Despite the terrible persecution under the Emperors, Christianity rapidly recovered in North Africa, so Augustine was appointed a bishop in Hippo Regius to fight them with pen and ink.



Augustine of Hippo
Regius
(354 - 430).

Augustine was a bishop in Hippo Regius from 395 to 430. A prolific writer, his main job was to convince the survivors of the Diocletian persecution to join the church of Rome. He derisively referred to the North African Christians as DONATISTS.




Thagaste in present day
Algeria was the birthplace of
Augustine.

Even though the North African Christians claimed to be the true Catholics, Augustine derisively referred to them as DONATISTS. Augustine had an intense HATRED for those uncompromising Christians who refused to join the Constantinean church:

Now let the proud and swelling necks of the heretics raise themselves, if they dare, against the holy humility of this address. Ye mad Donatists, whom we desire earnestly to return to the peace and unity of the holy Church, that ye may receive health therein, what have ye to say in answer to this? You are wont, indeed, to bring up against us the letters of Cyprian, his opinion, his Council; why do ye claim the authority of Cyprian for your schism, and reject his example when it makes for the peace of the Church? (Augustine, *Against the Donatists*, Book II, ch. III).

Every trick in the Roman arsenal was used to make the Christians conform but most of them remained steadfast adherents of Apostolic Christianity. Despite the multiplicity of words written against them, the Christians still held steadfast to the Scriptures and the Lord kept adding to his African Congregation.



Augustine's *Confessions*.

Augustine derisively referred to the North African Christians as DONATISTS.

Many of his writings are locked up in the secret archives of the Vatican.

He should be considered the real father of Islam.



Ruins of Hippo Regius.

Augustine was the most powerful and influential teacher of the Latin church. He was the originator of the Filioque and most of the false doctrines that emerged from that church can be traced right back to him. Some of these false doctrines include: original sin, infant baptism, purgatory, clerical celibacy, predestination.

Augustine also laid the groundwork for the use of DISGUISED mercenaries in the age long Vatican war against the saints. Some of these DISGUISED mercenaries include:

Pope Gregory I sought Emperor Mauricius' help against the North African Christians. Despite all the efforts of Augustine, the Christian faith continued to grow and Pope Gregory I was alarmed at its increase.



Pope Gregory I (540-604).
Reigned from 590 to 604.

By the time of Pope Gregory I, the Christians of North Africa continued to multiply.

The Pope was ALARMED, and despite their bitter rivalry, he asked Emperor Mauricius for military help.



Coin of Emperor Mauricius (539-602).
Reigned from 582 to 602).

Here is a quote from The Donatist Church:

By 596 the situation had become even less favourable. A further letter from the pope to Columbus (not Christopher) repeats the story of the spread of Donatism, but in August Gregory wrote to the Emperor Mauricius himself. The Imperial commands against the Donatists were being disregarded 'by carelessness or connivance'. The bribes of the Donatists 'so prevail in the province that the Catholic Faith is publicly put up for sale'. The exarch, far from taking action himself, was complaining of bishops who brought these things to his notice. The emperor's personal assistance was requested, 'to issue strict orders for the punishment of the Donatists and to arrest with saving hand the fall of the perishing'. Whether this appeal was successful or not we do not know. Except for Bishop Paulus' repeated effort to have his case heard in Rome, there is no further literary record of Donatism. We are left with the impression of the movement resurgent and triumphant in southern Numidia, and then there is silence. (Frend, *The Donatist Church*, p. 312). Old Rome saw the Christians of North Africa as a greater threat than the Eastern Empire in Constantinople.

The rise of Islam to destroy the North African Christians!!

By the year 600, the Lord's Congregation was triumphant over all her enemies. North Africa—comprising over 600 cities—was the richest part of the Roman Empire. The city of Rome itself was dependent on North Africa for her daily bread. With the rise of Islam, Europe became permanently separated from the Continent of Africa.



Muhammad (570-632).

Muhammad began his bloody career of conquest around the year 630.

Beginning around 660, his successors conquered Egypt and later invaded North Africa.

Millions died by the sword of Islam and the whole province became a wilderness.




The Arabs showed no mercy to the Christians of North Africa.

After conquering all of North Africa, the Arabs crossed into Spain in 711. Their main target in Spain was the Goths, who baptized by triune immersion, and refused to join the church of Rome.



The Arabs destroyed true Christianity in North Africa.

The Arabs conquered all of North Africa and destroyed true Christianity.



In 711, the Arabs invaded Spain and slaughtered the Christians.

In 711, they invaded Spain in order to destroy the Goths.

The Arabs stayed in Spain until 1492, when Portuguese Christopher Columbus was about to follow a map to the New World.



King Ferdinand and Queen Isabella.

The Moors surrendered to Ferdinand and Isabella in January 1492, after occupying Spain for 781 years!!

Portuguese Columbus sailed in August 1492.

The surrender of the Moors freed up the Spanish army for redeployment to the New World.



Surrender of Moors in January 1492.

This allowed for the redeployment of the Spanish army to the New World just in time to block the English, French and Dutch colonization.

In 674 the Arabs lay siege to Constantinople

Only 50 years after the founding of Islam by Mohammad, a huge Arab army . . . and NAVY . . . attacked Constantinople....Conquering Constantinople required a huge number of ships which you would not normally associate with desert dwellers.



Constantinople was surrounded on 3 sides by water.


Constantine had a fantastic eye for terrain. Anybody attacking Constantinople would need a powerful land army and a well equipped NAVY. The first besiegers were DESERT dwelling ARABS!!



A virtually impregnable city.

A knowledge of combined operations by land and sea was necessary for the conquest of Constantinople. Also a knowledge of siegecraft and the use of battering rams and powerful catapults.

It so happens that the first besiegers of Constantinople were DESERT DWELLING Arabs. The first siege began in 674 and lasted for 5 years. The Arab besiegers were almost completely annihilated by the Eastern Romans.



Muawiyah I (602-680) led the first Arab siege of Constantinople.

Caliph Muawiyah I surrounded Constantinople with a huge Arab army and navy.

Everybody associated the Arabs with the DESERT. Mecca is in the DESERT of Saudi Arabia. Old Rome was quickly turning these sand dwellers into sailors.



Emperor Constantine IV (652-685).

Roman Emperor Constantine IV led the heroic defense of the city.

The Eastern Romans had a secret weapon called Greek Fire. It was somewhat like napalm and actually burned on the water. It was the ancient world's equivalent of the atomic bomb.



Greek Fire scorched the Muslim Papal mercenaries.



Greek Fire was a potent secret weapon of the defenders of Constantinople.

Greek Fire was hotter than the desert sun and scorched the Arab besiegers.

The besiegers were barbecued with this Greek Fire:

The Saracen ships carried heavy siege engines and huge catapults; but the fortifications along the Marmara and the Golden Horn were proof against their assaults. The Byzantines, moreover, possessed a secret weapon. To this day we are uncertain of the composition of 'Greek fire'. Whether it was sprayed over an enemy vessel or poured into long, narrow cartridges and catapulted against its objective, the results were almost invariably catastrophic: the flaming, oil-based liquid floated upon the surface of the sea, frequently igniting the wooden hulls of the ships and causing an additional hazard to those who tried to jump overboard. For long the Muslims refused to admit defeat; only after the fifth year did the battered remnants of the Saracen fleet turn about and head for home. In 679 Muawiya sulkily accepted Constantine's offer of peace, which demanded the evacuation of the newly-conquered Aegean islands and an annual tribute. A year later he was dead. Constantine, on the other hand, was at the height of his popularity. He had inspired his subjects with the morale to withstand five years of siege by a power hitherto considered irresistible, and in doing so he had saved Western civilization. Had the Saracens captured Constantinople in the seventh century rather than the fifteenth, all Europe—and America—might be Muslim today. (Norwich, *A Short History of Byzantium*, p. 101.)

The siege turned out to be a total failure, with thousands of Arab casualties.

The 2nd Arab siege of Constantinople occurred in 717

The proud Arabs were very bitter about their failure to take Constantinople. They tried again in 717 with a new Caliph.

Umar II

**Umayyad
Caliph
of
Damascus**

Caliph Umar ibn
Abd al-Aziz
(682-720).

Caliph Umar II tried to revenge the disastrous defeat of the 1st siege of Constantinople.

Emperor Leo III led the heroic defense of the city.

This siege also turned into a disaster and the Arabs entered into a reluctant *detente* with the Roman Emperors.



Emperor Leo III (685-741).

Roman Emperor Leo III led the heroic defense of the city:

For forty years the setback at Constantinople rankled with the Umayyad caliphs in Damascus. It remained inconceivable within Islamic theology that the whole of humankind would not, in time, either accept Islam or submit to Muslim rule. In 717 a second and even more determined attempt was made to overcome the obstacle that hindered the spread of the Faith into Europe. The Arab attack came at a time of turmoil within the empire. A new emperor, Leo III, had been crowned on March 25, 717; five months later he found an army of 80,000 men dug in the length of the land walls and a fleet of 1,800 ships controlling the straits. The Arabs had advanced their strategy from the previous siege. It was quickly realized by the Muslim general Maslama that the walls of the city were invulnerable to siege machines; this time there was to be a total blockade. The seriousness of his intentions was underlined by the fact that his army brought wheat seed with them. In the autumn of 717 they plowed the ground and planted a food supply outside the walls for harvesting the following spring. Then they settled down to wait. A foray by the Greek fire ships had some success but failed to break the stranglehold. Everything had been carefully planned to crush the infidels. (Crowley, 1453: *The Holy War for Constantinople and the Clash of Islam and the West*. p. 13).

It seems that all of JEHOVAH's creation was at war with the Muslims because on their way home an undersea volcano erupted and a storm destroyed most of the fleet.

Constantinople finally fell to the Muslim Turks in 1453

Thanks to the Muslims, Old Rome was finally able to eliminate her Eastern rival in 1453. After fighting heroically for 1000 years, the Empire finally came to an end.



Constantine XI
(1449- 1453).
Considered the
last Roman
Emperor.

The Latin church fought ferocious battles to overthrow the Eastern Roman Emperors.

Finally in 1453, they used the Ottoman Empire to conquer Constantinople.

After that defeat, the Orthodox church moved to Russia, and Moscow became known as the 3rd Rome.



Sultan Mehmet II
(1449-1481).
Conqueror of
Constantinople.

Only the use of the new weapon of gunpowder cannon made the Muslim conquest of Constantinople successful.

Millions of Muslims are worshipping the Serpent Allah!!

The God of the universe is a Triune being composed of 3 divine persons: Father, Son and Holy Spirit. Never in the Bible does God ever call Himself by the name Allah.

God is called JEHOVAH, Elohim, El Shadai, Adonai, Emmanuel, etc., etc., but never is HE referred to by the name of ALLAH....The Hebrew and Arabic languages come from the same common root.



Mecca in Saudi Arabia.

Thanks to the Vatican, millions of Muslims worship the Serpent Allah.

Islam is the Western leg of the Roman Empire as foretold by Daniel the Prophet.



"Stoning the devil" in Saudi Arabia.

The rise of Islam was also predicted by a real Prophet named the Apostle John:

And the fifth angel sounded, and I saw a star fall from heaven unto the earth: and to him was given the key of the bottomless pit. And he opened the bottomless pit; and there arose a smoke out of the pit, as the smoke of a great furnace; and the sun and the air were darkened by reason of the smoke of the pit. And there came out of the smoke locusts upon the earth: and unto them was given power, as the scorpions of the earth have power (Revelation 9:1-3).

The Messiah of Israel, Joshua of Nazareth, gave this great promise to his chosen people:

Behold, I give unto you power to tread on serpents and on scorpions, and over all the power of the enemy: and nothing shall by any means hurt you (Luke 10:19).

References

Crowley, Roger. 1453: *The Holy War for Constantinople and the Clash of Islam and the West*. Hyperion, New York, 2005.

Frend, W.H.C. *The Donatist Church. A Movement of Protest in Roman North Africa*. Oxford University Press, London, 1952.

Norwich, John Julius. *A Short History of Byzantium*. Alfred A. Knopf, New York, 1997.

Raven, Susan. *Rome in Africa*. Routledge, London & New York, 1993.

Comment/Observation: I do not agree with Catholic Doctrine.

The following information was taken from:

**CATHOLIC EVIDENCE GUILD - NEW YORK CHAPTER
OFFICE OF ADULT FAITH FORMATION
ARCHDIOCESE OF NEW YORK - 1011 FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1327
NEW YORK, NY 10022
Phone or Fax: 1-877-635-8205 - E-Mail:ceg@ureach.com
Web Site: www.catholicevidence.org**

Islam Teaching and Practice (in Segoe Print Font)

VERSUS

Catholic Teaching and Practice (in Calibri Print Font)

One God (Allah), eternally existing, Creator of the universe

One God, infinite and eternal, all-powerful, all-knowing, all-loving, Creator of the universe

God relates to us mainly by His will, which all must obey

God relates to us primarily by His love, which He wants us to imitate by loving Him and loving all other people He made

One person in God; no Trinity

One God (one divine nature) that is completely owned by each of three Persons – Father, Son and Holy Spirit – the Blessed Trinity

Obligatory conduct is:

–faith in, and submission to, Allah

–prayer five specified times a day

–fasting sunrise to sunset during holy lunar month

–almsgiving

–pilgrimage to Mecca, once

Obligatory conduct is:

--faith in God and Jesus Christ

--reverence and love for God

--love for neighbor, caring for needy

--keeping the Ten Commandments

Prayer, fasting and almsgiving are helps to accomplish the above

No claims of redemption by atoning for original sin

By His sacrificial suffering and death Christ made satisfaction for original sin by first parents of the human race and so makes possible eternal life with God

Islam Teaching and Practice (in Segoe Print Font)

VERSUS

Catholic Teaching and Practice (in Calibri Print Font)

Jesus Christ is a prophet but Mohammed (lived 600 years later) is the greatest prophet

Jesus Christ is God, Second Person of the Blessed Trinity, Who added human nature to His eternally existing divine nature. He is the Messiah the Jews were waiting for and the fulfillment of all Messianic prophecies. There are no later prophets.

Honor Mary, virgin mother of Christ

Honor Virgin Mary as earthly mother of divine Person, since she agreed to allow Him to take human nature through her

Sacred Scripture is the Quoran, which Mohammed claimed was dictated by Angel Gabriel

Sacred Scripture is the Bible, 72 books (45 in Old Testament, 27 in New) not dictated but whose human authors were inspired by God

Tradition (hadith) is 9th century collections of Mohammed's words that are help to interpret Quoran

Sacred Tradition is writings of Church Fathers, decrees of Councils, papal encyclicals and official statements from Vatican. These, with Sacred Scripture, constitute divine revelation

No central authoritative teaching office but some interpreters have influence

Central teaching office (magisterium) is bishop of Rome and all bishops united with him

Later interpreters of Mohammed can contradict earlier ones, as if God changed His mind

Christ's teachings are fixed from death of last Apostle. What is implied in this teaching can be developed, not changed.

Claim Christian Scriptures were corrupted but have never shown before-and-after

Have hundreds of fragments from first century that show agreement, in three languages, with what we have today

Islam Teaching and Practice (in Segoe Print Font)

VERSUS

Catholic Teaching and Practice (in Calibri Print Font)

No witnesses or evidence for revelation to Mohammed

Christ chose twelve men, Apostles, to be witnesses of all He said and did in his public life. They suffered persecution and (all but one) death for truth of what they proclaimed

No miracles claimed for Mohammed

Christ worked miracles, usually for healing, on almost three dozen occasions, often healing all the sick and infirm in a town. He often healed instantaneously, at times at a distance, and restored to life three people from the dead. He Himself rose from the dead on the third day after being crucified

No claims that Mohammed drove out devils

Christ drove unclean spirits out of people they possessed, merely by ordering the devils out

Mohammed's followers claim some events as miracles, such as winning a battle against great odds

Christ's Apostles worked healing miracles shortly after His death. Miracles continue to present day at Lourdes; miracle of the sun at Fatima (1917) was seen by 50,000 people

No sacraments

Seven sacraments, including baptism, reconciliation, Holy Eucharist, to provide supernatural help to gaining eternal life

Law (Sharia), based on Quoran and Hadith, specifies severe punishments (lashing, amputations, stoning), and is enforced by civil authority.

Church & state are functionally separate. Natural law is a law of just conduct that God implants in human nature. God also revealed Divine law to give specifics (e.g. Ten Commandments). Church and state enact their own laws, which must not contradict natural law. Severe physical punishments are generally forbidden.

In many countries women are subordinate to men, in public must cover entire body except eyes. Court testimony worth half of man's.
Men and women are essentially equal.

Islam Teaching and Practice (in Segoe Print Font)

VERSUS

Catholic Teaching and Practice (in Calibri Print Font)

Heaven is a state of sensual pleasure (food, drink, sex)

Heaven is a state where one has the companionship of the all-loving God

Men can have four wives and can divorce wife merely by telling her. Girls can be contracted into marriage at any age, and marriage consummated at age 9. Homosexual acts severely punished.

Matrimony is a sacrament and requires free consent by both. One man, one woman. No outside partners, lasts until death and no contraception. Minimum ages: women 14 men 16. Civil divorce does not end Church marriage, but separation or annulment in some cases. No sex outside of marriage.

In many countries, no toleration of non-Moslems, who are second-class citizens, subject to high tax and cannot practice religion

Respect for individual and his freedom of will allows toleration of other religions.

Seeks to convert all people, and extremists claim Quoran authorizes using force, even killing

Seeks to convert all people by truth of the Faith, not by force or trickery.

Apostasy punished by death

Relies on spiritual penalties; forgiveness possible

Comment/Observation: I do not agree with Catholic Doctrine.

The preceding information was taken from:

**CATHOLIC EVIDENCE GUILD - NEW YORK CHAPTER
OFFICE OF ADULT FAITH FORMATION**

**ARCHDIOCESE OF NEW YORK - 1011 FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1327
NEW YORK, NY 10022**

**Phone or Fax: 1-877-635-8205 - E-Mail: ceg@ureach.com - Web Site:
www.catholicevidence.org**

<http://www.remnantofgod.org/chislam.htm>

Similarities Abound!

As we know Rome has always had a problem with all forms of sex. Everything from homosexuality to simple rampant promiscuity. So is it any wonder sex would be brought into the so called Islamic doctrine that if you kill for Allah you are promised in their "holy book" that you will go to Heaven to have sex with perpetual virgins for all of eternity? Ever notice this?

- Roman Catholic priests molest children. Muslims marry girls as young as 9.
- Roman Catholic priests are anti-woman. Muslims are also anti-women, and see no sin in killing their daughters and wives in what they call "honor killings."
- Roman Catholic prelates call the Christian God Allah. We all know that Muslims call their god Allah.
- Roman Catholic prelates preach the Christian God is the Muslim god.
- Roman Catholicism preaches salvation by works. Islam preaches salvation by works.
- Roman Catholicism preaches eternal life in Hell. Islam preaches eternal life in Hell.
- Roman Catholicism commands the wearing of religious items. Islam commands the wearing of religious items.
- Roman Catholic popes teach Mary worship. The Muslim Koran has Mary mentioned 34 times.
- Roman Catholics pray to Mary. Muslims pray to Mary.
- Roman Catholics taught to see Mary as a model. Muslims are taught to see Mary as a model.
- The Vatican admitted on March 12, 2000 that the Roman Catholic church killed Christians and Jews for 1260 years.
- Muslims killed 165,000 Christians and tortured an additional 173,000 in the year 2009 alone

- Many legal documents and photographic evidence confirm the Roman Catholic church helped Hitler kill millions of Christians and Jews before during and after World War II.
- Muslims are well known for killing Christians and Jews.
- We have known for many decades that the Roman Catholic church invented the religion of Islam so as to use the Muslim people to again kill Jews and Christians all around the world once their prophesied mortal wound was healed.
- Yassir Arafat, a leader of Islam bowed to the Pope and was photographed kissing his ring in 2003 proving a deep seated alliance with Rome was all along a reality confirming all we have been preaching was true. (This act also confirmed many Truth Provided Newsletter statements and World Wide Truth Provided Radio broadcasts regarding the Popes and Islam.)
- Christian churches are right now being fed "Islam lite" messages on pulpits today even though history shows their recent activity is more about hatred of Christians and violence. For example, just to mention a few violent acts of Islam towards this Christian nation, as well as others.
- Roman soldiers, Vatican priests, Nazi soldiers, Muslim brotherhood soldiers, Hezbola soldiers, and Palestinian soldiers all display the exact same outstretch arm salute of the ancient Romans.

Ya know, sooner or later I am sure some well-educated Muslims are going to catch on to the fact that their Imans are nothing more than Roman Catholic priests in hiding. Want proof? Read their Jesuit oath when it comes to their vow to the Pope to actually infiltrate other religions acting as if they are like minded worshipers so as to not only covert them to loyal followers of the Pope, but to make them political pawns that can help the Pope spread Catholicism worldwide. Hence the reason from Roman dogma being found by many to be promoted in Islam, Hinduism and all the other spin offs for the church of Babylon. Now do you see why prophecy calls her a whore?

<http://atlantablackstar.com/2014/06/02/10-facts-about-the-arab-enslavement-of-black-people-not-taught-in-schools/>

Facts about the Arab Enslavement of Black People Not Taught In Schools

June 2, 2014 | Posted by A Moore

The Number of People Enslaved

The number of people enslaved by Muslims has been a hotly debated topic, especially when the millions of Africans forced from their homelands are considered.

Some historians estimate that between A.D. 650 and 1900, 10 to 20 million people were enslaved by Arab slave traders. Others believe over 20 million enslaved Africans alone had been delivered through the trans-Saharan route alone to the Islamic world.

Dr. John Alembellah Azumah in his 2001 book, *The Legacy of Arab-Islam in Africa* estimates that over 80 million Black people more died en route.

Arab Enslavers Practiced Genetic Warfare

The Arab slave trade typically dealt in the sale of castrated male slaves. Black boys between the age of 8 and 12 had their scrotums and penises completely amputated to prevent them from reproducing. About six of every 10 boys bled to death during the procedure, according to some sources, but the high price brought by eunuchs on the market made the practice profitable.

Some men were castrated to be eunuchs in domestic service and the practice of neutering male slaves was not limited to only Black males. “The caliph in Baghdad at the beginning of the 10th Century had 7,000 black eunuchs and 4,000 white eunuchs in his palace,” writes author Ronald Segal in his 2002 book, *Islam’s Black Slaves: The Other Black Diaspora*.

Arab Slave Trade Inspired Arab Racism toward Blacks

It is important to note that Arab is not a racial classification; an Arab is almost like an American in that people classified as Arab today could be Caucasian (white

people), Asiatic or even Arabized Africans. In the beginning there was some level of mutual respect between the Blacks and the more lighter skinned Arabs. However, as Islam and the demand for enslaved Blacks grew, so did racism toward Africans.

As casual association with Black skin and slave began to be established, racist attitudes towards Blacks began to manifest in Arabic language and literature. The word for slave – *Abid* – became a colloquialism for African. Other words such as *Haratin* express social inferiority of Africans.

Arab Enslavers Targeted Women for Rape

The eastern Arab slave trade dealt primarily with African women, maintaining a ratio of two women for each man. These women and young girls were used by Arabs and other Asians as concubines and menials.

A Muslim slaveholder was entitled by law to the sexual enjoyment of his slave women. Filling the harems of wealthy Arabs, African women bore them a host of children.

This abuse of African women would continue for nearly 1, 200 years.

Arab Slave Trade Ushered in the European Slave Trade

The Arab slave trade in the 19th century was economically tied to the European trade of Africans. New opportunities of exploitation were provided by the transatlantic slave trade and this sent Arab slavers into overdrive.

The Portuguese (on the Swahili coast) profited directly and were responsible for a boom in the Arab trade. Meanwhile on the West African coast, the Portuguese found Muslim merchants entrenched along the African coast as far as the Bight of Benin. These European enslavers found they could make considerable amounts of gold transporting enslaved Africans from one trading post to another, along the Atlantic coast.

The Arab Slave Trade Sparked One of the Largest Slave Rebellions in History


The Zanj Rebellion took place near the city of Basra, located in present-day southern Iraq, over a period of fifteen years (A.D. 869–883). The insurrection is believed to have involved enslaved Africans (Zanj) who had originally been captured from the African Great Lakes region and areas further south in East Africa.

Basran landowners had brought several thousand East African Zanj people into southern Iraq to drain the salt marshes in the east. The landowners forced the Zanj, who generally spoke no Arabic, into heavy slave labor and provided them with only minimal subsistence. The harsh treatment sparked an uprising that grew to involve over 500,000 enslaved and free men who were imported from across the Muslim empire.

Arab Enslavers Avoided Teaching Islam to Blacks to Justify Enslaving Them

According to some historians, Islam prohibited freeborn Muslims from being enslaved, so it was not in the interest for Arab slavers to convert enslaved Africans to the religion. Since converting enslaved Africans to Muslim would grant them more rights and reduce the potential reservoir of people to enslave, propagators of Islam often revealed a cautious attitude toward proselytizing Africans.

Still, if an African converted to Islam he was not guaranteed freedom nor did it confer freedom to their children. Only children of slaves or non-Muslim prisoners of war could become slaves, never a freeborn Muslim.



The Time Period

The Arab slave trade was the longest yet least discussed of the two major slave trades. It began in seventh century as Arabs and other Asians poured into northern and eastern Africa under the banner of Islam. The Arab trade of Blacks in Southeast Africa predates the European transatlantic slave trade by 700 years. Some scholars say the Arab slave trade continued in one form or another up until the 1960s, however, slavery in Mauritania was criminalized as recently as August 2007.

The Arab Slave Trade Allowed More Upward Mobility Than the European Slave Trade

Upward mobility within the ranks of Arab slaves was not rare. Tariq ibn Ziyad – who conquered Spain and whom Gibraltar was named after – was a slave of the emir of Ifriqiya, Musa bin Nusayr, who gave him his freedom and appointed him a general in his army.

Son of an enslaved Ethiopian mother, Antarah ibn Shaddād, also known as Antar, was an Afro-Arabic man who was originally born into slavery. He eventually became a well-known poet and warrior. Extremely courageous in battle, historians have dubbed him the “father of knighthood ... [and] chivalry” and “the king of heroes.”

This kind of upward mobility did not occur in the European slavery system.

Arab Slave Trade Not Limited to Africa or Skin Color

One of the biggest differences between the Arab slave trade and European slaving was that the Arabs drew slaves from all racial groups. During the eighth and ninth centuries of the Fatimid Caliphate, most of the slaves were Europeans (called Saqaliba), captured along European coasts and during wars.

Aside from those of African origins, people from a wide variety of regions were forced into Arab slavery, including Mediterranean people; Persians; people from the Caucasus mountain regions (such as Georgia, Armenia and Circassia) and parts of Central Asia and Scandinavia; English, Dutch and Irish; and Berbers from North Africa.

Comment/Observation: The preceding as well as the following information is all part of the bringing forth of the New World Order with Lucifer on the throne in Jerusalem.

**WORLD CONQUEST THROUGH WORLD JEWISH GOVERNMENT
THE PROTOCOLS OF THE LEARNED ELDERS OF ZION
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Preface
Introduction
Who are the Elders?
Protocol I The Basic Doctrine
Protocol II Economic Wars
Protocol III Methods of Conquest
Protocol IV Materialism Replaces Religion
Protocol V Despotism and Modern Progress
Protocol VI Take-Over Technique
Protocol VII World-Wide Wars
Protocol VIII Provisional Government
Protocol IX Re-education
Protocol X Preparing for Power
Protocol XI The Totalitarian State
Protocol XII Control of the Press
Protocol XIII Distractions
Protocol XIV Assault on Religion
Protocol XV Ruthless Suppression
Protocol XVI Brainwashing
Protocol XVII Abuse of Authority
Protocol XVIII Arrest of Opponents
Protocol XIX Rulers and People
Protocol XX Financial Programme
Protocol XXI Loans and Credit
Protocol XXII Power of Gold
Protocol XXIII Instilling Obedience
Protocol XXIV Qualities of the Ruler

Jew Watch Library at www.jewwatch.com

PROTOCOL NO. 14 Assault on Religion

When we come into our kingdom **it will be undesirable for us that there should exist any other religion than ours** of the One God with whom our destiny is bound up by our position as the Chosen People and through whom our same destiny is united with the destinies of the world. **We must therefore sweep away all other forms of belief.** If this gives birth to the atheists whom we see to-day, it will not, being only a transitional stage, interfere with our views, but will serve as a warning for those generations which will hearken to our preaching of the religion of Moses, that, by its stable and thoroughly elaborated system, has brought all the peoples of the world into subjection to us. Therein we shall emphasize its mystical right, on which, as we shall say, all its educative power is based. . . . Then at every possible opportunity we shall publish articles in which we shall make comparisons between our beneficent rule and those of past ages. The blessings of tranquility, though it be a tranquility forcibly brought about by centuries of agitation, will throw into higher relief the benefits to which we shall point. The errors of the goyim governments will be depicted by us in the most vivid hues. We shall implant such an abhorrence of them that the peoples will prefer tranquility in a state of serfdom to those rights of vaunted freedom which have tortured humanity and exhausted the very sources of human existence, sources which have been exploited by a mob of rascally adventurers who know not what they do. . . . Useless changes of forms of government to which toe instigated the GOYIM token toe were undermining their state structures, will have so wearied the peoples by that time that they will prefer to suffer anything under us rather than run the risk of enduring again all the agitations and miseries they have gone through. At the same time we shall not omit to

emphasize the historical mistakes of the goy governments which have tormented humanity for so many centuries by their lack of understanding of everything that constitutes the true good of humanity in their chase after fantastic schemes of social blessings, and have never noticed that these schemes kept on producing a worse and never a better state of the universal relations which are the basis of human life. ... The whole force of our principles and methods will lie in the fact that we shall present them and expound them as a splendid contrast to the dead and decomposed old order of things in social life. Our philosophers will discuss all the shortcomings of the various beliefs of the goyim, BUT NO ONE WILL EVER BRING UNDER DISCUSSION OUR FAITH FROM ITS TRUE POINT OF VIEW SINCE THIS WILL BE FULLY LEARNED BY NONE SAVE OURS, WHO WILL NEVER DARE TO BETRAY ITS SECRETS. In countries known as progressive and enlightened we have created a senseless, filthy, abominable literature. For some time after our entrance to power we shall continue to encourage its existence in order to provide a telling relief by contrast to the speeches, party programme, which will be distributed from exalted quarters of ours.

. . . Our wise men, trained to become leaders of the goyim, will compose speeches, projects, memoirs, articles, which will be used by us to influence the minds of the goyim, directing them towards such understanding and forms of knowledge as have been determined by us.

*Comment/Observation: I did a Google search with
- - - 1489 Rabbi - - - and came up with a lot of
information including that which is shown on these
two pages.*

<http://theshamecampaign.com/2015/05/10/precursor-to-the-protocols-of-zion/>

Precursor to the Protocols of Zion

May 10, 2015 The Shame Campaign

On January 13, 1489 Rabbi Chemor of Arles, France wrote the Grand Sanhedrin because the people of Arles threatened the synagogues. The advice of the Grand Satraps and Rabbis were:

- 1) “As for what you (Jews) say that the King of France obliges you to become Christians: do it (outwardly become Christians), since you cannot do otherwise, but let the law (Talmud) be kept in your hearts.
- 2) As for what you (Jews) say about the command to despoil you of your goods: make your sons merchants, that little by little they (your sons) may despoil the Christians of theirs.
- 3) As for what you (Jews) say about their making attempts on your lives: make your sons doctors and apothecaries, then they (your sons) may take away Christians’ lives.

4) As for what you (Jews) say of their destroying your synagogues: make your sons cannons and clerics in order that they (your sons) may destroy their (Christian's) churches.

5) As for the many other vexations you (Jews) complain of: arrange that your sons become advocates and lawyers, see that they always mix themselves up with the affairs of State (civil government), in order that by putting Christians under your yoke, you may dominate the world and be avenged on them.

6) Do not swerve from this order that we give you because you will find by experience that, humiliated as you are, you will reach the actuality of power (over Christians)

Signed V.S.S.V.F.F. Prince of the Jews, 21st Caslu (November), 1489.

This letter was reprinted in *Revue des études Juives* Paris France 1889 [The newspaper, *Revue des études Juives*, was financed by James de Rothschild (Jakob Rothschild) a Jew, who managed the Paris branch of his father's European banking empire.]

Many have said the Protocols are a forgery, fraud & an anti-Semitic document to badmouth the Jews. The foregoing document proves the thoughts of the Sanhedrin to be perfect alignment with the Protocols, FOUR centuries before they were written.

Comment/Observation: I did a Google search with - - - 1489 Rabbi - - - and came up with a lot of additional information on this website called: www.jewwatch.com/jew-references-protocols-answering-critics.html

Comment/Observation: I did a Google search with - - - 1489 Rabbi - - - and came up with a lot of information including that which is shown on this page about John Calvin, 1509 - 1564, being a crypto Jew.”

ezra98.yolasite.com/resources/Calvin.pdf


<http://id3417.securedata.net/solarsabbath/calvin.htm>

John Calvin 1509 - 1564
(Founder of the Presbyterian/Calvinist sects)

More important data will be added to this page soon, including a commentary on Calvinist Capitalism versus a true American Free Enterprise economy. Discussions will include facts surrounding the question of the Jewish connection of John Calvin's ancestry. **Calvin was a proponent of the practice of usury.**

For now the information shown will only be relevant to the name of John Calvin, his changing of that name, and how his surname was pronounced in "1500 -1600's French". It was not pronounced "Calvin" as we know it today. A reference to a rare

1611 "French/English Dictionary" page is included within our text.



Points of interest: Dissecting the surname study correctly

- 1) The official name of John "Calvin" according to French cathedral registries is CAUWIN. That is "Cau - uin"
- 2) The second "U" was originally a French "V". This "v" can either be a vowel or consonant. If the "V" precedes a vowel it becomes a consonant. Therefore it is pronounced "Cauvin" in French.
- 3) However, it is well documented that "Cauvin" Anglicized his name taking it into the English language where the second "U" is NOT read as a "V" but retains the "U" sound - Cauuin.

4) In the English name transition, the last three letters are therefore read as "uin" and pronounced as - - "whin" (short "i") due to the "au" diphthong preceding it being "whiffled out" as seen in the 1611 dictionary.

5) The diphthong "AU". This is pronounced in the old French as "O" as in "foe".

6) Therefore, in the Anglicized form the name Cauuin is correctly pronounced C -oh - whin (short "i") exactly as the English "Cohen"

7) The "L" in the name was from Cauuin's adaption of the Latin name he used in his university days, that of "Calvin", by which name he also became known in English as well as Cauuin. See the 1611 French/English dictionary for the consonant "L" in the middle of a word as being a silent "L", thus the archaic "Calvin" is still most properly "Cauuin" in both English and French.

References: John Calvin was not born "Calvin".

From The Encyclopedia Britannica, 9th Edition, 1875, page 714

"CALVIN, John (1509 - 1564) was born at Noyon, in Picardy, July 10 1509. His father, Gerard Calvin or Cauvin, was a notary-apostolic and procurator-fiscal for the lordship of Noyon, besides holding certain ecclesiastical offices in connection with that diocese. - - - The family name of Calvin seems to have been written indifferently Cauvin, Chauve, Calvus, Calvinus. In the contemporary notices of Gerard and his family, in the captular registers of the cathedral of Noyon, the name is always spelt Cauuin. (emphasis added) - - - In his letters written in French he usually signs himself "Jean Calvin".

From The Encyclopedia of Religion, 1987,(MacMillan) Vol.3, page

30 *"CALVIN, JOHN (1509 - 1564) - - - Christened Jean Cauvin, from his university days he used the name Calvin, the latinized form of Cauvin."*

Eustace Mullins in *The Curse of Canaan* (1987), Chapter 4, p. 84, (Library of Congress Catalog Card Number 87-90479) provides the following pertinent information:

Comment/Observation: The book "The Curse of Canann" by Eustace Mullins is available as a free pdf download from the internet. Google search: - - - The Curse of Canann pdf - - - and then download it for free.

"... He was educated at the College du Montagu, where Loyola, founder of the Jesuit [Roman Catholic] sect, had studied. Cauin later moved to Paris, where he continued his studies with the Humanists, from 1531-32. During his stay in Paris he was known as Cauin. He then moved to Geneva where he formulated his philosophy known as Calvinism. At first known in Geneva as Cohen (the usual pronunciation of Cauin), he Anglicized his name to John Calvin."

What was "John Calvin's" father Gerard Cohen doing in the French Catholic Church as an attorney taking care of the diocese finances? That is easily understood by realizing that European Jews were under order of chief Rabbis to infiltrate "gentile" institutions to gain power because of harsh sentiments toward Jews on the part of Christians who had become aware of the money manipulations of the "goldsmiths" in those days.

Below is an historically recorded letter of 1489 in response to Chemor a Jewish Rabbi of Arles in Provence, who wrote to the Grand Sanhedrin, which had its seat in Constantinople, for advice, as the people of Arles were threatening the synagogues. What should the Jews do? This was the reply:

"Dear beloved brethren in Moses: We have received your letter in which you tell us of the anxieties and misfortunes which you are enduring. We are pierced by as great pain to hear it as yourselves. The advice of the Grand Satraps and Rabbis is the following:

As for what you say that the King of France obliges you to become Christians: do it; since you cannot do otherwise...As for what you say about the command to despoil you of your goods make your sons merchants, that little by little they may despoil the Christians of theirs.

As for what you say about their attempts on your lives; make your sons doctors and apothecaries, that they may take away Christian lives.

As for what you say of their destroying your synagogues; make your sons canons and clerics in order that they may destroy their churches.

As for the many other vexations you complain of: arrange that your sons become advocates and lawyers, and see that they always mix themselves up with the affairs of State, in order that by putting Christians under your yoke you may dominate the world and be avenged on them. Do not swerve from this order that we give you, because you will find by experience that, humiliated as you are, you will reach the actuality of power."

Signed V.S.S.A.F.F., Prince of the Jews, 21st Caslue (November), 1489

[The reply is found in the sixteenth century Spanish book, *La Silva Curiosa*, by Julio-Iniguez de Medrano (Paris, Orry, 1608), on pages 156 and 157, with the following explanation: *"This letter following was found in the archives*

of Toledo by the Hermit of Salamanca, (while) searching the ancient records of the kingdoms of Spain; and, as it is expressive and remarkable, I wish to write it here."]

Book cover of a rare 1611 French/English Dictionary (scroll down)
See the dictionary page below for the pronunciation of the name "CAUVIN" in the 1500 -1600's French language.

Note that the "vowels" are a-e-i-o-v ("v" = uuw, as in "lute") Note the diphthong au (au = o, as in "aux - oh")

Thus: "CAUVIN" in French is the equivalent of The English "C - oh - uwh - in"

Calvin = Cohen! - - - - - A Crypto Jew!

Comment/Observation: - - - Calvin = Cohen - - A Crypto Jew! - - - This information adds validity to the last sentence in Protocol Number 14 - - - "Assault on Religion".

"Our wise men, trained to become leaders of the goyim, will compose speeches, projects, memoirs, articles, which will be used by us to influence the minds of the goyim, directing them towards such understanding and forms of knowledge as have been determined by us."

Comment/Observation: The information below is another example of a Crypto Jew whose career was dedicated to the perpetuation of Protocol 14, the “Assault on Religion” protocol.

**The Government OWNS and RUNS your Church and its Doctrines!
501c3 Tax-Exempt Organizations - - - Lorraine Day, M.D. Date: 2006**

http://goodnewsaboutgod.com/studies/spiritual/the_organized_church/501c3.htm

> The First Few Pages of the Article are as Follows <

“Most churches in America have organized as ‘501c3 tax-exempt religious organizations.’ This recent trend has only been going on for about fifty years. **Churches were only added to section 501c3 of the tax code in 1954. We can thank Senator Lyndon B. Johnson for that.** Johnson was no ally of the church. As part of his political agenda, Johnson had it in mind to silence the church and eliminate the significant influence the church had always had on shaping ‘public policy.’

“Although Johnson proffered this as a ‘favor’ to churches, the favor also came with strings attached (more like shackles). One need not look far to see the devastating effects 501c3 acceptance has had to the church, and the consequent restrictions placed upon any 501c3 church. 501c3 churches are prohibited from addressing, in any tangible way, the vital issues of the day.

“For a 501c3 church to openly speak out, or organize in opposition to, anything that the government declares ‘legal,’ even if it is immoral (e.g. abortion, homosexuality, same sex marriages, etc.), that church will jeopardize its tax exempt status. The 501c3 has had a ‘chilling effect’ upon the free speech rights of the church. LBJ was a shrewd and cunning politician who seemed to well-appreciate how easily many of the clergy would sell out.” Peter Kershaw, In Ceasar’s Grip

Before we go any further with this issue, it is important to view the BIG picture. Without the LARGER view, there will only be confusion.

It was not just President Lyndon Johnson who wanted to eliminate the church's impact on society. **Lyndon Baines Johnson was Jewish, something few people knew then or know now. He was a crypto-Jew, meaning a Jew who masquerades as a "Gentile" allowing him to accomplish the Jewish agenda without being detected.**

The Jewish cabal known as the New World Order/ Illuminati/Zionist/Communist/One World Government has as its goal the following: the elimination of ALL religions and ALL governments. **LBJ, as an international Jew and traitor to America and to his office as President, was just furthering the progress toward these sinister goals.**

"Did the church ever need to seek permission from the government to be exempt from taxes? Were churches prior to 1954 taxable? No, churches have never been taxable. To be taxable a church would first need to be under the jurisdiction, and therefore under the taxing authority, of the government. The First Amendment clearly places the church outside the jurisdiction of the civil government: 'Congress shall make NO LAW respecting an establishment of religion, nor prohibiting the free exercise thereof.'

"Religion cannot be free if you have to pay the government, through taxation, to exercise it. Since churches are not taxable in the first place, why do so many of them go to the IRS and seek permission to be tax-exempt? It occurs out of:

- Ignorance ("We didn't know any better")
- Bandwagon logic ("Everyone else is doing it")
- Professional advice (many attorneys and CPAs recommend it)

"Does the law require, or even encourage, a church to organize as a 501c3? To answer that question let us turn to what the IRS itself has to say.

Churches Need Not Apply

In order to be considered for tax-exempt status by the IRS, an organization must fill out and submit IRS Form 1023 and 1024. However, note what the IRS says regarding churches and church ministries, in Publication 557

Some organizations are not required to file Form 1023. These include:

Churches, interchurch organizations of local units of a church, conventions, or associations of churches, or integrated auxiliaries of a church, such as a men's or women's organization, religious school, mission society, or youth group. *These organizations are exempt automatically* if they meet the requirements of section 501(c) (3).

Churches are “Automatically Tax-Exempt”

According to IRS Code 508(c1)(A):

Special rules with respect to section 501c3 organizations.

(a) New organizations must notify secretary that they are applying for recognition of section 105c3 status.

(c) Exceptions

(1) Mandatory exceptions. Subsections (a) and (b) shall not apply to –

(A) Churches, their integrated auxiliaries, and conventions or associations of churches.

This is referred to as the “mandatory exception” rule. Thus, we see from the IRS' own publications, and the tax code, that it is completely unnecessary for any church to apply for tax-exempt status. In the IRS' own words, a church “is automatically tax-exempt.”

Churches Are Automatically Tax-Deductible: In addition, what about tax-deductibility? Does not a church still need to become a 501c3 so that contributions to it can be taken as a tax deduction? The answer is No! According to IRS Publication 526:

Organizations That Qualify To Receive Deductible Contributions:

You can deduct your contributions only if you make them to a qualified organization. To become a qualified organization, most organizations ***other than churches*** and governments, as described below, must apply to the IRS.

In the IRS' own words, a church "is automatically tax-deductible."

Churches Have a Mandatory Exception To Filing Tax Returns

Not only is it completely unnecessary for any church to seek 501c3 status, to do so becomes a grant of jurisdiction to the IRS by any church that obtains that State favor. In the words of Steve Nestor, IRS Senior Revenue Officer (ret):

"I am not the only IRS employee who's wondered why churches go to the government and seek permission to be exempted from a tax they didn't owe to begin with, and to seek a tax deductible status that they've always had anyway. Many of us have marveled at how church leaders want to be regulated and controlled by an agency of government that most Americans have prayed would just get out of their lives. Churches are in an amazingly unique position, but they don't seem to know or appreciate the implications of what it would mean to be free of government control." From the Forward of *In Caesar's Grip*, by Peter Kershaw

501c3 Problems

In *Bob Jones University v. United States* (461 U.S. 574), the U.S. Supreme Court noted the following about the government's intended purpose for the 501c3:

The Court asserts that an exempt organization (one that has become a 501c3) must "demonstrably serve and be in harmony with the public interest," must have a purpose that comports with "the common community conscience," **and must not act in a manner "affirmatively at odds with the declared position of the whole Government."**

Taken together, these passages suggest that the primary function of a

tax-exempt organization is to act on behalf of the Government in carrying out governmentally approved policies.

When a church accepts the 501c3 status, that church:

Waives its freedom of speech

Waives its freedom of religion

Waives its right to influence legislators and the legislation they craft.

Waives its constitutionally guaranteed rights.

Is no longer free to speak to the vital issues of the day.

Becomes controlled by a spirit of fear that if it doesn't toe the line with the IRS it will lose its tax-exempt status.

Becomes a State-Church.

One need not look far to see that the church's acceptance of the 501c3, and its significant restrictions, has had devastating consequences to not only the church, but to the entire nation.

The church in America today is, by and large, not speaking to the vital issues of the day. The church has been effectively silenced. The inevitable result is a moral downward spiral in the culture as the church stands mute.

This did not happen by accident, but by design. The front man was Senator Lyndon Johnson from Texas, with the New World Order/Zionist Jewish/Illuminati crowd operating from behind the scenes. After this same group assassinated President John F. Kennedy, with Johnson's involvement and complicity, LBJ was rewarded with the Presidency.

One interesting note: Kennedy was assassinated NOT by one single bullet, but by FIVE shots – two of which hit him – one in his throat and the other blasted off most of the side of his head. And the shots were fired from the FRONT, NOT from the back. One shooter was stationed

on the Overpass in front of the car and One shooter was stationed in a hole (covered by a manhole cover which was elevated enough to position a rifle barrel) on the “grassy knoll.” This hole led to an underground tunnel system that originated in the Dallas Police Department.

This underground tunnel system was built by the CCC (Civilian Conservation Corps) during the Depression. It is no coincidence that the Director of that specific CCC (Civilian Conservation Corps) project was none other than Lyndon Baines Johnson!

Comment/Observation: Today, many organizations do not speak out against various “antichrist” subjects for fear of losing their tax exempt status. Today, most churches are interested in your money and keeping their “Luciferian Blessed” tax exempt corporations in operation. They are not interested in the “Born Again” “Gospel Plan”, found in the King James Bible that provides for the salvation of our souls.

Comment/Observation: If you look around, you can find many examples of Protocol 14, the “Assault on Religion” protocol in action. Once again, read the last sentence of Protocol 14!

“Our wise men, trained to become leaders of the goyim, will compose speeches, projects, memoirs, articles, which will be used by us to influence the minds of the goyim, directing them towards such understanding and forms of knowledge as have been determined by us.”

*Comment/Observation: I did a Google search with - - - - -
Kol Nidre - - - - - and came up with a lot of information
including that which is shown on this page. The information is
edited for space requirements. More information can be found on
the webpage: <http://www.biblebelievers.org.au/nl443.htm>*

Kol Nidre: Prayer of the Synagogue of Satan by Pastor Eli James

Introduction

I believe it was Cicero who said, "The first casualty of war is the Truth." The second casualty is innocence, because even the innocent are thrown into the fire unwillingly; and innocence turns into doubt and cynicism. Their pastors tell them, We are all "saved." "God loves everybody".

"Does God love Satan, Cain and Esau?" And what of their followers and kinsmen? Would they not use lies as their principal weapon against the children of God?

Those who call upon the lovely Name of our Lord Jesus Christ must wake up to the fact that Judaism is a religion of lies. That so few people understand this demonstrates how well the rabbis and their followers conceal their conceit for themselves and their hatred for Christianity. But certain undeniable facts emerge which cannot be explained away. The Kol Nidre prayer is one such undeniable fact.

One of the biggest lies the rabbis have passed off to an unsuspecting humanity is that Judaism is the religion of the Old Testament. But Judaism is the antithesis of Yahweh Torah, the faith of ancient Israel. In order to convince us of their authority, the rabbis frequently mention the Torah and make a great show of parading the scrolls over their heads in processions. The Old Testament was written by Moses and the

prophets: some were Levitical priests; none were rabbis, and none were Jews.

Jesus said, "If you continue in My Word, you shall know the truth and the truth shall make you free" (John 8:31-32). Christians need to become aware of the fact that Judaism is not the religion of the Old Testament. Judaism is the Great Pretender, a hybrid religion that appropriated the Old Testament and then claimed authorship. The reality is, Judaism is a religion of lies that impersonates the faith of Israel as the Jewish people impersonate the Israelite race. The Persecution Myth promoted from cradle to grave by the rabbis is a rationalization for their pre-emptive *"do unto others before they do unto you"*.

Let's see what the Bible and Jesus Himself had to say about these Jews: *"After these things Jesus walked in Galilee: for He would not walk in Jewry because the Jews sought to kill Him"* (John 7:1).

"You serpents, you generation of vipers, how can you escape the damnation of hell? Behold, I will send you prophets, and wise men, and scribes: some of them you shall kill and crucify; and some of them shall you scourge in your synagogues, and persecute them from city to city: that upon you may come all the righteous blood shed upon the earth, from the blood of righteous Abel to the blood of Zacharias son of Barachias, whom you slew between the temple and the altar. Truly I tell you, All these things shall come upon this generation"

(Matthew 23:33-36).

"You are of your father, the devil, and the lusts of your father you will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaks a lie, he speaks of his own: for he is a liar, and the

father of it" (John 8:44).

Shorter Oxford English Dictionary on Historical Principles concurs: the second meaning of the noun "Jew" is "applied to a grasping or extortionate usurer, or a trader who drives hard bargains or deals craftily;" while the verb means, "to cheat or overreach."

The typical Judeo-Christian, (an oxymoron), is unaware that Jesus spoke in such grave condemnation of the Jews because unregenerate ministers ignorantly present them as "G-d's chosen people," but the word "Jew," coined in the late eighteenth century, means "Judean" (*Strong's Concordance #2453: Ioudaios, meaning "of a country," "Judean"*), that is, any person who is a resident of Judea regardless of religion or race. The Israelites of the House of Judah were just one of several ethnic groups that inhabited Judea at that time so it is erroneous to suggest that all Judeans were of the Tribe or House of Judah. Jerusalem was a cosmopolitan city at the crossroads of international trade. Jews were Judeans who pretended to be of the race and heritage of Judah.

The rabbis use the word "Jew" masterfully to deceive the world into thinking these people are Judahites and therefore Israelites. King Herod is a perfect example of this subterfuge. He was a non-Judahite Idumean who became a Judean by residency. This rabbinical deception prevents men from differentiating Judahites who were Israelites of the House of Judah from Edomites who became Judeans by citizenship. As we come out of Babylon, we must bring our brothers and sisters, parents and children out with us. The contradictions between the words and the reality must not be compromised. We must speak the truth in love, and they must learn to read the Bible.

Judaism and the Tradition of Hypocrisy

Here is the text of the Kol Nidre prayer:

"All vows, obligations, oaths or anathemas, pledges of all names, which we have vowed, sworn, devoted, or bound ourselves to, from this day of atonement, until the next day of atonement (whose arrival we hope for in happiness) we repent, beforehand, of them all, they shall all be deemed absolved, forgiven, annulled, void and made of no effect; they shall not be binding, nor have any power; the vows shall not be reckoned as vows, the obligations shall not be obligatory, nor the oaths considered as oaths."

(The 1906 Jewish Encyclopedia, Volume VIII, p. 539 further exposes this hypocrisy. (Displayed Below))

The first question any Christian should ask is this: "How can anyone who disavows all vows for the coming year be trusted?" Can such a person make an honest, guileless statement, or are all of his pronouncements filled with guile and wile, just waiting for the opportunity to test the innocent and naïve Christian? The Jewish Talmud is full of commands that Jews should take advantage of Christians whenever they can get away with it. (See the Talmud, Baba Kamma 113a; 37b; Baba Mezia 24a; Sanhedrin 57a; 76a). Fear of retribution is the only thing preventing them from practicing the Kol Nidre moment by moment. The ancient idea of a Jewish Utopia is one in which only Jewish law applies. (Their Noahide Laws were introduced in the United States by presidential decree in 1991). The Kol Nidre is the signature of Judaism and reveals the true nature of the Jewish mind. No other type of mind would conceive or recite such a prayer.

many laws are entirely missing in the "Kol Bo." It is peculiar in that some of the laws are too briefly stated, while others are stated at great length, without division into paragraphs. After the regular code, terminating with the laws of mourning (No. 115), there comes a miscellaneous collection, containing the "takkanot" of R. Gershom and of Jacob Tam, the "Ma'asch Torah" of Judah ha-Nasi I., the legend of Solomon's throne, the legend of Joshua b. Levi, a cabalistic dissertation on circumcision, a dissertation on gematria and notariqon, sixty-one decisions of Eliezer b. Nathan; forty-four decisions of Samson Zadok (TaShBeZ), decisions of Isaac of Corbeil, and responsa of Perez ha-Kohen, decisions of Isaac Orbil, of the geonim Naṭronai, Hai, Amram, Nahshon, laws of the "mikweh" taken from Perez's "Sefer ha-Mizwot," responsa, and finally the law of excommunication of Nahmanides. For this reason it is quoted under the title of "Sefer ha-Likḳuṭim" in "Abḳat Rokel," No. 13.

As to the author of the "Kol Bo," there are different opinions; Joseph Caro, in saying that the words of the "Kol Bo" are identical with those of the "Orḥot Ḥayyim" of Aaron b. Jacob ha-Kohen, seems to have suggested that the "Kol Bo" is an abridgment of the "Orḥot Ḥayyim." This is also the opinion of Azulai, and according to Aaron Schlitzstadt, the epitomizer was a certain Shemariah b. Simḥah, in the fourteenth century (see Benjacob, "Debarim 'Attikim," ii. 9); others think that it was Joseph b. Tobiah of Provence. By some scholars it is attributed to a pupil of Perez ha-Kohen; by others it is identified with the "Sefer ha-Nayyar"; and by Gedaliah ibn Yahya it is attributed to Isaac b. Sheshet (comp. "Sifte Yeshenim"). Benjacob ("Kerem Ḥemed," viii. 167 *et seq.*) concluded that the author of the "Kol Bo" was Aaron b. Jacob ha-Kohen, author of the "Orḥot Ḥayyim," and that the "Kol Bo" was an earlier form of the "Orḥot." Its lack of system and the inadequacy of its authorities are due, Benjacob considers, to the youth of the author. Zunz ("Ritus," p. 180) refutes Benjacob's arguments, his opinion being that the "Kol Bo" is a compendium of the "Orḥot Ḥayyim." The oldest edition bears neither place nor date, but Zedner ("Cat. Hebr. Books Brit. Mus." p. 191) conjectures that it was published at Naples in 1490 (see INCUNABULA); the second edition is dated "Constantinople, 1519."

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Azulai, *Shem ha-Gedolim*, ii.; Benjacob, *Ozar ha-Sefarim*, p. 289; Conforte, *Kore ha-Dorot*, p. 25b; Gross, in *Monatsschrift*, xviii. 444; Zunz, *Ritus*, pp. 32, 179-180; M. Schlessinger, in the introduction to his edition of Aaron ha-Kohen of Lunel's *Orḥot Ḥayyim*, Berlin, 1902.

A. M. SEL.

KOL MEBASSER. See PERIODICALS.

KOL NIDRE (כל נדר) = "all vows": Prayer recited in the synagogue at the beginning of the evening service on the Day of Atonement; the name is taken from the opening words. The "Kol Nidre" has had a very eventful history, both in itself and in its influence on the legal status of the Jews. Introduced into the liturgy despite the opposition of rabbinic authorities, repeatedly attacked in the course of time by many halakists, and in the nineteenth century expunged from the prayer-book by many communities of western Europe, it has often been

employed by Christians to support their assertion that the oath of a Jew can not be trusted.

Before sunset on the eve of the Day of Atonement, when the congregation has gathered in the synagogue, the Ark is opened and two rabbis, or two leading men in the community, take

Form of from it two Torah-scrolls. Then they

Prayer. take their places, one on each side of the ḥazzan, and the three recite in

concert a formula beginning with the words ביישיבה של מעלה, which runs as follows:

"In the tribunal of heaven and the tribunal of earth, by the permission of God—blessed be He—and by the permission of this holy congregation, we hold it lawful to pray with the transgressors."

Thereupon the cantor chants the Aramaic prayer beginning with the words "Kol Nidre," with its marvelously plaintive and touching melody, and, gradually increasing in volume from pianissimo to fortissimo, repeats three times the following words:

"All vows [כל נדר], obligations, oaths, and anathemas, whether called 'konam,' 'konas,' or by any other name, which we may vow, or swear, or pledge, or whereby we may be bound, from this Day of Atonement until the next (whose happy coming we await), we do repent. May they be deemed absolved, forgiven, annulled, and void, and made of no effect; they shall not bind us nor have power over us. The vows shall not be reckoned vows; the obligations shall not be obligatory; nor the oaths be oaths."

The leader and the congregation then say together:

"And it shall be forgiven all the congregation of the children of Israel, and the stranger that sojourneth among them, seeing all the people were in ignorance" (Num. xv. 25).

This also is repeated three times. The ḥazzan then closes with the benediction, שיהיה לנו: "Blessed art thou, O Lord our God, King of the Universe, who hast preserved us and hast brought us to enjoy this season." In many congregations Num. xiv. 19-20 is recited before this benediction. After it the Torah-scrolls are replaced, and the customary evening service begins.

The tendency to make vows was so strong in ancient Israel that the Pentateuchal code found it necessary to protest against the excessive

Origin. estimate of the religious value of such obligations (Deut. xxiii. 23).

Rash and frequent vows inevitably involved in difficulties many who had made them, and thus evoked an earnest desire for dispensation from such responsibilities. This gave rise to the rite of absolution from a vow ("hattarat nedarim") which might be performed only by a scholar ("talmid ḥakam"), or an expert ("mumḥeh") on the one hand, or by a board of three laymen on the other. On account of the passionate nature of the Jews and of Orientals in general, however, and in view of their addiction to making vows, it might easily happen that these obligations would afterward be wholly forgotten and either not be kept or be violated unintentionally (see L. Löw, "Die Dispensation von Gelöbnissen," in "Gesammelte Schriften," iii. 361 *et seq.*). The religious consciousness, which felt oppressed at the thought of the non-fulfilment of its solemn vows, accordingly devised a general and comprehensive formula of dispensation which was repeated by the ḥazzan in the name of the assembled congregation at the beginning of the fast of Atonement. This declared that the petitioners, who

The Israelites and the Continuing Tradition of their Hypocrisy and Lies

Comment/Observation: The tribe of Israel, thru their faith in their Luciferian chief priests and elders, crucified Jesus. Matthew Chapter 27 verses 20 thru 22:

20 But the chief priests and elders persuaded the multitude that they should ask Barabbas, and destroy Jesus.

21 The governor answered and said unto them, Whether of the twain will ye that I release unto you? They said, Barabbas.

22 Pilate saith unto them, What shall I do then with Jesus which is called Christ? *They* all say unto him, Let him be crucified.

The tribe of Israel today, which is Luciferian, is not any different than it was in the time of Jesus. Today, if you speak on behalf of Jesus, the Luciferian Israelite will attempt, thru the works of their laws, to crucify you and silence you. The Luciferian Israelite has many “attitude problems”. Portions of their Luciferian “attitude problems” were presented by Jacob in chapter 49 in the book of Genesis.

KJV GENESIS CHAPTER 49

AND Jacob called unto his sons, and said, Gather yourselves together, that **I may tell you that which shall befall you in the last days.**

2 Gather yourselves together, and hear, ye sons of Jacob; and hearken unto Israel your father.

3 ¶ Reuben, thou *art* my firstborn, my might, and the beginning of my strength, the excellency of dignity, and the excellency of power:

4 Unstable as water, thou shalt not excel; because thou wentest up to thy father's bed; then defiledst thou *it*: he went up to my couch.

5 ¶ Simeon and Levi *are* brethren; instruments of cruelty *are in* their habitations.

6 O my soul, come not thou into their secret; unto their assembly, mine honour, be not thou united: for in their anger they slew a man, and in their selfwill they digged down a wall.

7 Cursed *be* their anger, for *it was* fierce; and their wrath, for it was cruel: I will divide them in Jacob, and scatter them in Israel.

8 ¶ Judah, thou *art he* whom thy brethren shall praise: thy hand *shall be* in the neck of thine enemies; thy father's children shall bow down before thee.

9 Judah *is* a lion's whelp: from the prey, my son, thou art gone up: he stooped down, he couched as a lion, and as an old lion; who shall rouse him up?

10 The sceptre shall not depart from Judah, nor a lawgiver from between his feet, until Shiloh come; and unto him *shall* the gathering of the people *be*.

11 Binding his foal unto the vine, and his ass's colt unto the choice vine; he washed his garments in wine, and his clothes in the blood of grapes:

12 His eyes *shall be* red with wine, and his teeth white with milk.

13 ¶ Zebulun shall dwell at the haven of the sea; and he *shall be* for an haven of ships; and his border *shall be* unto Zidon.

14 ¶ Issachar *is* a strong ass couching down between two burdens:

15 And he saw that rest *was* good, and the land that *it was* pleasant; and bowed his shoulder to bear, and became a servant unto tribute.

16 ¶ Dan shall judge his people, as one of the tribes of Israel.

17 Dan shall be a serpent by the way, an adder in the path, that biteth the horse heels, so that his rider shall fall backward.

18 I have waited for thy salvation, O LORD.

19 ¶ Gad, a troop shall overcome him: but he shall overcome at the last.

20 ¶ Out of Asher his bread *shall be* fat, and he shall yield royal dainties.

21 ¶ Naphtali *is* a hind let loose: he giveth goodly words.

22 ¶ Joseph *is* a fruitful bough, *even* a fruitful bough by a well; *whose* branches run over the wall:

23 The archers have sorely grieved him, and shot *at him*, and hated him:

24 But his bow abode in strength, and the arms of his hands were made strong by the hands of the mighty *God* of Jacob; (from thence *is* the shepherd, the stone of Israel:)

25 *Even* by the God of thy father, who shall help thee; and by the Almighty, who shall bless thee with blessings of heaven above, blessings of the deep that lieth under, blessings of the breasts, and of the womb:

26 The blessings of thy father have prevailed above the blessings of my progenitors unto the utmost bound of the everlasting hills: they shall be on the head of Joseph, and on the crown of the head of him that was separate from his brethren.

27 ¶ Benjamin shall ravine *as* a wolf: in the morning he shall devour the prey, and at night he shall divide the spoil.

28 ¶ All these *are* the twelve tribes of Israel: and this *is it* that their father spake unto them, and blessed them; every one according to his blessing he blessed them.

29 And he charged them, and said unto them, I am to be gathered unto my people: bury me with my fathers in the cave that *is* in the field of Ephron the Hittite,

30 In the cave that *is* in the field of Machpelah, which *is* before Mamre, in the land of Canaan, which Abraham bought with the

field of Ephron the Hittite for a possession of a buryingplace.

31 There they buried Abraham and Sarah his wife; there they buried Isaac and Rebekah his wife; and there I buried Leah.


32 The purchase of the field and of the cave that *is* therein *was* from the children of Heth.

33 And when Jacob had made an end of commanding his sons, he gathered up his feet into the bed, and yielded up the ghost, and was gathered unto his people.

Having read Genesis Chapter 49, you will now see the “Heraldic Emblems of the Twelve Tribes of Israel”. These Twelve Tribes of Israel with their Symbolic Heraldic Emblems are part of the Luciferian body of the antichrist that brought forth the 1948 Luciferian nation of Israel that exists in the middle east at this present time. - - - And they, the Twelve Tribes of Israel, will attempt to crucify and silence you if you speak the truth that is revealed in the four gospels called Matthew, Mark, Luke and John. --- And the Book of Revelation too.

Heraldic Emblems of the Twelve Tribes of Israel

<http://thetencommandmentsministry.us/ministry/emblems/>



Heraldic Emblems in Biblical Israel

Blessings of Jacob-Israel to his Twelve Sons – Genesis 49

Before Jacob died, he called together his twelve sons and foretold of blessings over each of them. He used **objects, animals, or personal characteristics** as symbols. These symbols became the standards or emblems for the tribes of the nation and later in history, the nations of Israel.

On the following pages we will study the emblems of each tribe.

Layout of the Camp of Israel – Numbers 2

“Now the LORD spoke to Moses and to Aaron, saying, The sons of Israel shall camp, each by his own **standard**, with the **banners of their fathers' households**; they shall camp around the tent of meeting at a distance.” Numbers 2:1,2

Israel's camp was divided into four brigades, with three tribes in each brigade.

Each brigade carried the banner of the main tribe as the standard of the brigade:

North: Asher, Dan, Naphtali = **Brigade of Dan** = Eagle (Num. 2:25-31)

South: Simeon, Reuben, Gad = **Brigade of Reuben** = Man (Num. 2:10-16)

East: Issachar, Judah, Zebulun = **Brigade of Judah** = Lion (Num. 2:3-9)

West: Benjamin, Ephraim, Manssseh = **Brigade of Ephraim** = Ox (Num. 2:18-24)

The Purpose of Heraldic Emblems

To Identify by Picture or Symbol the Product Contents, Brand or Maker.



Herald (her-'ald) is a word that stems from two Teutonic words, 'heer' - an officer and 'wald' - to sway, to wield. Thus an official announcement. Heraldic is applied to the art thereof. In today's terms, we call this a Logo a word of Greek root to mean a sign which stands for a word or name, the idea behind both words is the same.



To Identify Businesses and Organizations.



To Identify Families, Clans, Tribes, Towns, Cities, and Nations.



Helps to trace individual, family or national origins and heritage;



Helps to understand peculiar characteristics and traits;



Helps preserve knowledge of history.

The Heraldic Emblem of Asher



THE TRIBE OF ASHER

As for Asher, his food shall be rich, And he will
yield royal dainties
(or ... and he shall yield dainties to princes.).
(Genesis 49:20)



Duke of Fife
SCOTLAND



Town of HELGUM
SWEDEN



House of GREENOCK
ENGLAND



Town, STIRING-WENDEL
GERMANY



Town, STAUFEN
GERMANY



House of BUTLER
ENGLAND



A MAC DONALD clan
SCOTLAND



Town, HADISLEBEN
GERMANY



CARNEGIE clan
SCOTLAND



Town, BARNESLEY
ENGLAND

The Heraldic Emblems of Dan

THE TRIBE OF DAN

Dan shall be a serpent in the way, A horned snake in the path,
That bites the horse's heels, So that his rider falls backward
(Genesis 49:16)



Primary



Secondary



Camp Standard,
Dan's emblem of choice



Shield of
AUSTRIA



The Great Seal
of the USA



Shield of the
CZECH Commonwealth



Shield of
POLAND



Shield of
Saarland, D.



Shield of
Varmland, Sweden



Hohenstaufen
family Shield, D.



Shield of
GERMANY

Dan's 'Emblem of Choice', his Camp Standard, is iconic for the USA, Germany, Poland, Russia and many 'shires-states-provinces-oblasts' of Holland, Denmark, and Sweden.

The Heraldic Emblem of Naphtali



THE TRIBE OF NAPHTALI

Naphtali is a doe let loose, He gives beautiful words.
(or... Naphtali is a hind let loose:
he giveth goodly words.)
(Genesis 49:21)



LUBLIN, shire in
POLAND



BOCKHORN, hamlet
NETHERLANDS



TIERGARTEN
Borough in GERMANY



SCHONEBERG, Borough
GERMANY



WONSERADEL,
Borough
NETHERLANDS



ALAND
Islands in the
Baltic Sea



NIZHEGORODSKOY
an Oblast in
RUSSIA



HELLEENDOORN
Borough
NETHERLANDS



STOMPWIJK
Hamlet in the
NETHERLANDS




Three UK
Council coats
of arms, from
left to right...
BAGSHOT
SURBITON
MACCLESFIELD

The Heraldic Emblems of Simeon and Levi

THE TRIBE OF SIMEON AND LEVI

Simeon and Levi are brothers; Their swords are implements of violence.
(or... their swords are weapons of violence.)
(Genesis 49:5)



Primary



Secondary



SWANSEA,
WALES, GB.



BATH,
ENGLAND, GB.



SPANDAU, BERLIN
GERMANY

Other towns
and shires in
GREAT BRITAIN:

London	Winchester
Essex	Exeter
Middlesex	Northampton
Perth	Newcastle

and accross Europe such as:
Holland, Germany, Russia.



CZERNOWITZ,
UKRAIN

The Heraldic Emblems of Reuben

THE TRIBE OF REUBEN


Reuben, you are my firstborn; My might and the beginning of my strength,
 Preeminent in dignity and preeminent in power.
 Uncontrolled as water, you shall not have preeminence... (Genesis 49:3,4)



Primary



Secondary



Royal Arms of DENMARK



Arms of PRUSSIA and Provinces



Arms of LOWER SELISIA, D.



Shield of ODENSE, DK

Primary emblems DENMARK

Hobro Nyborg
 Alestrup Kobenhavn
 Soro Tonder

and more than 30 cities and towns

Primary emblems also found throughout the German and Baltic states, as well as the Netherlands. Secondary emblems found in all of Northern Europe

The Heraldic Emblem of Gad



Primary

THE TRIBE OF GAD
As for Gad, raiders shall raid him,
But he will raid at their heels
(or... Gad, a troop shall overcome him:
but he shall overcome at the last)
(Genesis 49:19)



Secondary



Shield of MOSKOW
RUSSIA



National Shield of
LITHUANIA



JEDBURGH, Town
in SCOTLAND



HOLSTEBRO, Borough
DENMARK



BRUSPERK, Town in
CZECH Commonwealth



BELLOY, District,
in FRANCE



KLIN, Borough,
in RUSSIA



KATZENBACH, Town
in GERMANY



AYDOILLES, FRANCE
Near Germany



On the GRIMMA Bridge
GERMANY



The House of
ADDINGTON, UK



The Earl of
SNOWDON, UK

The Heraldic Emblem of Issachar



THE TRIBE OF ISSACHAR

Issachar is a strong donkey,
Lying down between the sheepfolds
(or... couching down between two burdens)
(Genesis 49:14)



MAULBRONN, Borough
GERMANY



USILNE, Borough
CZECH Commonwealth



HALDENWANG,
Town, GERMANY



TUSSENHAUSEN, Borough
GERMANY



OBEROHRN, Town
GERMANY



House of JESKI
SILESIA



House of HANIEL
GERMANY



House of RETTENBACH-
GUNZBERG, Eastern
GERMANY



House of MASKIEWICZ
POLAND



House of MACHNICKI
POLAND

Whole swathes of land have been tussled over for more than 1000 years between Germanic speaking and Slavic speaking Europe (Germanic dialects are English, Dutch, German, and Scandinavian. Slavic are Russian, Polish, Czech and so on). These areas are full of town and shire names in both German or Polish/Czech. It is in these regions one finds more town and family shields with asses than any other part of the world.

The Heraldic Emblems of Judah

THE TRIBE OF JUDAH

Judah is a lion's whelp...He couches, he lies down as a lion...
The scepter shall not depart from Judah... He washes his garments in wine
(Genesis 49:9-11)



Primary



Secondary



Secondary (Zerah-Judah)
Genesis 38:28-30



Royal Standard of the
UNITED KINGDOM



The arms of the
KINGDOM of the NETHERLANDS



National Arms of
ENGLAND



National Arms of
DENMARK



German State Arms of
BADEN-WUTTEMBERG



National Arms of
ESTONIA

The Heraldic Emblem of Zebulun



THE TRIBE OF ZEBULUN

Zebulun will dwell at the seashore;
And he shall be a haven for ships...
(Genesis 49:13)



Town, NIEUWPOORT
BELGIUM



KOSTROMA CO.
RUSSIA



Town, BLANKENHAM
NETHELANDS



MAC PHERSON Clan
SCOTLAND



Town, HADDEBY
GERMANY



Town, KRESLAU
LATVIA



MAC LAREN Clan
SCOTLAND



State Arms of
NEW ZEALAND



VLIELAND
NETHERLANDS



Duke of ARGYLL
SCOTLAND



Town of ANDRESY
FRANCE



MAC DONEL of Glengarry
SCOTLAND

The Heraldic Emblem of Benjamin



THE TRIBE OF BENJAMIN

Benjamin is a ravenous wolf;
In the morning he devours the prey,
And in the evening he divides the spoil.
(Genesis 49:27)



WOLFHAGEN, Borough
GERMANY



VAUFFELIN, Borough
SWITZERLAND



UNTERGRIESBACH,
Town, GERMANY



REMAGEN, Town
GERMANY



BARNSLEY, Borough
ENGLAND

TWO MILITARY EXAMPLES

Right:
U-Boat fleet
The Wolf-Pack
Far Right:
Kalix Branch
of the
Swedish Army



Three Clan /
House of...
Far left:
Moyle, Ireland
Middle:
Cochrane
Left:
Robertson

The Heraldic Emblems of Ephaim (Joseph's son)

THE TRIBE OF EPHRAIM


As the first-born of his ox, majesty is his, and his horns are the horns
of a wild ox. With them he will push the peoples, all at once,
to the ends of the earth. And those are the ten thousands of Ephraim...
(Deuteronomy 33:17)



Primary



Secondary



Secondary



Royal Coat of Arms
UNITED KINGDOM



Shield of
Dregterland
a town in the
NETHERLANDS

Royal Coat
of Arms
SCOTLAND



Shield
of Bludenz
a State of
AUSTRIA



Royal Coat
of Arms
CANADA



Shield and
Bearer.
Hoorn,
town in the
NETHERLANDS

Johann C. F. von Schiller,
German poet, philosopher,
historian, and playwright.
(1788–1805)



The Heraldic Emblems of Manasseh (Joseph's son)

THE TRIBE OF MANASSEH

Joseph is a fruitful bough, A fruitful bough by a spring; Its branches run over a wall. The archers bitterly attacked him, And shot at him and harassed him... (Genesis 49:22,23)



Primary



Secondary



The Great Seal of the USA with Eagle of Dan and Olive branch and arrows of Manasseh



Russian outpost shire CHITINSKAYA with Eagle of Dan and arrow of Manasseh and bull of Ephraim



The Lion of Judah in the shield of the Royal Dutch coat of arms holding provincial arrows, a Manasseh symbol



The Borough shield of WEDDING in Germany with Manasseh symbol



Shield of SHEFFIELD England



Earldom of SAVONIA Finland



Town shield of BIRTLINGEN Germany



Earldom of NARKE Sweden



Earldom of ZALA Hungary

The Israelites and the Continuing Tradition of their Hypocrisy and Lies

http://www.henrymakow.com/lucifers_chosen_people.html

Even Jews Ask: Is Judaism a Satanic Cult? ---- August 7, 2015



'Most Jews do not like to admit it, but our god is Lucifer -- so I wasn't lying -- and we are his chosen people. Lucifer is very much alive.' Harold Rosenthal

Most Jews and Christians don't know this: The reason for anti-Semitism is that Judaism is defined by Cabalism, a satanic cult whose aim is to possess humanity. Using central banking and Freemasonry as their instruments, Cabalist Jews have taken control of the world. Communism, Socialism, Liberalism, Feminism, Zionism are just masks for this agenda. The question is so urgent that I am reprising this 2010 article, *Is Lucifer the God of Judaism?* by Will Newman, a fellow Jew who describes the satanic symbols and rituals of Judaism.

From the days of Spartacus-Weishaupt to those of Karl Marx, and down to Trotsky (Russia), Bela Kun (Hungary), Rosa Luxembourg (Germany), and Emma Goldman (United States), **this world-wide conspiracy for the overthrow of civilization** and for the reconstitution of society on the basis of arrested development, of envious malevolence, and impossible equality, has been steadily growing. Winston Churchill, 1920

"Sabbateanism [Satanism, Cabala] is the matrix of every significant movement to have emerged in the eighteenth and nineteenth century, from Hasidism, to Reform Judaism, to the earliest Masonic circles and revolutionary idealism. **The Sabbatean "believers" felt that they were champions of a new world which was to be established by overthrowing the values of all positive religions ."** - Gershom Scholem (Respected Jewish scholar) THIS IS THE ORIGIN OF THE ILLUMINATI AND COMMUNISM

BY WILL NEWMAN
(for henrymakow.com)

WILL NEWMAN, who holds a B.A. in Religion, attended Jewish synagogue, and has relatives involved in Talmudism, Cabala, B'nai Brith and Masonry.

In 1976, Walter White, Jr., "a concerned patriot," conducted an interview with a young Jew named Harold Rosenthal who was an assistant to Sen. Jacob Javits of New York. In the interview, later published as "The Hidden Tyranny", the arrogant Rosenthal belligerently boasts (on tape) that the Jewish people have fabricated certain "falsehoods which work to conceal their nature and protect their status and power."

Rosenthal debunks the commonly accepted lie that, "the Jews are Israelites, and thus God's chosen people".

Rosenthal said in part: 'Most Jews do not like to admit it, but our god is Lucifer -- so I wasn't lying -- and we are his chosen people. Lucifer is very much alive.'" (*The Hidden Tyranny*)

Lucifer's goal is to "be like the most High" (Isa 14:14) and to usurp the worship of God (Mat 4:9).

Lucifer's purpose is to deceive the whole world (Rev 12:9) by transforming himself into an angel of light (2 Co 11:4). This is best exemplified by the Freemasons. Their motto of "making good men better" produces a far more favorable public image than the more accurate alternative: "how to become demon-possessed in 33-easy-steps." The Jewish and Masonic religions both worship the same god-Lucifer.

BRIGHT LIGHT

In most Jewish synagogues, a bright burning flame represents their god. The Hebrew word for Lucifer is "Hillel" (Strong's Concordance #H1966) meaning "bright light." Curiously, this is the name chosen for the Jewish student organization. A central text in Cabalism is entitled "the Zohar," which translates to the book of brightness or splendour.

The Jewish six-pointed star is the highest symbol in the occult and goes by various names--the Star of Moloch/Saturn/Chiun/Remphan. It is a symbol of the union of male and female and is identical in meaning to the Masonic square and compass, which is also a six-pointed symbol around the letter "G" representing the generative sexual act. In place of the "G" we find יהוה amidst many Jewish stars.

The Hebrew symbol יה worn around the necks of many Jews ostensibly symbolizes "life" (lachaim). The word literally translates to a "living thing" or "beast" (H2416); this symbol is an idol for The Beast.

The Jewish name for god is represented by the tetragrammaton יהוה (YHVH) can be pronounced Yahweh or Jehovah. The significance of God's name is repeatedly emphasized throughout the scriptures.

When dissected in the Hebrew, the true definition of Jehovah (Yah-Hovah) is revealed. "Yah" (#H3050) means "god". "Hovah" (#H1942) translates to "eagerly coveting, falling, desire, ruin, calamity, iniquity, mischief, naughtiness, noisome, perverse, very wickedness."

Jehovah is synonymous with Baal: "Baali (#H1180) From ba'al with pron. Suff.; my master; Baali, a symbolical name for Jehovah -- Baali."

The *Jewish Encyclopedia* ("Adonai and Ba'al") reveals: "The name Ba'al , apparently as an equivalent for Yhwh."

Since the days of Jeremiah, the Jews have forgotten their god's name and replaced it with the title "Baal" or "YHVH": The lying prophets "Which think to cause my people to forget my [God's]name...as their fathers have forgotten my name for Baal." (Jer 23:27).

YHVH and Ba'al both represent the god of sexual perversion and wickedness, Satan. The *Jewish Encyclopedia* continues:

"The chief evil arose from the fact that the Ba'als were more than mere religious fantasies. They were made the symbols of the reproductive powers of nature, and thus their worship ministered to sexual indulgences, which it at the same time legalized and encouraged. Further, there was placed side by side with the Ba'al a corresponding female symbol, the Ashtoreth (Babyl. "Ashtar") and the relation between the two deities was set forth as the example and the motive of unbridled sensuality. The evil became all the worse when in the popular view Yhwh himself was regarded as one of the

Ba'als and the chief of them (Hosea ii. 16). "Baal and Baal Worship," *The Jewish Encyclopedia*, p.380 (See also, Makow-*Cabala-How Sex Became Our Religion*)

However, Jews claim that this name (YHVH) is not to be spoken aloud, despite God's command to declare His name throughout the earth (Exo 9:16). Why ignore this commandment?

UTTERING GOD'S NAME

Judaism claims to be the authority on the Old Testament; however they do not practice what they preach. They dress in black, the color of death, in spite of the scriptural precept to wear white (Ecc 9:8), reject Christ as Messiah (who is prophesied throughout the Old Testament) and refuse to speak "God's name" in violation of the scriptures.

By reverencing their name of God (YHVH) by not speaking it, Jews create an air of mystery and holiness around the name while enhancing the curiosity surrounding its pronunciation and power. When curious Jews and non-Jews alike see the "sacred" tetragrammaton being used in occult practice, they are intrigued by the prospective that these sorcerers have harnessed the mystical powers of the name.

Wicca, Satanism, Tarot, occult Catholicism, Masonry and Cabalism use their knowledge of the "sacred name of god" as bait to recruit cult members. If the name were not hidden, these cults would lack a critical tool in their recruitment processes. They could not offer this forbidden knowledge if the Jews, the self-proclaimed authority on God, spoke this name openly.

The mystery religions and witchcraft covens owe a great debt to Judaism for conditioning the mainstream to accept the importance and secrecy of this name. The vocal praise of the name YHVH is reserved for the "elect" who learn the Cabala (and pay money to do so) and is forbidden by the "profane." Judaic doctrine is fundamental to selling the occult as a righteous practice.

Satan is the author of confusion and goes by many names. Many of the ancient pagan deities were Satan and his angels in other forms (*"The Two Babylons,"* by A. Hislop). The Jews employ a number of false names for god in their rituals, which are also alternate titles for Satan and other powerful demons.

In the same manner, the Black Magician and Satanist invokes demons by name. In

the Satanic Bible, Anton LaVey (Jewish) provides an extensive list of "infernal names" that, when summoned, provide the practitioner with super human abilities namely intelligence, power, skills in manipulation, enhanced creativity, material wealth, and the satisfaction of diverse lusts.

PRAYER

Jewish prayers are conducted in Yiddish, a composite language far from the intended pronunciation of the original Hebrew (A.C. Hitchcock, *"The Synagogue of Satan,"* 1). The prayers in modern synagogues are nothing more than disguised demonic invocations. They are hypnotic spells, similar to the Enochian language of the Church of Satan.

Young Jews spend countless hours preparing for their Bar Mitzvah, which consists of memorizing long chants and proper cadence and tone. Most who complete the Bar Mitzvah will not be able to translate more than a handful of words. These young men and women have no idea what they are saying or to whom they are praying. Jews are invoking demons named adonai, elohim, el shaddai, zeba'ot, diyenu (Diana) et al in their rituals.

Vain repetitions and head-bobbing during Jewish prayers aid the entrance into a trance state. Large numbers of atheistic Jews engage in the pseudo-religious worship of status and knowledge.

As Bill Cooper writes, "The WORSHIP (a lot different from STUDY) of knowledge, science, or technology is Satanism in its purest form, and its god is Lucifer" (*"Behold A Pale Horse,"* 70). Judaism fills its members with the same Satanic powers as the Church of Satan and Freemasonry.

CABALISM

The foundation of Cabalism is identical to Satanism: the reversal of the roles of God and Satan. It is the pursuit of hidden (occult) knowledge which allows one to achieve god-like abilities by calling on the so-called names of god. These are the names of fallen angels/demons/spirit guides who are the gatekeepers to occult knowledge (Gen 6:4, Jubilees, Enoch). While not all Jews actively practice Cabala, they all accept the highest Cabalistic name as their god--YHVH.

The infamous Cabalist and Satanist Aleister Crowley writes, "There are thus 72

'Angels'...these [names] are derived from the "Great Name of God"...The "Name" is Tetragrammaton: I.H.V.H., commonly called Jehovah. He is the Supreme Lord of...the whole Universe ("*The Book of Thoth*," 43). A number of other secret pronunciations of YHVH are used in the occult in addition to Yahweh and Jehovah.

"Of the 54 sacred names in the Jewish kabbalah, the primary one is YHWH" (R. Hathaway "*Sacred Name of God? Or Blasphemy*," remnantradio.org/Archives/articles/sacred_name.htm).

The Jewish creed, the Shema, states: "our Lord is One," so why invoke these other names?

DEMONOLOGY

The secret of the ancient Egyptians, and their modern disciples, the Freemasons, is the art of demonology for gaining power--mainly through the devil, Jehovah.

In the Royal Arch degree, the Freemason "acknowledge[s] that the devil, in the guise of Jahbuhlun, is his sacred Lord"--the name Jahbulun being a "composite of Jahweh, Baal, and Osiris." He chants "Jah-buh-lun, Jah-buh-lun, Jah-buh-lun, Jehovah-ah" (Texe Marrs, *Codex Magica*, Ch. 4). Albert Pike discusses the Cabalistic/Masonic significance of IAHOVAH in great length in *Morals & Dogma* (66, 213, 401, 467, 519).

The name YHVH was injected into the text of the Old Testament by the Pharisees and others who practiced Babylonian Satanism (the precursor to Cabalism and Talmudism). For those who don't believe the Talmud is Satanic it proclaims that Christ is in Hell boiling in excrement and semen (Gittin, 56b,57a).

An agreement was forged between the Jewish Masorettes and the Catholic Church c. 1000 A.D. to change the name of God in the Hebrew Old Testament to the pagan name Yahweh/Jehovah via the tetragrammaton (remnantradio.org/Archives/articles/sacred_name.htm).

This explains Rosenthal's saying, "We are amazed by the Christians' stupidity in receiving our teachings and propagating them as their own."

In Henry Ford's words, "The Christian cannot read his Bible except through Jewish spectacles, and, therefore, reads it wrong." ("*The International Jew*," Vol. IV, 238).

GOD'S REAL NAME

The demonic disdain for humanity exhibited by the Luciferian Jew, Harold Rosenthal, typifies the end result of a lethal amalgamation: Jewish religious ritual combined with the worship of knowledge and self. The Jews as a people, by rejecting God and/or accepting Jehovah, have been given over "to a reprobate mind...Being filled with all unrighteousness..." (Rom 1:28-31).

Of course, Mr. Rosenthal was a member of an elite, openly Satanic minority among the Jewish people. Everyday Jews do not know that the god of their faith is in fact Satan hiding behind a mystical name. It is of no consequence to Satan whether he is worshiped deliberately or through subtle lies and deceptions (Gen 3).

The wise Solomon asks, "what is [God's] name, and what is his son's name, if thou canst tell?" (Pro 30:4). God's name is אהיה AHAYAH (sometimes transliterated Ehyeh) meaning I AM. This is the name given to Moses along with the Law. "And God said unto Moses, I AM THAT I AM: and he said, Thus shalt thou say unto the children of Israel, I AM hath sent me unto you...this is my memorial unto all generations."(Ex 3:14-15). "I AM the Lord thy God...thou shalt have no other gods before me" (Exo 32:4-5).

Makow Comment- My own view, expressed in my introduction to *Illuminati*, is that Judaism is not a religion because its God is not universal, and the Cabala does not renounce worldly desires (lust, greed, power etc.) Thus Illuminati Jews have always been on the forefront of "revolution," which ultimately is about supplanting God. The subtitle of *Illuminati3* - "Satanic Possession" refers to the true meaning of the "New World Order."



http://allnewspipeline.com/Islamic_Cannibalism_Hidden_From_American_Public.php

December 16, 2015: The Horrifying Truth Americans Are Not Being Told - - - Islamic Cannibalism, Kuru And The End Days



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tmsrBtRx1Pk>

By Susan Duclos - All News PipeLine

On December 15, 2015 a four minute clip that originated on The Savage Nation on December 11, 2015, - - -

http://www.liveleak.com/view?i=43d_1450152194 - - - where Michael Savage plays two short sound bites of testimony given before Congress on Wednesday December 9, 2015. The testimony was given by Chairman of the Yazidi Human Rights Organization, Mirza Ismail, at a hearing on assisting victims of ISIS.

"According to many escaped women and girls whom I talked to in Northern Iraq, the abducted Yezidis, mostly women and children, number over 7,000. Some of those women and girls have had to watch 7-, 8-, and 9-year-old children bleed to death before their eyes, after being raped by ISIS militia multiple times a day," said Ismail.

"I met mothers, whose children were torn from them by ISIS. These same mothers came to plead for the return of their children, only to be informed, that they, the mothers, had been fed the flesh of their own children by ISIS. Children murdered, then fed to their own mothers. "

One of the few media outlets that reported this testimony, CNS News, also recounts the testimony of Bishop Francis Kalabat of the Chaldean Catholic Eparchy of St. Thomas the Apostle of Detroit, who stated "Christians and other minorities in Iraq have experienced their own slow and perpetual genocide. I wish to note that the Obama administration, including President Obama himself, have neglected to mention that the ISIS atrocities were committed against Christians." "There are more than 150,000 Iraqi Christians who are now displaced in northern Iraq or are refugees in other countries such as approximately 35,000 in Jordan, 60,000 in Lebanon, 30,000 in Turkey who are being victimized by the Obama administration in not recognizing their suffering," Bishop Kalabat continued."

CANNIBALISM, KURU AND SIGNS OF THE END

Jeremiah 19:9 - "I will make them eat the flesh of their sons and daughters, and they will eat one another's flesh during the stress of the siege imposed on them by the enemies who seek their lives."

The testimony recounting Islamic extremists feeding mothers the flesh of their own children caught my attention because there has been a stream of examples over the last years of Islamic terrorists practicing cannibalism, enough so that a disease once considered almost extinct, one associated with cannibalism, called Kuru, is once again being seen in Syria.

More on Kuru from Decoded Science:

Kuru, also known as the "laughing disease" can be transmitted from person to person who engage in cannibalism due to prions in the brain.

Prions are peptides, or proteins, which conform to their own shape. When they come into contact with a similar protein that is not shaped the same way, the prion makes the other protein conform. The two proteins then form a tight-knit structure called an amyloid.

These amyliods are the same amyliods that occur in the prion disease called Creutzfeld-Jakob disease, or "mad cow disease."

Kuru is the human form of mad cow disease, and results in the breakdown of the brain tissue and the central nervous system. According to Medline Plus signs and symptoms include arm and leg pain, severe coordination problems, difficulty walking and swallowing, tremors, and muscle jerks. Death usually occurs within one year of the first signs or symptoms of kuru.

Ezekiel 5:10 - "Therefore fathers shall eat their sons in your midst, and sons shall eat their fathers. And I will execute judgments on you, and any of you who survive I will scatter to all the winds."

For two decades Steve Quayle has been warning that one of the signs that we are living in the last days, would be open cannibalism, as seen in multiple biblical verses. While researching this article, Steve kindly allowed me to pick his brain as to the prophetic nature and history of cannibalism (and other aspects of this article) to which he has researched extensively for the following books "Little Creatures - The Gates of Hell Are Opening" - "True Legends" - "Genesis 6 Giants" Ancient Giants have also been associated with cannibalism, from Native American myths and legends to the Idaho Flood-Giants. In November 2013, Walid Shoebat provided a more in-depth look on Kuru emerging in Syria because of the increasing cannibalistic practices, where Shoebat also provides a documentary "showing the connection between native sorcery, ritualized cannibalism, and its end result, Kuru."

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vw_tClcS6To

Kuru: The Science and The Sorcery - - - Published on Sep 5, 2012. This Video doesn't belong to me. Credit to the owners. I am posting it up for an anthropology class of mine.

Description of Kuru (From Youtube): The Science and The Sorcery
- - - Australian scientist Michael Alpers dedicated over 50 years to researching Kuru, an obscure and incurable brain disease unique to the Fore people of New Guinea. Kuru was once thought to be a psychosomatic illness, an infection, a genetic disorder, even a sorcerer's curse, but Alpers' findings pointed to cannibalism as the culprit. Yet a recent discovery has proven to be even more disturbing: the malady is linked to mad cow disease and its human equivalent, variant CJD. With a decades-long incubation period, could a larger outbreak be on its way?

It is important to remember that Creutzfeld-Jakob disease destroys the brain and we will explain why that is critical after showing how prevalent cannibalism is, not just throughout ISIS, but with other Islamic terror groups as well.

In April 2013, Syria News showed and provided visual evidence via a video clip released by the Free Syria Army (The same rebel groups the Obama administration called "moderated" and helped arm, train and finance) of a member of the FSA grilling the head of a decapitated officer.

In 2013 the Internet was abuzz after a video of a Syrian rebel commander went viral after he cut out the heart of a fallen enemy soldier, and ate it before a cheering crowd of his men.

Via BBC from July 2013:

"I really don't remember," he says, when I ask if it was the man's heart, as reported at the time, or liver, or a piece of lung, as a doctor who saw the video said. He goes on: "I didn't bite into it. I just held it for show."

The video says otherwise. It is one of the most gruesome to emerge from Syria's civil war. In it, Abu Sakkar stands over an enemy corpse, slicing into the flesh. "It looks like you're carving him a Valentine's heart," says one of his men, raucously. Abu Sakkar picks up a bloody handful of something and declares:

"We will eat your hearts and your livers you soldiers of Bashar the dog."

Then he brings his hand up to his mouth and his lips close around whatever he is holding. At the time the video was released, in May, we rang him and he confirmed to us that he had indeed taken a ritual bite (of a piece of lung, he said).

Mallam Momodu Bukar, a suspected member of the outlawed sect told reporters in Maiduguri that the group was beginning to slaughter non-combatant members to provide food for the fighters. He fled the forest camp when he realized that he might be next in line. "I thank God that I escaped because I would have been slaughtered by now to provide meals for my colleagues at the camp," Bukar said.

While many would argue that this is exclusively ISIS, the promotion of amputating hands, even ritual human sacrifice and cannibalism has been a topic of discussion by the Muslim Brotherhood of Egypt which the U.S. Administration, Hillary Clinton and President Obama supported the Muslim Brotherhood and have dealt with while alienating the moderate Al-Sisi government.

The Muslim Brotherhood in fact was exposed on Al-Tahrir Egyptian TV Ahmad Abdo Maher, discusses the high-school curriculum issued by the highest religious authority in Egypt during the rule of Mohammad Morsi in which the Muslim Brotherhood's Al-Azhar University encouraged students to cannibalize apostates and Muslims who abandon praying. The schoolbook stipulated that the act can be carried out so long the human flesh is eaten uncooked in respect to the dead body and that the act "does not necessitate a governor's consent or is it punishable by law."

The point here is it is not just ISIS or the FSA or any one specific terror group, but cannibalism is a common practice across a number of Islamic terror organizations.

SOYLENT GREEN - DO YOU KNOW WHAT YOU ARE EATING, DRINKING, USING AND WEARING?

In 1973 a thriller/drama named "Soylent Green" became an instant cult classic, where a NYPD detective played by Charlton Heston, investigates the murder of an executive at rations manufacturer Soylent Corporation which fed the starving masses, and by the end the detective discovered the "Soylent Green" food was actually human beings.

At the top of some of the movie posters from that time, it said "It's the year 2022"

In 2011 a pro-life groups issued a call for a a public boycott of food giant, PepsiCo, due to its partnership with Senomyx, a biotech company that uses aborted fetal cells in the research and development of artificial flavor enhancers.

According to Wiki, Senomyx is described in the following manner "an American biotechnology company working toward developing additives to amplify certain flavors and smells in foods. The company claims to have essentially "reverse engineered" the receptors in humans that react for taste and aroma, and that they are capitalizing on these discoveries to produce chemicals that will make food taste better. Senomyx develops patented flavor enhancers by using "proprietary taste receptor-based assay systems." These receptors have been previously expressed in HEK293 cells.

HEK 293 cells stands for Human Embryonic Kidney 293 cells, also often referred to as HEK 293, HEK-293, 293 cells, or less precisely as HEK cells, are a specific cell line originally derived from human embryonic kidney cells grown in tissue culture. HEK 293 cells are very easy to grow and transfect very readily and have been widely used in cell biology research for many years. They are also used by the biotechnology industry to produce therapeutic proteins and viruses for gene therapy. (Source)

By 2012, Seattle Organic Restaurants had published an article claiming that others such as Nestles, Kraft, Cadbury Adams LLC Candies, Neocutis cosmetics and even some vaccines and medications like Pulmozyme and Enbrel have aborted human fetuses in them. They also report "According to Right Life of Michigan, at least eleven most common vaccines in the US have aborted human fetal cells and currently there are no requirements for labeling dead human tissue in vaccines and foods.

By 2012, Gawker had published denials from Pepsi, McDonalds, Nestle and Wendy's that they did not use human aborted fetus tissue in their food, with Burger King, General Mills, Kraft and Coca Cola having not responded to requests of commenting forcing Gawker to list them as "possibly" containing aborted human fetuses in their products.

In 2014, Activist Post highlighted a San Francisco based, synthetic biohacker group has made hundreds of headlines for creating cheese without the use of cows using "engineered bakers yeast is inserted with DNA strands, some of them human – to cut down on allergies they said – to make the yeast into a “milk” protein creating factory. But no actual mammal DNA is used...." Via that article we see the following:

This is not the first project to create a food, or a sort-of human-based food under the auspices of “sustainability.” There is Bill Gates’ vegan, plant-based eggs. Genetically engineered cows that produce human breast milk (I guess cows are still useful for something). Test tube meat. Do you see a pattern? For starters, it’s the idea that the naturally-born counterparts are inherently “bad” and that we should get used to a world without them.

While they claim it’s not an actual GMO with no DNA or GE ingredients remaining, some people find it unsettling to eat human protein-derived food products. Comparisons to the “zombie” craze and Soylent Green are surfacing. The zombie trend has prompted restaurants to try for burgers made to taste and feel like human flesh – sort of the inverse of using the actual human DNA blueprint to create cheese. We also do a lot of “recycling” of ourselves already. For instance, the use of our own sewage to fertilize crops. The practice has been called “biosolids” since the 1990s to make the idea more – ahem – palatable to the public.

In July 2015, World Net Daily headlines an article with "Abortion body parts: Not just for medical research," where they report that "Debate over stem cell use in food flavors, face creams re-ignited following video about abortionist's deal-making."

In April 2015, Natural News provides and very large list of processed "food"

products, vaccines and "beauty products" known to contain ingredients derived from aborted human babies.

An email this morning highlights a UK company that makes human leather products, where they proudly display a section on "Where does human leather come from" which states "Human Leather is produced from skin sourced from normal everyday people. These people have bequeathed their skin to us prior to their death. There are a few areas of the body, (back and abdomen) that have uninterrupted skin coverage, and are therefore the best for processing into Human Leather. These areas allow the maximum usage when being crafted into large coverage items, especially satchels and briefcases."

BOTTOM LINE: From genetically modified foods to cosmetics to vaccines and even chemtrails, compounds are being inserted into our bodies which have the potential to change our very human genome and creating cannibalistic appetites in humans.

Not only that but the nature of the diseases associated with cannibalism, such as Kuru and Creutzfeld-Jakob disease (CJD) we see the compounds we are being inundated with are actually destructive to the brain.

It is easier to lead the non-thinking zombies to slaughter than it is a fully functioning and thinking person. For decades there have been reports, such as an LA Time article from 1991 where satanic practices have included being "forced to drink blood out of a glass and eat human flesh."

The bottom line is that cannibals and cannibalism is intrinsically evil in nature.

While examples of cannibalism can be found throughout the world, with the History Channel recently highlighting the Donner party where survivors were to resort to cannibalism, and the Cannibal Alfred Packer story, it is Islamic terrorists that we are seeing it become a more widespread practice.

These are the same people that have infiltrated Syrian refugees in order to seed themselves into western countries, including the U.S. with Barack Obama having openly stated he plans to import more, despite the fact that we have just suffered a devastating terrorist attack by a supporter of ISIS in San Bernardino which killed 14 people and injured nearly 20 more.

‘Allahu Akbar’: It Means Almost Everything — Except What The Establishment Media Says



By Robert Spencer December 25, 2015 Media outlets routinely mangle the true meaning of “Allahu akbar,” the ubiquitous battle cry of Islamic jihadists as they commit mass murder.

The war-cry is mistranslated in the Western media as “God is great.” But the actual meaning is “Allah is greater,” meaning *Allah Is Greater Than Your God or Government*.

It is the aggressive declaration that Allah and Islam are dominant over every other form of government, religion, law or ethic, which is why Islamic jihadists in the midst of killing infidels so often shout it.

One primary purpose of shouting is to “strike terror in the hearts of the enemies of Allah.”

Chief 9/11 hijacker Mohammed Atta made this explicit in his letter to himself before carrying out his jihad mission: “When the confrontation begins, strike like champions who do not want to go back to this world. Shout, ‘Allahu Akbar,’ because this strikes fear in the hearts of the non-believers.” This is why the Fort Hood jihad killer, Nidal Malik Hasan, shouted it as he shot thirteen Americans in November 2009, and why so many other jihadis have used it essentially as an announcement that non-Muslims are about to die.

But it is also frequently used when no infidels are within earshot. According to Islam, Allah is sovereign and dominant over all things, and controls everything. His control is so absolute he decides if unbelievers reject Islam, according to the Qur'an. "If we had willed, We could have given every soul its guidance," but instead, "I will surely fill Hell with jinn and people all together."

This sovereignty over absolutely everything leads the willing slaves of this god to exclaim "Allahu akbar" in a multitude of apparently contradictory situations:

"Allahu akbar" can be a declaration of joy and gratitude to Allah, as in this video, when the jihadis shout it after shooting down a helicopter, with particular vibrancy when the helicopter bursts into flames. It can also be an expression of grief and anger, as in this video, in which Syrians exclaim it as an airstrike hits their village.

This video of a failed rocket launch illustrates the variety of uses.

.... As the rocket begins to ignite, and then launches, only to travel a couple of feet and explode in their own compound, the watching jihadis shout "Allahu akbar!" repeatedly, like characters in Orwell's *1984* book who are restricted by Newspeak to think only thoughts that can be expressed by a few permitted words.

First come the fervent "Please-make-it-work" "Allahu akbars," and then the excited "Wow-its-working!" "Allahu akbars," followed by, as the rocket fails, the resigned "Too bad" "Allahu akbars," the apologetic "We're-sorry-please-forgive-us" and the reassuring "Allah still is on our team" "Allahu akbars."

In contrast, a group of free Christian or post-Christian Westerners would have appealed to diligence, effort, luck and science — "Be careful!" "Go!" "Sh..," and finally, "Back to the drawing board."

In Islam, the outcome actually determined by human choice, math, probability, luck and machinery are all under Allah's control, and so the most appropriate thing to say no matter what happens is...Allahu akbar.

In terms of its connotation, then, "Allahu akbar" can mean just about anything — except the one phrase it is most often rendered as in English, "God is great."

Even though "Allah" means "the God" and is used by most Arabic-speaking Christians to refer to the God of Christianity, when jihadis use the phrase, they mean to emphasize the superiority of Islam and its god — hence it would be more

precise to leave the word untranslated and render the phrase “Allah is greater” in English.

And to say “God is great” in Arabic would require a different word — *Allahu kabir*, because *akbar* is the elative, or comparative and superlative, form of *kabir*.

Thus a Christian equivalent of “Allahu akbar” would not be “Jesus is great” or, to use an actual Christian phrase, “Jesus is Lord.” In its variety of connotations and uses, it corresponds roughly to the Evangelical/Pentecostal use of “Praise the Lord”; however, that phrase contains none of the notions of superiority that are inherent in “Allahu akbar.”

If the Christian terrorists of media myth ever became reality and were casting about for a phrase they could use the way Muslims use “Allahu akbar,” they might shout something like “In hoc signo vinces.” That’s the Roman Emperor Constantine’s fourth-century vision of victory under the Christian Cross — *In this sign conquer* — shortly before he won the Empire-changing battle of the Milvian Bridge.

But in “Allahu akbar,” Islamic conquest is simultaneously asserted and assumed — and the Latin phrase has none of the compactness nor the multiple-meanings of “Allahu akbar.”

Nor does any Christian phrase carry any of the whiff of threat and menace that “Allahu akbar” possesses.

When “Allahu akbar” was found scrawled on the fuel tank of an airliner in Paris just weeks after the Paris jihad massacre of November 2015, the threat was unmistakable. Whoever wrote it wanted, in Atta’s words, to “strike fear in the hearts of the non-believers.”

As jihadis the world over scream “Allahu akbar” as they begin killing infidels, there is no doubt that this phrase does indeed, all too often, strike terror into the hearts of unbelievers: the superiority of the god of Islam is asserted in blood.

Robert Spencer is the director of Jihad Watch and author of the New York Times bestsellers *The Politically Incorrect Guide to Islam (and the Crusades)* and *The Truth About Muhammad*. His latest book is *The Complete Infidel’s Guide to ISIS*. Follow him on Twitter [here](#). Like him on Facebook [here](#).

<http://www.barenakedislam.com/2015/12/18/we-are-all-muslim-says-left-wing-loon-and-has-been-director-michael-moore-in-front-of-trump-tower/>

December 18, 2015: “We are all Muslim,” says left-wing loon and has-been director Michael Moore in front of Trump Tower. - - - American filmmaker, left wing political and social activist Michael Moore has sent Republican presidential candidate Donald Trump a letter chastising him on his recent anti-Muslim statements and his call to “temporarily’ ban all Muslims from entering the United States.”



al-Jazeera (h/t bonampak) In his letter, which he posted on his Facebook page on Wednesday night, Moore said Trump’s anti-Muslim statements “were made in depression and insanity”. Moore also published

a picture of himself in front of Trump Tower in New York with the caption “We are all Muslim.” Moore went on to say that he was raised to believe in equality of people as brothers and sisters, regardless of race, colour and religion. He added that “we are all Muslim, just as we are all Mexican, we are all Catholic and Jewish and white and black and every shade in between”.

**BELOW IS AN UPDATED (Photo Shopped) (“No we are not”) IMAGE FROM A YOUTUBE VIDEO:
Titled: Michael Moore Has a Message from Donald Trump: We Are All Muslim
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=29VxDOmcGIU>**



**HEY MICHAEL, WE ARE ALL MUSLIMS, TOO
(SEE THE FOLLOWING - - -NOT PHOTO
SHOPPED - - - PAGES)**



WE ARE ALL MUSLIMS, TOO



WE ARE ALL MUSLIMS, TOO



In their final moments, gay Iranians Ayaz Marhoni and Mahmoud Asgari are fitted with nooses at a death penalty spectacle

WE ARE ALL GAY MUSLIMS, TOO



WE ARE ALL MUSLIMS, TOO



WE ARE ALL MUSLIMS, TOO



WE ARE ALL MUSLIMS, TOO



WE ARE ALL MUSLIM, TOO



WE ARE ALL MUSLIM CHILDREN, TOO

Islam calls a
10-year-old girl
with a 50-year-old
man "a marriage"

We call it...
MOLESTATION




WE ARE ALL MUSLIM CHILDREN, TOO



WE ARE ALL MUSLIM CHILDREN, TOO



WE ARE ALL MUSLIM CHILDREN, TOO



WE ARE ALL MUSLIM PARENTS, TOO



WE ARE ALL MUSLIMS, TOO



WE ARE ALL STONING MUSLIMS, TOO



WE ARE ALL STONING MUSLIMS, TOO



WE ARE ALL ACID-THROWING MUSLIMS, TOO

These Jewish girls worked as volunteer teachers in an orphanage for Muslim children



Devout Muslim men smiled before scarring them for life with acid

WE ARE ALL ACID-THROWING MUSLIMS, TOO



WE ARE ALL MUSLIMS, TOO



WE ARE ALL MUSLIM CHILDREN, TOO



WE ARE ALL MUSLIMS, TOO



WE ARE ALL MUSLIMS, TOO



WE ARE ALL MUSLIMS, TOO



WE ARE ALL MUSLIMS, TOO



WE ARE ALL MUSLIMS, TOO



WE ARE ALL MUSLIMS, TOO




WE ARE ALL MUSLIMS, TOO



WE ARE MUSLIMS, TOO



WE ARE MUSLIMS, TOO



WE ARE MUSLIMS, TOO

<http://www.barenakedislam.com/2015/12/18/we-are-all-muslim-says-left-wing-loon-and-has-been-director-michael-moore-in-front-of-trump-tower/>



From the Article “Comments” - - -  Scooter - - -December 18, 2015 @ 10:45 pm

The doorman holding the sign is photo shopped. The hands are cloned from Moore’s hands. They are identical.

Reply:  BareNakedIslam: December 19, 2015 @ 2:25 am: We know that.

I S L A M

“THE RELIGION OF PEACE”

Comments/Observations

Spiritually Speaking: I S L A M = ISIS lam(b) - - The lam(b) of Isis is different than the lam(b) of God (Jesus).

Spiritually Speaking: The overall antediluvian times: the Days of Noah and the Book of Enoch, including the specifically acknowledged 33rd Degree Masonic antediluvian times of the ancient land called MU, are appearing at this present time.

Spiritually Speaking: Mt 3:7 But when he (John the Baptist) saw many of the Pharisees and Saud u cees come to his baptism, he said unto them, O generation of vipers (Serpent Race), who hath warned you to flee from the wrath to come?

Spiritually Speaking: Mt 22:34 But when the Pharisees had heard that he (Jesus) had put the Saud u cees to silence, they (the Pharisees and Saud u cees) were gathered (joined forces) together.

Spiritually Speaking: The activities between the true House of David and the Luciferian House of Saud are presently manifesting throughout the world.

Spiritually Speaking: The Luciferian House of Saud, one group of the four of Baal who conspired to crucify Jesus.

Spiritually Speaking: Note: People take the name of the God(s) they serve as well as they take the name of the mission they are assigned to accomplish.

Example: The United Kingdom's Foreign Secretary Arthur James Balfour .

Spiritually Speaking: "Representing the Four of Baal who crucified Jesus" – – Baal Four.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Balfour_Declaration

The **Balfour Declaration** (dated 2 November 1917) was a letter from the United Kingdom's Foreign Secretary Arthur James Balfour to Walter Rothschild, 2nd Baron Rothschild, a leader of the British Jewish community, for transmission to the Zionist Federation of Great Britain and Ireland. It read:

His Majesty's government view with favour the establishment in Palestine of a national home for the Jewish people, and will use their best endeavours to facilitate the achievement of this object, it being clearly understood that nothing shall be done which may prejudice the civil and religious rights of existing non-Jewish communities in Palestine, or the rights and political status enjoyed by Jews in any other country.^{[1][2]}

The text of the letter was published in the press one week later, on 9 November 1917.^[3] The "Balfour Declaration" was later incorporated into both the Sèvres peace treaty with the Ottoman Empire, and the Mandate for Palestine. The original document is kept at the British Library.

Spiritually Speaking: One of the Baal Four: The “spirits” of the pre-flood, antediluvian, ancient land called MU.

**See James Churchward at
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mu_%28lost_continent%29**

Spiritually Speaking: The ancient antediluvian MU spirits, which are inhabiting “generational spiritual possessed” Muslims, are appearing. {(MU) (SI) (L) (IM) (Days of Noah and the Book of Enoch)} These are Muslims whose “spirits” are being manipulated by ISIS and are working thru the Luciferian House of Saud. They are manifesting their “Days of Noah” behavior at this time.

Spiritually Speaking: ISIS = Israeli Secret Intelligence Service.

Spiritually Speaking: The Luciferian house of Saud with co ORDINATION thru ISIS = Israeli Secret Intelligence Service = MU Saud = The “spirits” of the ancient land of MU ruled and manipulated by the House of Saud = Mossad.

(Mossad Formed: December 13, 1949 as the Central Institute for Coordination. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mossad>)

ISLAM

“A RELIGION OF PEACE?”

I hope this “Reference Library Notebook”
about Islam has provided you with
a lot of information and
has empowered you.

The war continues and with
“Holy Ghost Power”

I know you will gain an understanding about the war that is taking
place on earth, under the earth, under the sea and in the heavens.

I hope the contents of this “Reference Library Notebook”
about Islam have provided insight, protection and
understanding for you and your family.

*The battles in the war between the disciples of Jesus
and the disciples Lucifer, which are presently taking
place in the various dimensions, will continue
to both get worse and become more
violent until Jesus returns.*

“Keep the Faith of God in Jesus”

In Conclusion: Everything is Spoken: – – either into existence or out of existence. “And (The Father) Said”

John 14:24-29

24 He that loveth me not keepeth not my sayings: and the word which ye hear is not mine, but the Father's which sent me.

25 These things have I spoken unto you, being *yet* present with you.

26 But the Comforter, *which is* the Holy Ghost, whom the Father will send in my name, he shall teach you all things, and bring all things to your remembrance, whatsoever I have said unto you.

27 Peace I leave with you, my peace I give unto you: not as the world giveth, give I unto you. Let not your heart be troubled, neither let it be afraid.

28 Ye have heard how I said unto you, I go away, and come *again* unto you. If ye loved me, ye would rejoice, because I said, I go unto the Father: for my Father is greater than I.

29 And now I have told you before it come to pass, that, when it is come to pass, ye might believe.